

SIERRA COUNTY



2025

MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN

Volume 1 – Countywide Information





TABLE OF CONTENTS

- Definitions and Acronyms xiv
- Executive Summary 1
 - Hazard Mitigation Overview 1
 - Building the Planning Teams..... 2
 - Outreach Strategy 2
 - Risk Assessment..... 3
 - Capability Assessment 4
 - Mitigation Strategy..... 4
 - Implementing, Adopting and Maintaining the Plan 5
- Part 1
- Introduction and Planning Process
- 1. Introduction..... 1-1
 - 1.1 Why Prepare This Plan? 1-1
 - 1.1.1 Federal Eligibility 1-2
 - 1.1.2 Purposes for Planning 1-3
 - 1.2 Plan Updates 1-3
 - 1.2.1 Previous Plans..... 1-3
 - 1.2.2 Why Update 1-3
 - 1.2.3 The Updated Plan - What Has Changed? 1-5
 - 1.3 How to Use This Plan 1-13
 - 1.3.1 Volume 1 1-13
 - 1.3.2 Volume 2..... 1-13
 - 1.3.3 Planning Requirement Icons 1-13
- 2. Planning Process..... 2-1
 - 2.1 Introduction..... 2-1
 - 2.2 Defining the Planning Area..... 2-2
 - 2.3 Funding..... 2-2
 - 2.4 Formation of the Planning Teams..... 2-3
 - 2.4.1 Core Planning Team 2-3
 - 2.4.2 Steering Committee 2-3
 - 2.4.3 Planning Partnership 2-3



2.5	Stakeholder Coordination and Involvement.....	2-5
2.5.1	Types of Stakeholders	2-5
2.5.2	Stakeholder Participation	2-5
2.5.3	Draft Plan Review	2-12
2.6	Public Participation	2-12
2.6.1	Hazard Mitigation Plan Web Page	2-12
2.6.2	Print Media	2-13
2.6.3	Public Meetings.....	2-15
2.6.4	Public Survey	2-16
2.6.5	Public Comment Period.....	2-19
2.7	Identification and Outreach to Equity Priority Communities	2-20
2.8	Review and Incorporation of Existing Programs	2-20
2.9	Plan Development Milestones	2-21
3.	Sierra County Profile	3-1
3.1	Overview	3-1
3.1.1	Historical Overview	3-1
3.1.2	Jurisdictions.....	3-2
3.1.3	Government	3-2
3.2	Physical Setting	3-2
3.2.1	Location	3-2
	Geography and Topography	3-2
3.2.2	Watersheds and Surface Waters	3-3
3.2.3	Climate.....	3-5
3.3	Population and Demographics	3-5
3.3.1	General Population.....	3-5
3.3.2	Equity Priority Communities.....	3-6
3.4	Development Profile	3-7
3.4.1	Land Use	3-7
3.4.2	General Building Stock.....	3-9
3.5	Community Lifelines.....	3-9
3.5.1	Safety and Security	3-10
3.5.2	Food, Hydration, Shelter	3-10
3.5.3	Health and Medical	3-11



3.5.4	Energy	3-12
3.5.5	Communication	3-13
3.5.6	Transportation.....	3-14
3.5.7	Hazardous Materials.....	3-14
3.5.8	Water Systems	3-14
3.5.9	Development Trends.....	3-15
3.6	Economy.....	3-16
3.6.1	Industry, Businesses, and Institutions.....	3-16
Part 2		
Risk Assessment		
4.	Hazards of Concern	4-1
4.1	What Is a Hazard of Concern?	4-1
4.2	Federal Disaster Declarations.....	4-1
4.3	Natural Hazards of Concern and Hazards of Interest	4-2
5.	Risk Assessment Methodology and Tools.....	5-1
5.1	Assessing Risk.....	5-1
5.2	Risk Assessment Tools.....	5-1
5.2.1	Mapping	5-1
5.2.2	Modeling	5-1
5.3	Risk Assessment Approach.....	5-3
5.4	Sources of Data Used in Risk Assessment.....	5-3
5.4.1	Building and Cost Data	5-3
5.4.2	Community Lifelines	5-4
5.4.3	Population.....	5-4
5.4.4	Hazus Data Inputs.....	5-4
5.4.5	Other Local Hazard Data	5-4
5.4.6	Data Source Summary	5-5
5.5	Data Limitations.....	5-7
6.	Avalanche.....	6-1
6.1	Hazard Profile	6-1
6.1.1	Description of the Hazard.....	6-1
6.1.2	Location	6-3
6.1.3	Extent	6-3



6.1.4	Previous Occurrences.....	6-6
6.1.5	Future Conditions.....	6-7
6.2	Vulnerability Assessment	6-7
6.2.1	Summary of Vulnerability	6-8
6.2.2	Impact on Life, Health, and Safety	6-8
6.2.3	Impact on General Building Stock.....	6-8
6.2.4	Impact on Community Lifelines	6-8
6.2.5	Impact on the Economy.....	6-8
6.2.6	Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources	6-8
6.2.7	Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources.....	6-9
6.2.8	Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP.....	6-9
6.3	Mitigation Opportunities	6-9
7.	Dam Failure.....	7-10
7.1	Hazard Profile	7-10
7.1.1	Description of the Hazard.....	7-10
7.1.2	Location	7-11
7.1.3	Extent	7-12
7.1.4	Previous Occurrences.....	7-14
7.1.5	Future Conditions.....	7-14
7.2	Vulnerability Assessment	7-15
7.2.1	Summary of Vulnerability	7-15
7.2.2	Impact on Life, Health, and Safety	7-15
7.2.3	Impact on General Building Stock.....	7-15
7.2.4	Impact on Community Lifelines	7-15
7.2.5	Impact on the Economy.....	7-15
7.2.6	Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources	7-16
7.2.7	Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources.....	7-16
7.2.8	Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP.....	7-16
7.3	Mitigation Opportunities	7-16
8.	Drought.....	8-1
8.1	Hazard Profile	8-1
8.1.1	Description of the Hazard.....	8-1
8.1.2	Location	8-4



8.1.3	Extent	8-4
8.1.4	Previous Occurrences	8-5
8.1.5	Future Conditions	8-7
8.2	Vulnerability Assessment	8-8
8.2.1	Summary of Vulnerability	8-8
8.2.2	Impact on Life, Health, and Safety	8-8
8.2.3	Impact on General Building Stock	8-9
8.2.4	Impact on Community Lifelines	8-9
8.2.5	Impact on the Economy	8-10
8.2.6	Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources	8-10
8.2.7	Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources	8-10
8.2.8	Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP	8-11
8.3	Mitigation Opportunities	8-11
9.	Earthquake	9-1
9.1	Hazard Profile	9-1
9.1.1	Description of the Hazard	9-1
9.1.2	Location	9-3
9.1.3	Extent	9-4
9.1.4	Previous Occurrences	9-6
9.1.5	Future Conditions	9-8
9.2	Vulnerability Assessment	9-9
9.2.1	Summary of Vulnerability	9-9
9.2.2	Impact on Life, Health, and Safety	9-9
9.2.3	Impact on General Building Stock	9-11
9.2.4	Impact on Community Lifelines	9-13
9.2.5	Impact on the Economy	9-14
9.2.6	Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources	9-14
9.2.7	Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources	9-14
9.2.8	Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP	9-14
9.3	Mitigation Opportunities	9-15
10.	Extreme Heat	10-1
10.1	Hazard Profile	10-1
10.1.1	Description of the Hazard	10-1



10.1.2	Location	10-2
10.1.3	Extent	10-2
10.1.4	Previous Occurrences.....	10-4
10.1.5	Future Conditions.....	10-6
10.2	Vulnerability Assessment	10-7
10.2.1	Summary of Vulnerability	10-7
10.2.2	Impact on Life, Health, and Safety	10-7
10.2.3	Impact on General Building Stock.....	10-8
10.2.4	Impact on Community Lifelines	10-8
10.2.5	Impact on the Economy.....	10-8
10.2.6	Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources	10-8
10.2.7	Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources.....	10-8
10.2.8	Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP.....	10-9
10.3	Mitigation Opportunities	10-9
11.	Flood.....	11-1
11.1	Hazard Profile	11-1
11.1.1	Description of the Hazard.....	11-1
11.1.2	National Flood Insurance Program Participation	11-3
11.1.3	Location	11-3
11.1.4	Extent	11-5
11.1.5	Previous Occurrences.....	11-7
11.1.6	Future Conditions.....	11-9
11.2	Vulnerability Assessment	11-10
11.2.1	Summary of Vulnerability	11-10
11.2.2	Impact on Life, Health, and Safety	11-10
11.2.3	Impact on General Building Stock.....	11-13
11.2.4	Impact on Community Lifelines	11-14
11.2.5	Impact on the Economy.....	11-14
11.2.6	Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources	11-15
11.2.7	Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources.....	11-15
11.2.8	Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP.....	11-15
11.3	Mitigation Opportunities	11-15
12.	Landslide/Mass Movement.....	12-1



12.1	Hazard Profile	12-1
12.1.1	Description of the Hazard.....	12-1
12.1.2	Location	12-4
12.1.3	Extent	12-6
12.1.4	Previous Occurrences.....	12-7
12.1.5	Future Conditions.....	12-8
12.2	Vulnerability Assessment	12-9
12.2.1	Summary of Vulnerability	12-9
12.2.2	Impact on Life, Health, and Safety	12-9
12.2.3	Impact on General Building Stock.....	12-9
12.2.4	Impact on Community Lifelines	12-10
12.2.5	Impact on the Economy.....	12-11
12.2.6	Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources	12-11
12.2.7	Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources.....	12-11
12.2.8	Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP	12-12
12.3	Mitigation Opportunities	12-12
13.	Volcanic Activity	13-1
13.1	Hazard Profile	13-1
13.1.1	Description of the Hazard.....	13-1
13.1.2	Location	13-2
13.1.3	Extent	13-2
13.1.4	Previous Occurrences.....	13-5
13.1.5	Future Conditions.....	13-6
13.2	Vulnerability Assessment	13-6
13.2.1	Summary of Vulnerability	13-6
13.2.2	Impact on Life, Health, and Safety	13-7
13.2.3	Impact on General Building Stock.....	13-7
13.2.4	Impact on Community Lifelines	13-7
13.2.5	Impact on the Economy.....	13-7
13.2.6	Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources	13-7
13.2.7	Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources.....	13-8
13.2.8	Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP	13-8
13.3	Mitigation Opportunities	13-8



14.	Wildfire	14-1
14.1	Hazard Profile	14-1
14.1.1	Description of the Hazard.....	14-1
14.1.2	Location	14-4
14.1.3	Extent	14-6
14.1.4	Previous Occurrences.....	14-7
14.1.5	Future Conditions.....	14-8
14.2	Vulnerability Assessment	14-9
14.2.1	Summary of Vulnerability	14-9
14.2.2	Impact on Life, Health, and Safety	14-9
14.2.3	Impact on General Building Stock.....	14-10
14.2.4	Impact on Community Lifelines	14-11
14.2.5	Impact on the Economy.....	14-12
14.2.6	Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources	14-13
14.2.7	Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources.....	14-13
14.2.8	Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP.....	14-13
14.3	Mitigation Opportunities	14-14
15.	Winter Storm	15-1
15.1	Hazard Profile	15-1
15.1.1	Description of the Hazard.....	15-1
15.1.2	Location	15-2
15.1.3	Extent	15-3
15.1.4	Previous Occurrences.....	15-5
15.1.5	Future Conditions.....	15-6
15.2	Vulnerability Assessment	15-7
15.2.1	Summary of Vulnerability	15-7
15.2.2	Impact on Life, Health, and Safety	15-7
15.2.3	Impact on General Building Stock.....	15-8
15.2.4	Impact on Community Lifelines	15-8
15.2.5	Impact on the Economy.....	15-8
15.2.6	Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources	15-8
15.2.7	Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources.....	15-9
15.2.8	Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP.....	15-9



15.3	Mitigation Opportunities	15-9
16.	Other Hazards of Interest	16-1
16.1	Cybersecurity	16-1
16.1.1	Overview	16-1
16.1.2	Identified Cybersecurity Hazards.....	16-1
16.1.3	Past Events.....	16-2
16.1.4	Location and Extent	16-2
16.2	Mass Gatherings.....	16-3
16.2.1	Overview	16-3
16.2.2	Identified Mass Gathering Hazards	16-3
16.2.3	Location and Extent	16-3
16.3	Transportation Hazards	16-4
16.3.1	Overview	16-4
16.3.2	Identified Transportation Hazards.....	16-4
16.3.3	Location and Extent	16-5
16.4	Wildlife & Human Interactions	16-5
16.4.1	Overview	16-5
16.4.2	Identified Wildlife and Human Interaction Hazards	16-6
16.4.3	Location and Extent	16-7
17.	Hazard Ranking	17-1
Part 3		
Mitigation Strategy.....		
18.	Mitigation Strategy	18-1
18.1	Review of Previous Mitigation Actions	18-1
18.1.1	Mitigation Accomplishments Since 2012	18-1
18.2	Mitigation Goals.....	18-2
18.3	Mitigation Alternatives	18-3
18.4	Developing Mitigation Actions.....	18-3
18.5	Action Plan Prioritization	18-4
18.6	Mitigation Action Categorization.....	18-5
18.6.1	Local Plans and Regulations.....	18-5
18.6.2	Structure and Infrastructure Projects.....	18-2
18.6.3	Education and Awareness Programs	18-2



18.6.4	Natural Systems Protection	18-2
18.6.5	Climate Resiliency.....	18-2
18.6.6	Community Capacity Building	18-7
Part 4 18-1		
	Maintaining the Plan	18-1
19.	Adopting and Maintaining the Mitigation Plan.....	19-1
19.1	Plan Adoption.....	19-1
19.2	Plan Maintenance.....	19-1
19.2.1	Integrating the Plan.....	19-2
19.2.2	Implementing the Hazard Mitigation Plan Through Existing Programs	19-2
19.2.3	Ongoing Public Involvement	19-3
19.2.4	Monitoring the Plan.....	19-4
19.2.5	Updating the Plan.....	19-5
Appendix A.	Public Outreach	
Appendix B.	Hazard Selection	
Appendix C.	Meeting Documentation	
Appendix D.	Federal and State Agencies, Programs, and Regulations	
	Federal	
	Americans with Disabilities Act	
	Civil Rights Act	
	Clean Water Act	
	Community Development Block Grant Disaster Resilience Program	
	Community Rating System	
	Disaster Mitigation Act	
	Emergency Relief for Federally Owned Roads Program	
	Emergency Watershed Program	
	Endangered Species Act	
	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Dam Safety Program	
	National Dam Safety Act	
	National Environmental Policy Act	
	National Flood Insurance Program	
	National Incident Management System	
	National Landslide Preparedness Act	



Presidential Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management
Presidential Executive Order 11990, Protection of Wetlands
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Dam Safety Program
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Flood Hazard Management
U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Safety Evaluation of Existing Dams Program
U.S. Fire Administration

State 11

AB 32: The California Global Warming Solutions Act
AB 38: Fire safety: Low-Cost Retrofits: Regional Capacity Review: Wildfire Mitigation
AB 70: Flood Liability
AB 162: Flood Planning
AB 642: Wildfires
AB 747: Required Information for General Plan Safety Elements
AB 800: Wildfires: Local General Plans: Safety Elements: Fire Hazard Severity Zones
AB 2140: General Plans—Safety Element
AB 2800: Climate Change—Infrastructure Planning
Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act
California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
California Department of Parks and Recreation
California Department of Water Resources
California Division of Safety of Dams
California Environmental Quality Act
California Fire Safe Council
California Fire Service and Rescue Emergency Mutual Aid Plan
California General Planning Law
California Hazard Mitigation Plan
California Residential Mitigation Program
California State Building Code
Disadvantaged and Low-income Communities Investments
Division of the State Architect’s AB 300 List of Seismically At-Risk Schools
Governor’s Executive Order S-13-08
Office of the State Fire Marshal
Senate Bill 12: Local Government: Planning and Zoning: Wildfires



- Senate Bill 99: Evacuation Route Planning
- Senate Bill 182 Local Government: Planning and Zoning: Wildfires
- Senate Bill 379: General Plans: Safety Element—Climate Adaptation
- Senate Bill 1000: General Plan Amendments—Safety and Environmental Justice Elements
- Senate Bill 1035: Fire, Flood, and Adaptation Safety Element Updates
- Senate Bill 1241: General Plans: Safety Element—Fire Hazard Impacts
- Standardized Emergency Management System

- Appendix E. Plan Maintenance Agendas
- Appendix F. FEMA Approval and Planning Partner Adoption

Figure ES-1. Public Survey Distribution at Local Post Office Bulletin Boards and Inserted in Commodities Bags 3

Figure 1-1. Landslide on Highway 49 1-4

Figure 2-1. Sierra County Hazard Mitigation Planning Area 2-2

Figure 2-2. Sierra County Hazard Mitigation Web Page 2-13

Figure 2-3. Print Media Promoting Hazard Awareness 2-14

Figure 2-4. Public Meeting Participation 2-15

Figure 2-5. Paper Surveys with Postage-Paid Envelopes Distributed at Local Post Offices and County Buildings 2-16

Figure 2-6. Commodities Bags Included Printed Surveys for Remote Areas of Alleghany, Pike, and Forest City 2-17

Figure 2-7. Survey Promotion on the County Website 2-18

Figure 2-8. Printed Draft MJHMP Executive Summaries Posted on Bulletin Boards for Public Comment 2-19

Figure 3-1. Watershed Diagram 3-3

Figure 3-2. Watersheds of Sierra County 3-4

Figure 3-3. Land Use Delineation in Sierra County 3-8

Figure 3-4. Sierra County Safety and Security Community Lifelines 3-10

Figure 3-5. Sierra County Health and Medical Community Lifelines 3-11

Figure 3-6. Sierra County Energy Community Lifelines 3-12

Figure 3-7. Sierra County Communication Community Lifelines 3-13

Figure 3-8. Sierra County Transportation Community Lifelines 3-14

Figure 3-9. Downieville, the County Seat 3-18

Figure 6-1. Avalanche Path Parts 6-2

Figure 6-2. D Scale Avalanche Classifications 6-4

Figure 6-3. R Scale Avalanche Classification 6-4

Figure 6-4. North American Public Avalanche Danger Scale 6-5

Figure 7-1. Jackson Meadows Dam in Nevada County 7-11

Figure 7-2. Sierra County Dam Failure Inundation Area (Independence Dam) 7-12

Figure 8-1. Percent of Sierra County Affected by Drought Conditions 2000 to 2024 8-7



Figure 9-1. Significant Faults in Sierra County..... 9-4

Figure 9-2. Sierra County NEHRP Seismic Site Class..... 9-5

Figure 9-3. Sierra County Potential Earthquake Scenario: Mohawk Valley M7.13..... 9-10

Figure 9-4. Sierra County Potential Earthquake Scenario Polaris M6.79..... 9-11

Figure 10-1. NWS Heat Index 10-3

Figure 10-2. California Healthy Places Index Extreme Heat Days for Sierra County 10-7

Figure 11-1. Sierra County FEMA 1-Percent Annual Chance Flood Zones 11-4

Figure 11-2. Sierra County DWR Flood Awareness Areas..... 11-5

Figure 11-3. Sierra Valley Flooding 11-17

Figure 12-1. Major Types of Landslides/Mass Movements 12-2

Figure 12-2. Landslide Susceptibility..... 12-4

Figure 12-3. Abandoned Mines Throughout Sierra County..... 12-5

Figure 12-4. Sierra and Plumas County Land Subsidence from Groundwater Pumping 12-5

Figure 12-5. Rockfall on Highway 49 12-13

Figure 13-1. Hazardous Volcanos in California 13-3

Figure 13-2. Volcano Alert Levels 13-4

Figure 14-1. Sierra County Fire Hazard Severity Zones..... 14-4

Figure 14-2. Fern Regrowth in Old Burn Area..... 14-5

Figure 14-3. Loyalton Fire from Chilcoot..... 14-15

Figure 15-1. Sierra Buttes in the Winter..... 15-1

Figure 15-2. Winter Storm Severity Index Figure 15-3. Sperry-Piltz Ice Accumulation (SPIA)
Index..... 15-3

Figure 15-4. Winter Snowfall, Sierra Valley 15-10

Figure 16-1. Visitor Emergency Information Flyer 16-3

Figure 16-2. Downieville Mountain Bike Trails and Events Draw Riders from Around the World 16-4

Figure 16-3. Backwoods Recreation in Winter Months 16-5

Figure 16-4. Wildlife Signage on Highway 49 Increases Driver Awareness 16-6

Figure 16-5. Mule Deer in Sierra Valley..... 16-8



DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS

1-percent annual chance flood—The flood that has a 1 percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year; often referred to as the 100-year flood.

asset—Any man-made or natural feature that has value, including people; buildings; infrastructure, such as bridges, roads, sewers, and water systems; lifelines, such as electricity and communication resources; and environmental, cultural, or recreational features such as parks, wetlands, and landmarks.

base flood—The flood having a 1-percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year, also known as the “100-year” or “1-percent annual chance” flood. The base flood is a statistical concept used to ensure that all properties subject to the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) are protected to the same degree against flooding.

basin—The area within which all surface water—whether from rainfall, snowmelt, springs, or other sources—flows to a single water body or watercourse. The boundary of a river basin is defined by natural topography, such as hills, mountains, and ridges. Basins are also referred to as “watersheds.”

benefit/cost —A systematic, quantitative method of comparing projected benefits to projected costs of a project or policy. It is used as a measure of cost effectiveness.

benefit—A net project outcome and is usually defined in monetary terms. Benefits may include direct and indirect effects. For the purposes of benefit/cost analysis of proposed mitigation measures, benefits are limited to specific, measurable, risk reduction factors, including reduction in expected property losses (buildings, contents, and functions) and protection of human life.

CAL FIRE—California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection

Cal OES—California Governor’s Office of Emergency Services

capability assessment—An analysis of a jurisdiction’s capacity to address threats associated with hazards. The assessment includes two components: an inventory of an agency’s mission, programs, and policies, and an analysis of its capacity to carry them out.

CDC—Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

CFR—Code of Federal Regulations

climate change—A change in global or regional climate patterns, in particular a change apparent from the mid to late 20th century onwards and attributed largely to the increased levels of atmospheric carbon dioxide produced by the use of fossil fuels.

Community Lifeline—As defined by FEMA, a community lifeline enables the continuous operation of critical government and business functions and is essential to human health and safety or economic security.

cyberattack—An attempt to damage, disrupt, or gain unauthorized access to a computer, computer system, or electronic communications network.

dam failure—An uncontrolled release of impounded water due to structural deficiencies in dam.

dam—Any artificial barrier or controlling mechanism that can or does impound or divert water.

debris flow—Dense mixtures of water-saturated debris that move down-valley, looking and behaving much like flowing concrete. They form when loose masses of unconsolidated material are saturated, become unstable, and move down slope. The source of water varies but includes rainfall, melting snow or ice, and glacial outburst floods.

DFIRM—Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map



Disaster Mitigation Act (DMA; Public Law 106-390)—The latest federal legislation enacted to encourage and promote proactive, pre-disaster planning as a condition of receiving certain federal financial assistance.

DMA—Disaster Mitigation Act

drought—The cumulative impacts of long periods of dry weather. These can include deficiencies in surface and subsurface water supplies and general impacts on health, well-being, and quality of life.

DWR—Department of Water Resources (California)

earthquake—The shaking of the ground caused by an abrupt shift of rock along a fracture in the earth or a contact zone between tectonic plates.

epicenter—The point on the earth’s surface directly above the hypocenter of an earthquake. The location of an earthquake is commonly described by the geographic position of its epicenter and by its focal depth.

equity priority community—Community members who may be more vulnerable to hazard events are prioritized to ensure equitable mitigation initiatives.

exposure—Exposure is defined as the number and dollar value of assets considered to be at risk during the occurrence of a specific hazard.

Extent- The extent is the range of anticipated intensities of the identified hazards. Extent is most expressed using various scientific scales. For this planning effort, the extent of each hazard of concern is profiled by discussing intensity, warning times and the worst-case scenarios for the hazard.

extreme heat—Temperatures that hover 10 °F or more above the average high temperature for a region and last for several days.

fault—A fracture in the earth’s crust along which two blocks of the crust have slipped with respect to each other.

federal disaster declaration—Declarations for events that cause more damage than state and local

governments and resources can handle without federal government assistance. A federal disaster declaration puts into motion long-term federal recovery programs, some of which are matched by state programs, to help disaster victims, businesses, and public entities.

FEMA—Federal Emergency Management Agency

fire behavior—Fire behavior refers to the physical characteristics of a fire and is a function of the interaction between the fuel characteristics (such as type of vegetation and structures that could burn), topography, and weather. Variables that affect fire behavior include the rate of spread, intensity, fuel consumption, and fire type (such as underbrush versus crown fire).

FIRM—Flood Insurance Rate Map

flash flood—A flood that occurs with little or no warning when water levels rise at an extremely fast rate.

Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)—The official maps on which the Federal Emergency Management Agency delineate the Special Flood Hazard Area.

floodplain—The land area along the sides of a river that becomes inundated with water during a flood.

flood—The inundation of normally dry land resulting from the rising and overflowing of a body of water.

floodway—Floodways are areas within a floodplain that are reserved for the purpose of conveying flood discharge without increasing the base flood elevation more than 1 foot. Generally speaking, no development is allowed in floodways, as any structures located there would block the flow of floodwaters.

frequency—How often a hazard of specific magnitude, duration, and/or extent is expected to occur on average. Statistically, a hazard with a 100-year frequency is expected to occur about once every 100 years on average and has a 1-percent chance of occurring any given year. Frequency reliability varies depending on the type of hazard considered.



geographic information system (GIS)—A computer software application that relates data regarding physical and other features on the earth to a database for mapping and analysis.

goal—A general guideline that explains what is to be achieved. Goals are usually broad-based, long-term, policy-type statements and represent global visions. Goals help define the benefits that a plan is trying to achieve. The success of a hazard mitigation plan is measured by the degree to which its goals have been met (that is, by the actual benefits in terms of actual hazard mitigation).

greenhouse gases—Methane, nitrous oxide and other gases that trap heat and warm the Earth, as a greenhouse traps heat from the sun.

ground shaking—The result of rapid ground acceleration caused by seismic waves passing beneath buildings, roads, and other structures.

gust—a strong rush of wind

Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)—Authorized under Section 202 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, the HMGP is administered by FEMA and provides grants to states, tribes, and local governments to implement hazard mitigation actions after a major disaster declaration. The purpose of the program is to reduce the loss of life and property due to disasters and to enable mitigation activities to be implemented as a community recovers from a disaster.

hazard—A source of potential danger or adverse condition that could harm people and/or cause property damage.

hazardous material—A substance or combination of substances (biological, chemical, radiological, and/or physical) that, because of its quantity, concentration, or physical, chemical or infectious characteristics, has the potential to cause harm to humans, animals, or the environment, either by itself or through interaction with other factors.

high-hazard dam—Dams that can cause loss of human life from the failure or improper operation of the dam.

HMA—Hazard Mitigation Assistance

HMP—Hazard Mitigation Plan

hypocenter—The region underground where an earthquake's energy originates.

intensity—The measure of the effects of a hazard.

inventory—The assets identified in a study region. Inventories include assets that could be lost when a disaster occurs, and community resources are at risk. Assets include people, buildings, transportation, and other valued community resources.

landslide—The movement of masses of loosened rock and soil down a hillside or slope. Slope failures occur when the strength of the soils forming the slope is exceeded by the pressure, such as weight or saturation, acting upon them.

lightning—Lightning is an electrical discharge resulting from the buildup of positive and negative charges within a thunderstorm.

liquefaction—Loosely packed, water-logged sediments losing their strength in response to strong shaking, causing major damage during earthquakes.

local government—Any county, municipality, city, town, township, public authority, school district, special district, intrastate district, council of governments (regardless of whether the council of governments is incorporated as a nonprofit corporation under State law), regional or interstate government entity, or agency or instrumentality of a local government; any Indian tribe or authorized tribal organization, or Alaska Native village or organization; and any rural community, unincorporated town or village, or other public entity.

LOMR—Letter of Map Revision. An official document issued by FEMA that revises the information shown on an existing FIRM.



magnitude—The measure of the strength of an earthquake.

mass movement—A collective term for landslides, debris flows, and lahars.

mitigation actions—Specific actions to achieve goals that minimize the effects from a disaster and reduce the loss of life and property.

mitigation—A preventive action taken in advance of an event to reduce or eliminate risk to life or property.

Mw—Moment Magnitude Scale

NCEI—National Centers for Environmental Information

NFIP—National Flood Insurance Program

NRI—National Risk Index

NOAA—National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NWS—National Weather Service

pandemic—An epidemic of infectious disease that has spread through human populations across a large region, multiple continents, or worldwide.

PDM—Pre-Disaster Mitigation

peak ground acceleration (PGA)—A measure of the highest amplitude of ground shaking that accompanies an earthquake, based on a percentage of the force of gravity.

PGA—Peak Ground Acceleration

preparedness—Actions that strengthen the capability of government, people, and communities to respond to disasters.

presidential disaster declaration (same as federal disaster declaration)—These declarations are typically made for events that cause more damage than state and local governments and resources can handle without federal government assistance. Generally, no specific dollar loss threshold has been

established for such declarations. A presidential disaster declaration puts into motion long-term federal recovery programs, some of which are matched by state programs, designed to help disaster victims, businesses, and public entities.

probability of occurrence—A statistical measure or estimate of the likelihood that a hazard will occur. This probability is generally based on past hazard events in the area and a forecast of events that could occur in the future. A probability factor based on yearly values of occurrence is used to estimate probability of occurrence.

public safety power shutoff—An event in which a major electric power provider temporarily shuts off electrical power to a selected area to prevent power lines from sparking wildfires.

residual risk—The risk that remains after controls are accounted for.

risk assessment—The process of measuring potential loss of life, personal injury, economic injury, and property damage resulting from hazards. This process assesses the vulnerability of people, buildings, and infrastructure to hazards

risk ranking—Process to score and rank hazards based on the probability that they will occur and the impact they will have if they do.

risk—The estimated impact that a hazard would have on people, services, facilities, and structures in a community.

riverine—Of or produced by a river. Riverine floodplains have readily identifiable channels.

Robert T. Stafford Act—The statutory authority for most federal disaster response activities, especially as they pertain to FEMA and its programs (Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, Public Law 100-107). Signed into law November 23, 1988; amended by the Disaster Relief Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-288).

SFHA—Special Flood Hazard Area



special flood hazard area—The base floodplain delineated on a Flood Insurance Rate Map. The SFHA is mapped as a Zone A in riverine situations.

stakeholder—Business leaders, civic groups, academia, non-profit organizations, major employers, managers of critical facilities, farmers, developers, special purpose districts, and others whose actions could impact hazard mitigation.

steep slope—Generally a steep slope is a slope in which the percent slope equals or exceeds 25 percent. For this study, steep slope is defined as slopes greater than 33 percent.

USACE—U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

USDA—U.S. Department of Agriculture


USGS—U.S. Geological Survey

vulnerability—Assessment of how exposed or susceptible an asset is to damage. Vulnerability depends on an asset’s construction, contents, and the economic value of its functions.

watershed—An area that drains downgradient from areas of higher land to areas of lower land to the lowest point.

wildfire—Fires that result in uncontrolled destruction of forests, brush, field crops, grasslands, and real and personal property in non-urban areas.

wildland-urban interface area (WUI)—An area susceptible to wildfires and where wildland vegetation and urban or suburban development occur together.



Deer Lake Sunrise (Photo Credit: LeTina Vanetti)



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



River Canyon (Photo Credit: LeTina Vanetti)

Hazard mitigation involves implementing cost-effective and sustainable measures to minimize the risk to human life, property, and infrastructure from potential hazards. Through mitigation planning, Sierra County and its participating jurisdictions developed a framework to lessen the impacts of natural disasters and create more resilient communities.

HAZARD MITIGATION OVERVIEW

Sierra County has updated its hazard mitigation plan (HMP) to continue its commitment to reducing risk of natural hazards for the residents, assets, and community lifelines within the County. This update aims to safeguard the people and essential services provided throughout the planning area.

While the prior plan focused only on the County, this update is a multi-jurisdictional hazard mitigation plan (MJHMP) that includes annexes for jurisdictions throughout the County to address their specific capabilities, vulnerabilities, and mitigation opportunities. The Sierra County MJHMP the foundation for a long-term strategy to reduce disaster losses and break the cycle of disaster damage, reconstruction, and repetitive damage. This plan aligns with federal and state hazard mitigation planning regulations and



requirements to ensure eligibility for pre- and post-disaster mitigation funding through the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for all jurisdictions that participated as Planning Partners:

- Unincorporated Sierra County
- City of Loyalton
- Alleghany County Water District
- Downieville Fire Protection District
- Downieville Public Utility District
- Pliocene Ridge Community Services District
- Sierra City Fire Protection District
- Sierra County Fire Protection District #1
- Sierra County Waterworks Calpine District No. 1
- Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District
- Sierraville Public Utility District

BUILDING THE PLANNING TEAMS

Sierra County brought together a diverse and inclusive group of individuals to participate, develop, and implement the MJHMP. A Core Planning Team, Steering Committee, and Planning Partnership oversaw the planning process and were responsible for coordinating, overseeing, and executing the planning process.



CORE PLANNING TEAM

The Core Planning Team was made up of key personnel from the County and disciple leads from the County’s contract consultant, Black & Veatch.



STEERING COMMITTEE

The Steering Committee consisted of a variety of personnel from County departments and agencies, local jurisdictions, and stakeholders that guided the County and participating jurisdictions through the process of updating the MJHMP.



PLANNING PARTNERSHIP


The Planning Partnership included jurisdictional representatives seeking DMA 2000 compliance. They participated throughout the process, reviewed information, provided input, informed the risk assessment, developed mitigation strategies, and adopted the MJHMP.

OUTREACH STRATEGY

The Core Planning Team (CPT) implemented a multimedia public involvement strategy that was approved by the Steering Committee (SC). The strategy included a community hazard mitigation awareness survey; a project web page; and multiple print media distributed on post office bulletin boards and other local gathering places throughout the County (Figure ES-1). Additionally, the SC helped amplify public outreach efforts to their networks.



Figure ES-1. Public Survey Distribution at Local Post Office Bulletin Boards and Inserted in Commodities Bags



(Photo Credit: LeTina Vanetti)


RISK ASSESSMENT

A risk assessment is the process of measuring the potential loss of life resulting from natural hazards, as well as personal injury, property damage, and environmental damage. The assessment determines a community’s overall vulnerability to hazard events. The SC used the risk assessment to gauge the potential impacts of natural hazards identified as “hazards of concern” for this plan.

Risk assessment models for hazards of concern were based on current data and technologies. The assessment of each hazard of concern includes discussion of the following:

- Hazard identification and profile.
- The exposure of population, property, and the environment to the hazards.
- The estimated cost of potential damage, where applicable.

Based on the risk assessment, the hazards of concern were ranked for the risk they pose to the planning area. Countywide results are as follows:





In addition to assessing the hazards of concern, this plan provides a review of “hazards of interest.” The MHSC determined that these other hazards, though not required to be evaluated under federal guidelines for hazard mitigation plans, are important to recognize qualitatively in this plan. Hazard profiles, without quantitative risk assessments, are provided for the following hazards of interest:

- Cybersecurity
- Mass Gatherings
- Transportation Hazards
- Wildlife & Human Interactions

CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

A robust assessment of mitigation capabilities was completed by each participating jurisdiction to identify gaps that may need to be addressed so mitigation actions can be successfully implemented. The following capability types were analyzed:

- Planning and Regulatory
- Development and Permitting
- Administrative and Technical
- National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Compliance
- Public Outreach
- Fiscal
- Participation in Other Programs
- Adaptive Capacity

MITIGATION STRATEGY

The SC reviewed and updated the mitigation goals from the prior plan to reflect the current focus of Sierra County and its participating jurisdictions. The SC determined the following goals for this MJHMP:

- **Goal 1.** Protect lives and reduce hazard-related injuries.
- **Goal 2.** Minimize or reduce current and future changing conditions that may cause damage from natural hazards to property, including critical facilities and the environment.
- **Goal 3.** Develop and implement long-term, cost-effective mitigation projects that foster resilience for the whole community.
- **Goal 4.** Maintain, enhance, and restore the natural environment’s capacity to deal with the impacts of natural hazard events.
- **Goal 5.** Improve emergency management preparedness, collaboration, and outreach within the planning area.



Mitigation actions presented in this plan are designed to reduce or eliminate losses resulting from natural hazard events. The development process resulted in the identification of more than 50 mitigation actions for implementation by individual Planning Partners, as presented in the jurisdictional annexes in Volume 2 of this MJHMP. Several of these actions are within the current capabilities of each jurisdiction, resulting in a high priority for implementation over the next 5



years. Additionally, the SC and Planning Partners identified three Countywide actions benefitting the whole Planning Partnership, as listed in Table ES-1.

Table ES-1. Countywide Mitigation Actions

Action Number	Description	Implementation Priority	Grant Pursuit Priority
CW-1	Continue to maintain a website that will house the multi-jurisdictional hazard mitigation plan and any amendments to it adopted during the next 5-year period to provide the Planning Partners and the public with ongoing access to the plan and its implementation.	High	Low
CW-2	Continue to leverage/support/enhance ongoing, regional public education and awareness programs, such as Firewise Communities, Fire Safe Council, Heath Fairs, Everbridge, Genasys, and other community notifications and events, as methods to educate the public on risk, risk reduction, and community resilience.	High	Low
CW-3	Provide notification through links on the website or email distribution for available grant funding opportunities to the Planning Partnership.	High	Low

IMPLEMENTING, ADOPTING AND MAINTAINING THE PLAN


Implementing the mitigation actions in this MJHMP will take time and resources over its 5-year performance period. The CPT developed an implementation and maintenance strategy that includes the following:

- Monitoring mitigation action implementation.
- Progress reporting.
- A strategy for continued public involvement.
- Plan integration with other relevant plans and programs.

This MJHMP is designed with an adaptive management approach that can evolve along with funding sources and state and federal mandates. Sierra County and its Planning Partners will assume responsibility for adopting the recommendations of this plan and committing resources toward implementation. The framework established by this plan will enable the Planning Partnership to pursue FEMA Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) grant funding for feasible, eligible, cost-effective actions. The Planning Partnership developed this plan with extensive opportunities for public involvement and input. Public support of the mitigation actions identified in this MJHMP will ensure its success.

Part 1

Introduction and Planning Process





1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 WHY PREPARE THIS PLAN?



Bear Fire Plane Drop, 2024 (Photo Credit: LeTina Vanetti)

Sierra County and its participating jurisdictions, the Planning Partnership, prepared this Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan update to better protect the residents, property, and assets throughout Sierra County from the effects of natural hazards.

Hazard mitigation plays a crucial role in emergency management by working to reduce the impacts of disasters on individuals, communities, and important assets. By implementing mitigation measures, we can help prevent the same areas from being repeatedly impacted by disasters. Mitigation is part of the emergency management cycle, which is divided into the following four phases:

- **Preparedness** is when we develop or update activities, programs, and systems before an event happens. These activities are often tested (or exercised) in non-emergency situations. This tests their effectiveness. Emergency managers also assess potential risks, hazards, and vulnerabilities in this phase.



- **Response** focuses on the immediate and short-term effects of a disaster. It is usually focused on life safety and preventing immediate damage.
- **Recovery** is a long-term phase that looks to return a community to normal, or to a more resilient state, after a disaster.
- **Mitigation** focuses on building (or rebuilding) in ways that reduce the risk more permanently. It is an activity that can occur at any point in the emergency management cycle. For example, communities can undertake mitigation actions before a disaster (the preparedness phase) or while rebuilding after a disaster (the recovery phase) (FEMA 2023).



This multi-jurisdictional hazard mitigation plan (MJHMP) update highlights the dedication of the County and the Planning Partnership to reducing risk from hazards, enhancing overall resilience, and providing a practical tool for decision makers to incorporate mitigation into daily operations.

1.1.1 Federal Eligibility

Disaster Mitigation Act

In an effort to reduce the nation's mounting natural disaster losses, the U.S. Congress passed the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA 2000), which amended the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act. Section 322 of DMA 2000 emphasizes the need for state and local government entities to closely coordinate on mitigation planning activities and requires an HMP for any local government applying for federal mitigation grant funds. These funds primary fall under the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) program. Grant programs under HMA include the following:

- Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA)
- Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)

Hazard mitigation is any sustained action taken to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to life and property from hazards.

FEMA defines a **Hazard Mitigation Plan** as a community-driven process to help state, local, tribal, and territorial governments plan for hazard risk. By planning for risk and setting a strategy for action, governments can reduce the negative impacts of future disasters.

Entities with an adopted and federally-approved HMP are pre-positioned to receive available mitigation funds before and after the next disaster strikes. The plan was developed to make the Planning Partnership eligible for pre- and post-disaster FEMA grants.



1.1.2 Purposes for Planning

This MJHMP update highlights the County's dedication to reducing risk from hazards, enhancing overall resilience, and providing a practical tool for decision makers to incorporate mitigation into daily operations. The County prepared this DMA-compliant MJHMP to identify resources, information, and strategies for reducing risk from natural hazards. Elements and strategies in the plan were selected because they meet a program requirement and the intent of the County, the Planning Partnership, and residents to mitigate hazards. The plan will help guide mitigation activities throughout the planning area. It was developed to meet the following needs:

- Meet or exceed program requirements specified under DMA;
- Enable the County and the Planning Partnership to apply for federal grant funding to reduce hazard risk through mitigation;
- Fulfill state and federal requirements for hazard mitigation planning;
- Create a risk assessment that focuses on the hazards of concern in the planning area; and
- Coordinate existing plans and programs so high-priority projects to mitigate potential disaster impacts are funded and implemented.

This MJHMP is a living document that will be used to reduce vulnerability to natural hazards. It serves as the groundwork for the long-term plan to lessen disaster impacts and establishes a framework for decision-making to mitigate harm to individuals, assets, and the economy from future natural disasters. Mitigation projects include a broad range of actions to help reduce vulnerability, allowing Sierra County and the Planning Partnership to bounce back more quickly from disasters. This ongoing effort ensures that Sierra County and the Planning Partnership have the necessary information to create and implement successful mitigation strategies, reduce the impacts of natural disasters, and enhance overall resilience.

1.2 PLAN UPDATES

1.2.1 Previous Plans

The County has shown dedication to reducing disaster impacts by adopting its initial single-jurisdictional HMP in December 2012.

1.2.2 Why Update

The 2012 plan needed to be updated for funding eligibility and to include a diverse Planning Partnership. Throughout the planning process, the entire plan was updated with a focus on assessing changes in vulnerability caused by hazard events, evaluating capabilities and their utilization in implementing hazard mitigation measures, reviewing the 2012 mitigation strategy, and identifying new initiatives to enhance overall resilience within Sierra County and the Planning Partnership.

This plan update process has been treated as an initial planning effort due to the gap in plan updates since 2012. This planning process was a functional reset of the mitigation strategies for the County and its Planning Partners.



Federal and State Requirements

In response to the requirements of the DMA 2000, which requires local governmental agencies to develop and update its HMP every 5 years, this plan serves as the 2025 update to the 2012 County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan. The Sierra County MJHMP update is in alignment with FEMA’s Local Mitigation Planning Policy Guide (April 2025), FEMA’s Local Mitigation Planning Handbook (June 2025), and the State of California planning requirements.

Changes in Hazards

The 2012 HMP assessed the following eight hazards of concern:

- Avalanche
- Dam Failure
- Drought
- Earthquakes
- Flood
- Severe Weather – Winter Storms
- Volcano
- Wildland Fires

The 2025 MJHMP update includes the previously identified hazards, along with two additional hazards of concern (*italicized*) that were identified by FEMA’s National Risk Index as impacting the County and selected for inclusion by the Steering Committee. The updated hazards are as follows:

- Avalanche
- Dam Failure
- Drought
- Earthquake
- *Extreme Heat*
- Flood
- *Landslide/Mass Movement*
- Volcanic Activity
- Wildfire
- Winter Storms

Figure 1-1. Landslide on Highway 49



(Photo Credit: Steve Folsom)



Changes in Development and Population



Local Plan Requirement E1—44 CFR Part 201.6(d)(3)

A local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development.

Tracking previous and future growth in potential hazard areas provides an overview of increased exposure to hazards within a community. This requirement ensures that the mitigation strategy continues to address the risk and vulnerability of existing and potential development and takes into consideration possible future conditions that could impact vulnerability.

As of January 1, 2025, the reported population for Sierra County was 3,170, representing a decrease of 1.9% from the 2012 report population (California Department of Finance 2025).

Based on development permit data provided by the municipal Planning Partners (see Volume 2), permits were issued for the construction of 31 new structures over the past five years.

This plan assumes that some of this new development occurred in hazard areas and that all such new development would have been regulated pursuant to local programs and codes, such as the International Building Code and flood damage prevention requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Therefore, it is assumed that hazard vulnerability did not measurably increase, even if exposure did. Sierra County municipalities have general plans that govern land-use decisions and policymaking, as well as building codes and flood-management regulations based on state and federal mandates.

1.2.3 The Updated Plan - What Has Changed?

The overall planning process and the 2012 HMP have been improved and revised for this 2025 MJHMP in response to changes in planning requirements and overall improvements. Key changes are outlined as follows:

- **Plan Integration** – Goals have been established for the updated MJHMP to align with current County and Planning Partner initiatives and programs, as well as to meet identified state priorities.
- **Updated Hazards of Concern** – The list of assessed hazards was updated to reflect the most current community experience and concerns.
- **Equity Priority Communities** – The 2025 MJHMP update defines equity priority communities based on Sierra County's isolation and visitor population and includes a dedicated subsection for each identified hazard of concern.
- **Climate Change Impacts** – The 2025 MJHMP update dedicated a subsection for each hazard of concern to the issue of climate change and its potential effects on climate-related hazards.

The following table indicates the changes between the 2012 HMP and the 2025 MJHMP as they relate to federal requirements for local hazard mitigation plans (Table 1-1).



Table 1-1. Sierra County MJHMP Changes Since 2012

44 CFR Requirement (April 2023)	2012 HMP	2025 MJHMP Update
Element A: Planning Process		
<p>Does the plan document the planning process, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process for each jurisdiction? (44 CFR § 201.6(c)(1))</p>	<p>The 2012 HMP plan documented the whole planning processes. The process included public workshops, geographically dispersed throughout the County. The hazard mitigation planning process was discussed at various public meetings such as Board of Supervisors, Operational Area Council, Local and Regional Public Health, Fire Chiefs, School Board, and the Transportation Commission meetings.</p>	<p>The 2025 updated plan outlines the planning processes in Chapter 2, Planning Process. This includes formation of a Core Planning Team, a Steering Committee, and a Planning Partnership, each with defined roles and responsibilities. These groups included representatives from Sierra County departments, local jurisdictions, and special service districts. Each participating jurisdiction submitted a formal letter of intent to participate, designated points of contact, and contributed to the development of jurisdiction-specific annexes.</p>
<p>Does the plan document an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development as well as businesses, academia, and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process? (44 CFR § 201.6(b)(2))</p>	<p>The 2012 HMP documented engagement with local agencies. Two primary forums facilitated collaboration of the planning process with adjacent jurisdictions and the Sierra County communities. The first was the Mutual Aid Regional Advisory Committee for California Mutual Aid Region III, which includes emergency management representatives from counties adjacent to Sierra County. The second forum was the Public Health Coordination group within Region III, which enabled a multi-county, multi-agency approach to addressing public health-related mitigation and prevention.</p>	<p>Section 2.5, Stakeholder Coordination and Involvement, documents stakeholders and stakeholder participation in the planning process. Outreach efforts included direct email invitations, stakeholder surveys, and participation in planning meetings. The plan document engaged nearly 30 stakeholders and neighboring communities, including utility providers, fire protection districts, school districts, and health care organizations. These stakeholders were invited to serve on the Steering Committee, participate in surveys, and review the draft plan. Their feedback was incorporated into the plan’s risk assessment and mitigation strategies. The plan also includes a detailed table listing stakeholder organizations, their roles, and the community lifelines they support.</p>
<p>Does the plan document how the public was involved in the planning process during the drafting stage and prior to plan approval? (44 CFR § 201.6(b)(1))</p>	<p>The 2012 HMP described several public involvement opportunities. The planning team invited members of the public to participate in the official planning team meetings. A notice was posted around the county such as public message boards, advertised in the local newspaper, and</p>	<p>The 2025 update plan outlines a public engagement strategy in Section 2.6, Public Participation, that includes a public survey and a formal public comment period. The survey was distributed both online and in print, including through local post offices and community programs such as Meals on Wheels. Each of the Hazard Mitigation Steering</p>



44 CFR Requirement (April 2023)	2012 HMP	2025 MJHMP Update
	<p>passed out at the seasonal drive through flu shot clinics.</p> <p>After the first draft of the plan was developed, Sierra County made it available on their website and hard copies were available in various government and county buildings, such as the County Administration in Downieville, County Library Branches, and Loyalton City Hall.</p> <p>In addition, two educational workshops were held to inform the public on hazard mitigation plan and individual/family mitigation and preparedness actions.</p>	<p>Committee and Planning Partnership meetings was open and advertised for public attendance.</p> <p>Additionally, the draft plan was made available on the County's website and the executive summary was posted on community bullet boards. The public was invited to submit comments during a review period in July/August 2025.</p>
<p>Does the plan describe the review and incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information? (44 CFR § 201.6(b)(3))</p>	<p>The 2012 HMP references numerous existing resources used. Hazard data is primarily drawn from the USGS "Walker Lake Belt Region" report. Flood information comes from State DWR, FEMA guidance, and HAZUS modeling. Wildfire data was sourced from the U.S. Forest Service, CAL FIRE, and local studies. Weather data was provided by the NWS and historical records. Demographic data came from the 2010 Census and the California Department of Finance. Local hazard guidance was drawn from Sierra County's Emergency Operations Plan and the Safety Element of its General Plan. Historical disaster impacts were informed by James J. Sinnot's history of Sierra County.</p> <p>State-level hazard perspectives were provided by the California HMP and mapping from the California Earthquake Projects, Geological Survey, and Cal EMA. State and local regulations form the legal basis for Sierra County's mitigation goals and projects.</p>	<p>Section 2.8 of the 2025 updated plan provides a review of laws and ordinances in effect with the planning area that can affect hazard mitigation. Many of the technical studies and databases from 2012 were reviewed for development of this plan and are cited throughout the document.</p>



44 CFR Requirement (April 2023)	2012 HMP	2025 MJHMP Update
Element B: Risk Assessment		
<p>Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction? Does the plan also include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events? (44 CFR § 201.6(c)(2)(i))</p>	<p>The 2012 plan included a risk assessment of eight hazards of concern:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Avalanche • Dam Failure • Drought • Earthquakes • Flood • Severe Weather – Winter Storms • Wildland Fires • Volcano <p>Each hazard was profiled with discussions on nature, history, location, extent, and probability of future events. A summary of hazard significance and priority of hazards were ranked.</p>	<p>The 2025 plan presents a risk assessment of 10 hazards of concern and risk profiles, including location. The identified hazards of concern are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Avalanche • Dam Failure • Drought • Earthquake • Extreme Heat • Flood • Landslide/Mass Movement • Volcanic Activity • Wildfire • Winter Storms <p>Hazard profiles are standardized for each hazard of concern, so that there is uniformity in the discussion of each hazard and the information provided can support rating of risk for each jurisdiction. All profiled hazards of concern were ranked based on their quantified impacts.</p>
<p>Does the plan include a summary of the jurisdiction’s vulnerability and the impacts on the community from the identified hazards? Does this summary also address NFIP-insured structures that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (44 CFR § 201.6(c)(2)(ii))</p>	<p>The vulnerability analysis in the 2012 plan is based on general impacts on the community, exposure and estimate loss.</p>	<p>For this plan update, this aspect of the plan was significantly enhanced. Vulnerability was assessed for all hazards of concern. FEMA’s Hazus risk assessment platform was used for evaluating earthquake. The analyses supplemented the data built into the Hazus program with locally defined city and county data (Hazus refers to this as a Level 2 analysis). Site-specific data on County-identified critical facilities were entered into the Hazus model. Hazus outputs were generated for other hazards by applying an estimated damage function to an asset inventory extracted from Hazus. The risk assessment methodology used is described in Chapter 5.</p>



44 CFR Requirement (April 2023)	2012 HMP	2025 MJHMP Update
		<p>The vulnerability assessments for each hazard profile has been updated to specifically discuss the following factors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact on life, health, and safety • Impact on general building stock • Impact on community lifelines • Impact on the economy • Impact on historic and cultural resources • Impact on ecosystems and natural resources
Element C: Mitigation Strategy		
<p>Does the plan document each participant’s existing authorities, policies, programs and resources and its ability to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs? (44 CFR § 201.6(c)(3))</p>	<p>The 2012 HMP documents existing mitigation objectives and outlines specific action items that were developed in collaboration with local stakeholders. These action items reflect the County’s current capabilities, including available resources, policies, and programs. Each project is ranked based on criteria such as time horizon, cost, risk, and benefit,</p>	<p>Each participating jurisdiction conducted a capability assessment as part of the jurisdictional annex process. The plan identifies opportunities to expand or improve these capabilities and integrates them into the mitigation strategy. The assessment also includes current and future integration opportunities, ensuring that mitigation actions are aligned with existing planning mechanisms and can be supported by or enhance other local efforts.</p>
<p>Does the plan address each jurisdiction’s participation in the NFIP and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate? (44 CFR § 201.6(c)(3)(ii))</p>	<p>The 2012 HMP identified Sierra County Planning Department’s continued efforts to enforce the compliance with the NFIP through their Flood Plain Management Ordinance of the Sierra County code Part 32.</p> <p>The County has worked with FEMA in three broad areas of the NFIP:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Actively working with FEMA to revise floodplain identification 2. Working with local governments to manage development in the floodplain; 3. As part of the emergency services and NFIP public education process - the encouragement of residents to purchase flood insurance. 	<p>The 2025 update includes Section 17.5.4 specifically focused on NFIP compliance and floodplain management capabilities. Each jurisdiction’s participation in the NFIP is documented, including the designation of floodplain administrators and their involvement in the planning process. The plan also discusses how NFIP participation supports access to flood-related grant funding and outlines strategies to maintain or improve compliance.</p>



44 CFR Requirement (April 2023)	2012 HMP	2025 MJHMP Update
	The planning department has also worked collectively with FEMA to update the flood zone hazard maps for Sierra County.	
Does the plan include goals to reduce/avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (44 CFR § 201.6(c)(3)(i))	The 2012 HMP identified five goals and 11 objectives.	Five goals are described in Chapter 18 of this volume. These goals were developed by the Steering Committee and reflect updates from the previous plan. Goals help establish priorities for the action items identified in the plan. The goals are the basis for identifying and prioritizing mitigation actions.
Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each jurisdiction being considered to reduce the effects of hazards, with emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure? (44 CFR § 201.6(c)(3)(ii))	The 2012 HMP identifies and analyzes a range of mitigation actions aimed at reducing flood risk, particularly in the eastern basin area that includes Loyalton and Sierraville, where FEMA-designated flood zones are concentrated. Although relatively few residences currently fall within these floodplains, the plan acknowledges the potential for future changes due to ongoing FEMA remapping efforts.	The 2025 update identifies and analyzes a wide range of mitigation actions for each jurisdiction. These actions are categorized by scale (community, organizational, government) and by function (prevention, property protection, public education, natural resource protection, etc.). The plan emphasizes both new and existing buildings and infrastructure, and each jurisdiction selected actions based on their specific risk and capability assessments.
Does the plan contain an action plan that describes how the actions identified will be prioritized (including a cost-benefit review), implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction? (44 CFR § 201.6(c)(3)(iv)); (§201.6(c)(3)(iii))	For the 2012 HMP, the OES Coordinator consulted with the Sierra County planning team to rank each mitigation project's priority based on community needs and concerns. Projects identified as top properties are outlined separately and more detailed explanations are presented.	An action plan that outlines how mitigation actions will be prioritized, implemented, and administered. Each action is assigned to a lead department or partner, with a timeline for implementation and potential funding sources identified. The plan also describes the criteria used to prioritize actions, which include considerations such as cost-effectiveness, feasibility, and alignment with community goals.
Element D: Plan Maintenance		
Is there discussion of how each community will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process? (44 CFR § 201.6(c)(4)(iii))	The 2012 HMP discussed several initiatives for the community to continue public participation. Copies of the HMP will be catalogued and kept at all appropriate agencies in the County as well as at the Main Public Library, posted on official	Chapter 19 outlines a comprehensive strategy for maintaining the mitigation plan. A key component is ongoing public engagement, which includes keeping the 2025 MJHMP accessible on the County's website. The Planning Partners intend to regularly post updates and information related to



44 CFR Requirement (April 2023)	2012 HMP	2025 MJHMP Update
	<p>websites and be available as read-only files on CD-ROM. Public meetings will be held as part of each annual review. The meetings will provide a forum for public input to the Plan. In addition to public meetings, the OES office will provide an update to the Board of Supervisors (BOS) on the process of mitigation planning in Sierra County.</p>	<p>hazard and risk assessments on their communication channels, including the County's hazard mitigation website.</p>
<p>Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle)? (44 CFR § 201.6(c)(4)(i))</p>	<p>The 2012 HMP identified an annual review, or as deemed necessary by knowledge of new hazards, vulnerabilities, or other pertinent reasons. The review will determine whether a plan update is needed prior to the required 5-year update.</p>	<p>The 2025 updated plan provides a clear description of the method and schedule for monitoring, evaluating, and updating the plan in Chapter 19.5, Updating the Plan. The Planning Partnership is scheduled to meet annually to review progress on mitigation actions, assess the effectiveness of the plan, and make necessary revisions. The Sierra County OES Coordinator is designated as the lead for reconvening the Planning Partnership and initiating the 5-year review process. The plan also outlines that the update process will begin approximately 2 years before the plan's expiration, including securing funding and coordinating with Cal OES and FEMA for compliance review and approval.</p>
<p>Does the plan describe a process by which each community will integrate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate? (44 CFR § 201.6(c)(4)(ii))</p>	<p>The 2012 HMP discussed several processes for integration. The Sierra County Operational Area Hazard Mitigation Plan will be used to focus project prioritization. Mitigation projects will be considered for funding through federal and state grant programs, the Capital Improvement Project Budget, which is developed based on current and future funding availability. The Sierra County Operational Area Disaster Council will be the coordinating agency for project implementation.</p>	<p>Chapter 19, Maintaining the Mitigation Plan, describes in the plan integration and implementing the plan into other planning mechanisms, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comprehensive plan • Emergency response plan • Capital improvement programs • Municipal code and standards <p>Every proposed action listed in the mitigation action plan is assigned to a specific "lead" department or Planning Partner and an implementation time period. Specific current and future plan and program integration activities are detailed in each participating jurisdiction's annex.</p>



44 CFR Requirement (April 2023)	2012 HMP	2025 MJHMP Update
Element E: Plan Update		
Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development? (44 CFR § 201.6(d)(3))	No revision necessary. The 2012 HMP was a new plan.	Changes in development are reflected in Chapter 3.2, Sierra County Profile. Specifically, the plan includes a detailed section on land use, development trends, and general building stock, highlighting updates since the previous 2012 HMP. It discusses zoning districts, residential and commercial land use designations, and the extent of development in various sectors of the county. While the county has experienced a slight population decline, residential development has continued, particularly in the form of single-family homes. These updates are used to assess how new development may affect vulnerability to hazards and to inform future mitigation strategies.
Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities and progress in local mitigation efforts? (44 CFR § 201.6(d)(3))	No revision necessary. The 2012 HMP was a new plan.	The County reviewed the mitigation actions from the 2012 HMP and provided status updates for each. Actions that were no longer relevant were removed, while others were revised or carried forward into the updated mitigation strategy. The plan also includes a revised prioritization process for new mitigation actions and outlines updated goals and objectives that align with current county initiatives. Mitigation accomplishments since 2012 are documented. Mitigation actions are outlined in the Unincorporate Sierra County annex in Volume 2.
Element F: Plan Adoption		
For single-jurisdictional plans, has the governing body of the jurisdiction formally adopted the plan to be eligible for certain FEMA assistance? (44 CFR § 201.6(c)(5))	The 2012 HMP was a single-jurisdictional plan. Sierra County submitted the 2012 plan to the Sierra County Board of Directors upon successful completion of state and federal review.	Not applicable. The 2025 is multi-jurisdictional.
For multi-jurisdictional plans, has the governing body of each jurisdiction officially adopted the plan to be eligible for certain FEMA assistance? (44 CFR § 201.6(c)(5))	The 2012 HMP was a single-jurisdictional plan. Sierra County submitted the 2012 plan to the Sierra County Board of Directors upon successful completion of state and federal review.	Appendix F includes all supporting document for adoption of the multi-jurisdictional plan by all Planning Partners.



1.3 HOW TO USE THIS PLAN

The 2025 Sierra County MJHMP was prepared to align with FEMA's Local Mitigation Planning Policy Guide (April 2025), FEMA's Local Mitigation Planning Handbook (June 2025), and the State of California planning requirements. The MJHMP is organized into two volumes as follows:

1.3.1 Volume 1

- Executive Summary
- Part 1 - Introduction and Planning Process
- Part 2 - Risk Assessment
- Part 3 - Capability Assessment and Mitigation Strategy
- Part 4 - Maintaining the Plan
- Appendix A – Public Outreach
- Appendix B – Hazard Selection
- Appendix C – Meeting Documentation
- Appendix D – Federal and State Agencies, Programs and Regulations
- Appendix E – Plan Maintenance Agendas
- Appendix F – FEMA Approval and Planning Partner Adoption

1.3.2 Volume 2

- Federally required jurisdiction-specific elements, in annexes for each participating jurisdiction
- Description of the participation requirements confirmed by the CPT and SC
- Instructions and templates that the partners used to complete their individual annexes

1.3.3 Planning Requirement Icons

Throughout this plan, FEMA's local hazard mitigation planning requirements and state-specific compliance are identified using the icons below. These provide a pathway to show where the MJHMP meets each requirement.



FEMA Mitigation Plan Requirement – 44 CFR Part 201.6

Used to identify the requirements met for a local hazard mitigation plan.



California Senate Bill Compliance

Used to identify where the plan complies with California Senate Bills related to hazard mitigation planning.



2. PLANNING PROCESS



Local Plan Requirement A1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(1)

The plan shall document the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared, who was involved in the process, and how the public was involved.



View from Sierra Buttes Lookout (Photo Credit: LeTina Vanetti)

2.1 INTRODUCTION

The following section describes the overall process of updating the MJHMP, including how it was prepared, who was involved, and how stakeholders and the public participated. To adhere to the requirements of the DMA 2000 and ensure that the planning process received wide and robust support from the participating jurisdictions, regional and local stakeholders, and the public, the approach to the planning process and plan documentation included the following:

- The Sierra County MJHMP considers all natural hazards that pose a threat to the County. This satisfies the natural hazards requirements specified in DMA 2000 and Element B of FEMA's Local Mitigation Planning Policy Guide (April 2025).
- The County selected a contract consultant, Black & Veatch, to assist with the development and implementation of the plan.
- A Core Planning Team (CPT) was formed to lead the planning effort, as shown in Table 2-1.



- Sierra County invited all jurisdictions located within the County to participate in the MJHMP update. The County and 11 jurisdictions agreed to participate and meet participation expectations, where appropriate, as shown in Table 2-1.
- This MJHMP was developed and updated following the process outlined by DMA 2000, FEMA’s Local Mitigation Planning Handbook (June 2025), FEMA’s Local Mitigation Planning Policy Guide (April 2025), and the State of California planning requirements. Following these processes ensures that all requirements have been met and supports state and federal reviews of the MJHMP.

The planning process for this MJHMP update, as shown below consisted of the following six steps:

1. Organize Resources
2. Assess Risk
3. Engage the Public
4. Mitigation Strategy
5. Plan Maintenance Strategy
6. Assemble and Adopt the Plan






2.2 DEFINING THE PLANNING AREA

The planning area consists of the entire area within Sierra County. Relevant planning area characteristics are described in Chapter 3 (Sierra County Profile) and in each jurisdictional annex in Volume 2. The risk assessment for this MJHMP is performed for the entire planning shown on Figure 2-1. For the risk analysis, western and eastern Sierra County divisions loosely followed the Yuba Pass, the standardly accepted divider for the County.

Figure 2-1. Sierra County Hazard Mitigation Planning Area



2.3 FUNDING

Funding for this MJHMP was fully funded through Cal OES HMGP DR-4683-683-03P with a State funded Prepare California match.



2.4 FORMATION OF THE PLANNING TEAMS

A successful planning effort includes active participation and buy-in from the whole community – individuals and communities, all levels of government, private and nonprofit sectors, non-governmental establishments, community lifelines, and members of the public.



CORE PLANNING TEAM

The Core Planning Team was made up of key personnel from the County and discipline leads from the County's contract consultant, Black & Veatch.



STEERING COMMITTEE

The Steering Committee consisted of a variety of personnel from County departments and agencies, local jurisdictions, and stakeholders that guided the County and participating jurisdictions through the process of updating the MJHMP.



PLANNING PARTNERSHIP

The Planning Partnership included jurisdictional representatives seeking DMA 2000 compliance. They participated throughout the process, reviewed information, provided input, informed the risk assessment, developed mitigation strategies, and adopted the MJHMP.

2.4.1 Core Planning Team

The **Core Planning Team** was made up of discipline leads from the County's contract consultant, Black & Veatch, and key personnel from the County.

Table 2-1 lists the County's Core Planning Team members. They were responsible for monitoring plan progress milestones and identifying input need for the Steering Committee and Planning Partners.

2.4.2 Steering Committee

The **Steering Committee** consisted of a variety of personnel from county departments and agencies, local jurisdictions, and stakeholders that guided the County and participating jurisdictions through the process of updating the MJHMP.

Table 2-1 lists the Steering Committee members. They were responsible for participating throughout the process, reviewing information, providing input, informing the risk assessment, and developing mitigation strategies.

2.4.3 Planning Partnership

The **Planning Partnership** was made up of jurisdictional representatives seeking DMA 2000 compliance. They were responsible for participating throughout the process, reviewing information and providing input, informing the risk assessment, developing mitigation strategies, and adopting the MJHMP.



In September 2024, the County notified its municipality and special service districts of the planning process and invited them to participate. They were asked to formally notify the County by letter of intent to participate and to identify points of contact to represent the municipality and participate throughout the planning process. The contacts each jurisdiction identified in the letter of intent to participate were informed of the planning process, attended meetings, provided direct input, and reviewed plan documents.

Table 2-1 lists the Planning Partnership members and Appendix C (Meeting Documentation) identifies the individuals who represented their jurisdictions during the planning effort. Members of the Planning Partnership were responsible for participating throughout the process, reviewing information, providing input, informing the risk assessment, and developing mitigation strategies.

Jurisdictional involvement is demonstrated through the completion of an annex in Volume 2 of this plan. Each annex was developed with input gathered during the planning process and includes points of contact, risk assessments for relevant hazards, evaluation of capabilities for mitigation, identification and prioritization of mitigation measures, and ultimately, adoption of the updated plan through a resolution.

Table 2-1. Sierra County Hazard Mitigation Planning Participation

Jurisdiction / Organization	Name	Core Planning Team Member	Steering Committee Member	Steering Committee Member (Alternate)	Planning Partnership Member
Sierra County OES	LeTina Vanetti, OES Coordinator	■	■		■
Sierra County Public Works	Billy Epps, PW Engineering Technician II	■	■		■
Alleghany County Water District Pliocene	Rae Bell Arbogast		■		■
Ridge Community Services District					
Sierraville Public Utility District	Tom Archer		■		■
Sierra County Fire Safe Council	Danielle Bradfield		■		
Family Resource Center	Vickie Clark		■		
Inc. Senior Citizens of Sierra County/Meals on Wheels	Magdalene DeBerg		■		
Downieville Public Utility District	Paul Douville		■		■
Downieville Day Spa	Kathy Fischer		■		■
Sierra County Sherriff’s Office	Mike Fisher		■		■
Eastern Plumas Health Care Board	Victoria Fisher		■		
Downieville Fire Protection District	Steve Folsom		■		■
USFS Leadership Tahoe Forest	Joe Griffin		■		
Sierra City Fire Protection District	Scott Hall		■		■
Liberty Utilities	Lee Kiolbasa		■		
Sierra County Fire Protection District #1	Rick Maddalena		■		■



Sierra County Fire Protection District #1	Jeff McCollum			■	■
City of Loyalton	Sue McIlrav		■		■
Sierra County Building/Planning	Brandon Pangman		■		■
Sierra County Health	Tina Slowan-Pomeroy		■		■
Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District	Sean Snider		■		■
Sierra County Waterworks Calpine District No. 1	Bruce Troedson		■		■
Sierra County Waterworks Calpine District No. 1	Janet Drummond			■	■
Sierra County Public Works	Bryan Davey			■	■
Black & Veatch	Rob Flaner, Project Manager	■			
Black & Veatch	Megan Brotherton, Lead Planner	■			
Black & Veatch	Carol Baumann, Risk Assessment Lead	■			
Black & Veatch	Erin Schanen, Planner	■			

2.5 STAKEHOLDER COORDINATION AND INVOLVEMENT

Local Plan Requirement A2 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(b)(2)



The planning process shall include an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process.

Outreach to stakeholders was conducted in a timely manner and maintained throughout the planning process. This section outlines the stakeholders who were involved in the creation of this MJHMP and outlines their participation.

2.5.1 Types of Stakeholders

Stakeholders consist of individuals and groups that mitigation actions or policies affect. For this MJHMP, businesses, private organizations, public entities, and neighboring communities were identified and invited to participate. The County made conscientious efforts to ensure diverse regional, county, and local representation in the planning process. Refer to Table 2-3 for the list of stakeholders.

2.5.2 Stakeholder Participation

Throughout the entire planning process, stakeholders were invited to participate via email notifications and phone calls informing them of the planning effort and giving them an opportunity to get involved. Stakeholders were invited to participate as follows:



- Participate as a member of the Steering Committee and/or as a Planning Partner.
- Take a Public Hazard Awareness Survey.
- Attend Steering Committee and Planning Partner meetings.
- Share public outreach information within their organization.
- Comment on the draft plan.

Below is a summary of the stakeholders' involvement in the planning process, demonstrating the extensive outreach efforts made by the County. Key elements of stakeholder outreach include:

- 24 stakeholders agreed to serve as members of the Steering Committee and as a Planning Partner.
- The web link to the draft plan was emailed to all stakeholders and neighboring communities, inviting them to comment on the draft plan.



Federal and State Agencies

The following section lists the government agencies that were involved during the planning process. Note that Sierra County agencies are included in the Local Stakeholders section.

Table 2-2 describes how federal and state agencies participated in the Sierra County MJHMP update. Those listed in the table below were directly or indirectly involved in the process and provided crucial information to update the plan.

Table 2-2. Federal and State Agencies

Agency/Department	Federal	State	Participation
FEMA Region 9	■		FEMA provided updated planning guidance; provided summary and detailed NFIP data for the planning area; presented preliminary regulatory flood products to municipalities and the public; and conducted plan review.
FEMA National Risk Index (NRI)	■		FEMA’s NRI was used as a baseline for natural hazard selection for this MJHMP.
National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI)	■		NCEI’s online tools were accessed to obtain information regarding hazard identification, hazard details, and risk assessments to incorporate into the MJHMP update.
CAL FIRE		■	Provided updated maps for Local Responsibility Areas that were used in the wildfire risk assessment.
Cal OES – Mitigation Planning Division		■	Oversaw the planning process; reviewed the draft plan



Local Stakeholders and Neighboring Communities

Nearly 30 stakeholders and neighboring communities were invited to participate in the MJHMP update process and are listed in Table 2-3. Of those invited to participate, 26 different agencies and organizations provided input in a variety of ways. Those that served on the SC and as a Planning Partner, that provide services to vulnerable populations, and that were informed of the planning process are noted accordingly (Table 2-3). Stakeholders are aligned with applicable community lifelines. Refer to Section 3.5, Community Lifelines, for descriptions of each lifeline category.



Sierra City (Photo Credit: LeTina Vanetti)



Table 2-3. Local Stakeholders and Neighboring Communities Involved in the Planning Process

Agency or Organization Name	Stakeholder Category	Description of Services and Hazard Mitigation Capabilities	Community Lifelines Supported	Member of the SC and/or Planning Partner	Email Notification of Planning Process
Alleghany County Water District	 	Regional utility providing water service to Alleghany County Water District customers.		■	■
City of Loyalton		The City of Loyalton is the only incorporated city in Sierra County and is located in the northeastern part of the county.		■	■
Downieville Day Spa		Business in Sierra County.		■	■
Downieville Fire Protection District	 	Regional fire department with mutual aid/automatic aid agreements.	 	■	■
Downieville Public Utility District	 	Regional utility providing water service to the Townsite of Downieville.		■	■
Eastern Plumas Health Care Board	 	Provides medical services to the community.		■	■
Sierra Senior Providers, Inc. (Meals on Wheels)		Provides food and care services to seniors in the community.		■	■



Liberty Utilities		Regional utility providing electric, natural gas, water, and wastewater treatment utility systems to the community.	 	■	■
Nevada County		Nevada County is located south of Sierra County.			■
Plumas County		Plumas County is located northwest of Sierra County.			■
Pliocene Ridge Community Services District	 	Regional fire department with mutual aid/automatic aid agreements.	 	■	■
Sierra City Fire Protection District	 	Regional fire department with mutual aid/automatic aid agreements.	 	■	■
Sierra County Building/Planning		Public works department responsible for Land Use Application Processing, Zoning, General Plan, Surface Mining and Reclamation Act, Addressing, and Planning Commission.		■	■
Sierra County Fire Protection District #1	 	Regional fire department with mutual aid/automatic aid agreements.	 	■	■
Sierra County Fire Protection District #1	 	Regional fire department with mutual aid/automatic aid agreements.	 	■	■
Sierra County Fire Safe Council		Regional fire council providing wildfire safety education, outreach, and resources with the community.		■	■
Sierra County Fire Safe Council	 	Regional fire department with mutual aid/automatic aid agreements.	 		■



Sierra County OES	 	Coordinating and collaborating agency for emergency management for Sierra County. Government office located in Downieville.		■	■
Sierra County Public Works		Example services for Sierra County Public Works includes road maintenance, solid waste management, and water supply. Government office located in Downieville.	 	■	■
Sierra County Sheriff's Office		Provides law enforcement, emergency response, and administrative services to the community.		■	■
Sierra Valley Groundwater Management District		Oversees the management and monitoring of groundwater supply for Sierra County.			■
Sierraville Public Utility District	 	Regional utility providing water service to the town of Sierraville and surrounding community.		■	■
U.S. Forest Service	 	Provides services and resources for recreation, fire management and response, and permitting for Sierra National Forest.		■	■
U.S. Forest Service Leadership Tahoe Forest		Provides services and resources for recreation, land management, and permitting for Lake Tahoe Basin.		■	■
Yuba County		Yuba County is located southwest of Sierra County.			■



2.5.3 Draft Plan Review

All the entities listed above were provided an opportunity to review and comment on the draft plan during the public comment period, primarily through the link on the MJHMP web page. Each entity was sent an email informing them that the draft plan was available for comment and included a link to the web page and online comment tool. Additional invitations to review the draft plan were released through social media posts and on a banner on the County's website.

The public draft review period extended from July 28 to August 10, 2025. Six comments were received on the draft plan.

Upon completion of a public comment period, the complete draft plan was sent to the California Governor's Office of Emergency Services (Cal OES) and FEMA Region 9 for a pre-adoption review to ensure program compliance.

2.6 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION



Local Plan Requirement A3 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(b)(1)

The planning process shall include an opportunity for the public to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to plan approval.

An important component of the mitigation planning process involved public participation. Input from the public provides the County with a greater understanding of local concerns and increases the likelihood of successfully implementing mitigation actions by developing community "buy-in" from those directly affected by the decisions of County officials. Public awareness is a key component of a successful mitigation strategy and is aimed at making the community at large safer from the potential effects of hazards.

Public involvement during the development of the Sierra County MJHMP was sought using a public survey, which permitted open comment; and a digital copy of the draft plan was made available on the County's website and advertised for public review and comment. Comments received in July/August 2025 were incorporated in the draft plan as applicable.

2.6.1 Hazard Mitigation Plan Web Page

At the beginning of the planning process, the County established a hazard mitigation web page to provide information about the process. The web page was used to keep the public informed about milestones and public participation opportunities and to solicit input.



Figure 2-2. Sierra County Hazard Mitigation Web Page

2.6.2 Print Media

Given Sierra County's predominantly remote setting, the use of print media was a highly effective tool to engage and promote the planning effort (Figure 2-3.). Refer to Section 2.6.4, Public Survey, for descriptions and promotion of the Public Hazard Awareness Survey and public engagement.

Print media was utilized in the following ways:

- Paper copies of the survey with postage-paid envelopes were made available at all U.S. Post Offices throughout the County.
- Inclusion of the printed Public Hazard Awareness Survey with the daily meal services delivered by Sierra Senior Providers, Inc. Meals on Wheels program.
- Inclusion of the printed Public Hazard Awareness Survey in Commodities Bags distributed by Fire Districts to provide robust outreach to isolated populations.
- Posting of printed flyers at permitted campsites, post offices, grocery stores, County buildings, and community centers.



Figure 2-3. Print Media Promoting Hazard Awareness



SIERRA COUNTY CALIFORNIA

HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN 2025 UPDATE



OVERVIEW

Sierra County is working on a plan called the Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP) to help protect the county from natural disasters like floods, droughts, and earthquakes. This plan will help identify ways to reduce the risks of these types of disasters. Having an HMP is important because it makes the county eligible for funding from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to help with disaster relief.

The HMP is being updated with the help of a company called Black & Veatch, following state and federal rules. The plan will be used by the county and its partners to respond to natural disasters and help people affected by them. Once the plan is finished, the county and its partners will be better prepared for natural disasters and can get help before and after they happen.

BENEFITS OF MITIGATION PLANNING

The goal of the HMP is to keep people safe and protect communities in Sierra County from natural disasters. The plan looks at ways to reduce the risks of floods, droughts, earthquakes, and other natural hazards that can harm people, the environment, and the economy. The plan has to be reviewed and updated every five years and needs to be officially approved by each group involved. During this planning project, leaders from the county and local communities will work together to identify risks, figure out what they can do, and come up with a plan to reduce the chances of a disaster happening.

Sierra County and its Planning Partners will be working on updating the HMP over the next year. The goals of this process are:

- To give people chances to share their thoughts and ideas during the planning process.
- To carefully study the risks of natural disasters using the most up-to-date information available.
- To make a plan to help reduce the chances of people getting hurt or property getting damaged in a natural disaster.
- To save money in the long run by reducing the damage to buildings and the cost of repairs.
- To make sure the HMP is approved by the state and federal government.
- To be able to get federal funding to plan ahead for disasters before they happen.

WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!

Complete a quick survey by scanning the QR code and tell us which natural disasters you think affect Sierra County and what the County can do to reduce their impact.



QUESTIONS?

If you have any questions, please contact
LeTina Vanetti, OES Coordinator
(lvanetti@sierracounty.ca.gov)

MORE INFO

Learn more about the HMP on the County OES website:
<https://www.sierracounty.ca.gov/818/Hazard-Assessment-Plans>



2.6.3 Public Meetings

Each of the Hazard Mitigation Steering Committee and Planning Partnership meetings was open and advertised for public attendance (Figure 2-4). Refer to Section 2.9, Plan Development Milestones, for descriptions and details of the Hazard Mitigation Steering Committee and Planning Partnership meetings.

Figure 2-4. Public Meeting Participation

The screenshot shows the Sierra County Calendar website interface. At the top, there are navigation tabs: 'YOUR GOVERNMENT', 'COMMUNITY', 'COUNTY DEPARTMENTS', and 'HOW DO I'. Below the navigation is a search bar with fields for 'Start Date', 'End Date', and 'Word or Phrase', along with a 'Show Past Events' checkbox. The main content area displays a calendar for April 2025. To the right of the calendar is a list of events. The event 'Hazard Mitigation Plan Up-date Stakeholder/Planning Partner Meeting' on April 22, 2025, from 10:00 AM to 11:50 AM at 'There will be both in-person and virtual options' is highlighted with a yellow box. Other events include Senior Walking Programs, Immunization Clinics, and a Property Tax Deadline.

Participation

The public is welcome and encouraged to participate during the LHMP process! Upcoming meeting information and materials will be shared on this page and all meetings are open to the public.

If you live, work, or play in the County, please take a moment to [fill out a short survey](#). This survey aims to collect your thoughts on any hazards and risks we face locally. Results from the survey will help us better coordinate future mitigation activities to create more resilient communities!



2.6.4 Public Survey

The CPT developed and posted a public survey early in the planning process. The survey was designed to capture information from members of the public, especially those who might not be able to attend public meetings or participate through other means in the planning process. The survey was posted from October 28, 2024, through July 2025. The County posted the survey on the planning website and distributed through multiple print media venues. Paper copies of the survey with postage-paid envelopes were made available at all U.S. Post Offices throughout the County and included in Commodities Bags to provide robust outreach to isolated populations. A link to the electronic public survey was also shared with Steering Committee members, Planning Partners, and Stakeholders.

Figure 2-5. Paper Surveys with Postage-Paid Envelopes Distributed at Local Post Offices and County Buildings



(Photo Credit: LeTina Vanetti)




Figure 2-6. Commodities Bags Included Printed Surveys for Remote Areas of Alleghany, Pike, and Forest City



(Photo Credit: LeTina Vanetti)



Figure 2-7. Survey Promotion on the County Website



Summary of Survey Results

During the nine-month period that the survey was open, 163 members of the public completed the public survey. The complete survey and detailed results are included in Appendix A (Public Outreach). The following summarizes highlights from the survey:

- Nearly 71% of respondents are very concerned or extremely concerned about the wildfire hazard.
- Almost 44% of the respondents have not made a fire escape plan.
- About 60% of the respondents would consider retrofitting or upgrading their home through incentive programs.

Incorporation of the Public Survey into the Hazard Mitigation Plan

Survey participants suggested actions the County should continue to do or should start doing to reduce or eliminate risk of future hazard events. Of those suggestions, all are included in the mitigation action plan, or are existing core capabilities that the County will continue to carry out. Refer to Table 2-4 for examples of the suggestions and how they were incorporated in the MJHMP update.




Table 2-4. Public Survey Comment Examples and MJHMP Incorporation

Public Comment	2025 MJHMP Incorporation
It is the government’s job to teach people and create programs to help them protect themselves from natural hazards.	Countywide Mitigation Action CW-2: Continue to leverage/support/enhance ongoing, regional public education and awareness programs, such as Firewise Communities, Fire Safe Council, Heath Fairs, Everbridge, Genasys, and other community notifications and events, as methods to educate the public on risk, risk reduction, and community resilience.
Flooding and severe winter storms occur here more than large wildland fires, Fires causes the most damage and are unpredictable, whereas flooding and winter storms can be forecast days ahead, unlike fires. There is still a lot of mitigation work to be done for flooding, winter storms and wildland fires.	Mitigation actions for each jurisdiction in Volume 2 of this plan address flood, winter storm, and wildfire hazards.

2.6.5 Public Comment Period

Members of the public were provided an opportunity to comment on the draft plan for two weeks in July/August 2025. Email blasts were sent to 53 members of the Disaster Council, 49 community members who expressed interest in the mitigation planning process, and 137 County stakeholders and staff members. The County’s website included a link to the draft plan and an online tool that allowed community members to submit comments. Printed copies of the Executive Summary were posted on community bulletin boards throughout the County for community members who have limited access to online review tools (Figure 2-8). The locations included the Loyalton City Hall, Loyalton Post Office, Sierraville Post Office, Sierraville Community Center, Calpine Post Office, Sierra City Post Office, Downieville Post Office, and Goodyears Bar Post Office. Six members of the public commented on the plan. Comments were incorporated in the plan including adding descriptions of economic impacts relating to livestock, and listing additional historical wildfire events.

Figure 2-8. Printed Draft MJHMP Executive Summaries Posted on Bulletin Boards for Public Comment





2.7 IDENTIFICATION AND OUTREACH TO EQUITY PRIORITY COMMUNITIES

Due to the rural, isolated nature of Sierra County, the equity priorities of this plan target all residents who may be isolated due to distance from essential services or areas with limited ingress/egress, and visitors who may not fully understand the risks within the County. Additionally, the aged population in the County may experience challenges to prepare for and mitigate hazards.

The lack of broadband and cellular coverage in many parts of the County necessitated successful outreach to include in-person engagement and printed materials posted in public buildings, including post offices, County buildings, and grocery stores.

2.8 REVIEW AND INCORPORATION OF EXISTING PROGRAMS



Local Plan Requirement A4 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(b)(3)

Review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information.

Sierra County utilized the most current technical information, plans, studies, and reports during the planning process to assist in hazard profiling, risk and vulnerability assessment, reviewing mitigation capabilities, and identifying, developing, and prioritizing mitigation strategies at the County and local levels.

- **Overall Planning Process** – The 2025 FEMA Local Mitigation Planning Policy Guide and Handbook were reviewed and used to develop the MJHMP for the County. The 2023 California State HMP was reviewed for alignment with mitigation goals, identified hazards, risk assessments, and mitigation actions. In addition, neighboring jurisdiction mitigation plans were reviewed for alignment with identified hazards and mitigation actions.
- **Risk Assessment** – Asset and inventory data collected was used to complete the risk assessment of the MJHMP. This included local, state, and federal hazard technical information (e.g., US Geological Survey [USGS] earthquake data, CAL FIRE wildfire data, FEMA disaster declarations). Details of the data used, along with how the data was used, is presented in Chapter 1 and throughout the hazard profiles (Chapters 6 through 15).
- **Capability Assessment** – Numerous plans, reports, regulations, codes, and technical information were obtained from the SC, and stakeholders involved in the planning process, as well as through independent research conducted by the planning consultant. Sierra County and the Planning Partners were responsible for providing the inventory of their Planning and Regulatory capabilities in each jurisdictional annex in Volume 2, and noted relevant planning and regulatory documents as needed.
- **Mitigation Strategy** – The Planning Partnership developed more than 50 mitigation actions to address the results of the risk assessment and input received from the public and local stakeholders. These actions considered the current capabilities of the Planning Partners to implement each strategy.



2.9 PLAN DEVELOPMENT MILESTONES

Table 2-5 summarizes the planning process activities, efforts, and key milestones conducted to prepare the MJHMP update. Meeting documentation (e.g., presentations, meeting summaries) are located in Appendix C (Meeting Documentation). The table only identifies formal meetings held during the plan update; it does not reflect all planning activities conducted by individuals and groups throughout the full planning process.

Table 2-5. Plan Development Milestones

Date	Event	Description	Attendance
	Support Contractor Secured	Sierra County executed a contract with Black & Veatch to facilitate the HMP process.	N/A
	Organize Resources	CPT formed	N/A
August 6, 2024	Project Kickoff Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introductions • HMP Overview • Scope & Schedule • Core Planning Team • Steering Committee • Risk Assessment • Planning Partnership • County Department Responsibilities • Communication Protocols 	5
August 14, 2024	CPT Meeting #1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Steering Committee • Planning Partnership • GIS • File Sharing Site 	6
September 3, 2024	CPT Meeting #2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Steering Committee/Coordinating Stakeholder Invitations • Planning Partnership • GIS/Hazards • Public and Stakeholder Outreach 	4
September 25, 2024	CPT Meeting #3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Steering Committee/Coordinating Stakeholder Invitations • Planning Partnership • GIS/Hazards • Public and Stakeholder Outreach 	6
October 9, 2024	CPT Meeting #4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Steering Committee/Planning Partner Meeting Prep • Planning Partnership • Public and Stakeholder Outreach 	5
October 23, 2024	CPT Meeting #5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Equity Priority Communities • Website Content • Steering Committee/Planning Partner Meeting 	4
October 29, 2025	SC and Planning Partner Meeting #1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introductions • Hazard Mitigation Planning Overview • Role of the Steering Committee • Meeting Ground Rules 	16



		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning Partner Expectations • Confirm Hazards • Public Outreach Strategy 	
November 6, 2024	CPT Meeting #6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Steering Committee/Planning Partner Kickoff Follow-Ups • Public Outreach (Survey) Updates • Planning Partner Annex Phase 1 Process • Mission/Vision Statement • Goal Setting 	4
December 11, 2024	CPT Meeting #7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Steering Committee/Planning Partner Updates • Public Outreach Updates • Planning Partner Annex Phase 1 Process • Mission/Vision Statement 	6
January 15, 2025	CPT Meeting #8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current Events • Public Outreach Updates • Goals • Mission/Vision • Planning Partner Annex Templates & Instructions • Steering Committee/Planning Partner Meeting Agenda 	4
January 28, 2025	SC and Planning Partner Meeting #2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Welcome and Introductions • Confirm Vision Statement for the Plan • Confirm Mitigation Goals • Planning Partner Annex Overview of Phase 1 	15
February 5, 2025	CPT Meeting #9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meeting was held as a working session for Sierra County Annex 	4
March 12, 2025	CPT Meeting #10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Phase 1 Annex Coordination • In-person Assistance in April 	4
March 26, 2025	CPT Meeting #11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of Mitigation Strategies • Phase 1 Annex Coordination • In-person Assistance in April 	7
April 2, 2025	CPT Meeting #12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Phase 2 Annex Workshop Coordination • Public Outreach Assistance for Planning Partners • Stakeholder and Neighboring Community Outreach • Community Lifelines Definition 	5
April 22, 2025	SC and Planning Partner Meeting #3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval January Meeting Summary • Revise FEMA Planning Requirements • Vulnerable Communities for Mitigation Planning • Public Outreach Update • Planning Partner Annex Development 	12
April 23, 2025	CPT Meeting #14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of Mitigation Strategy Actions 	3



May 21, 2025	CPT Meeting #15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Virtual Assistance for Annex Development 	3
July 28, 2025	Public Outreach	Public comment period on the draft plan opens	N/A
August 10, 2025	Public Outreach	Public comment period on the draft plan closes	6 Comments
Month day, 2025	Plan Submittal to Cal OES	Submittal of draft plan and plan review tool to Cal OES for review and approval	N/A
Month day, 2025	Plan Submittal to FEMA Region 9	Submittal of draft plan to FEMA Region 9 by Cal OES	N/A
Month day, 2025	Approval Pending Adoption	Approval Pending Adoption provided by FEMA Region 9	N/A
Month day, 2025	Adoption	XXXX Council adoption of draft plan	N/A
Month day, 2025	Approval	Proof of adoption documentation submitted to FEMA Region 9 and Cal OES	N/A
Month day, 2025	Approval	Final approval of the plan by FEMA Region 9	N/A



3. SIERRA COUNTY PROFILE



Beckwourth Peak (Photo Credit: LeTina Vanetti)

This section provides general information about Sierra County, including its historical information, physical setting, general building stock, land use, population, demographics, population trends, and community lifelines. Analyzing this information leads to an understanding of the study area, including economic, structural, and population assets at risk, and of concerns that could be related to hazards analyzed in this plan.

3.1 OVERVIEW

3.1.1 Historical Overview

Sierra County was officially established on April 16, 1852, after California achieved statehood in 1850. Prior to its formation, the area was part of Yuba County. Covering approximately 980 square miles, Sierra County is the second least populated county in California.



Historically, Sierra County played a significant role in the California Gold Rush (1848-1955). Before the influx of miners, the region was the home to the Maidu and Washoe Indians. The discovery of gold and subsequent gold rush brought approximately 16,000 miners to settle within the area between 1848 and 1860, shaping the county's early development (Sierra County Chamber of Commerce 2024).

3.1.2 Jurisdictions

The City of Loyalton is the only incorporated city of Sierra County. The U.S. Census Bureau also recognizes several Census Designated Places within the county, including Alleghany, Bassett's-Green Acres, Calpine, Downieville, Forest City, Goodyears Bar, Indian Valley, Pike City, Sattley, Sierra Brooks, Sierra City, Sierraville, and Verdi.

Originally known as Smith's Neck, Loyalton was renamed in 1863 to reflect the community's support for the Union during the Civil War. It was incorporated in 1901 as a dry town, covering 50.6 square miles. At that time, it was California's second largest city after Los Angeles. Today, Loyalton remains as the county's only incorporated city in Sierra County (Sierra County Chamber of Commerce 2024). Due to its status and population, Loyalton hosts approximately half of the Sierra County Board of Supervisors' meetings, with the remaining meetings held in Downieville, the county seat.

3.1.3 Government

Sierra County operates under a board-administrative form of government. The county is governed by a five-member Board of Supervisors, each elected from one of the county's supervisorial districts. The Board is responsible for setting policy, adopting ordinances, and overseeing the county budget. Daily operations are managed by a County Administrative Officer, who is appointed by the Board and coordinates the activities of various county departments. The County oversees a multitude of issues through several departments including agriculture, behavioral health, building, child support services, district attorney, emergency planning, environmental health, information systems, local agency formation commission, personnel, planning, probation, public health, public works, social services, and transportation.

3.2 PHYSICAL SETTING

3.2.1 Location

Sierra County is located in the northeastern region of California within the Sierra Nevada Mountain range. Positioned between the Sacramento Valley and the Nevada state line, the county offers a remote and scenic setting far from major urban centers. It shares borders with Nevada County to the south, Plumas County to the north, and Lassen County to the northeast, while sharing its eastern boundary with the state of Nevada.

Geography and Topography

Situated along the scenic Highway 49, Sierra County lies in the heart of the northern Sierra Nevada and spans approximately 980 square miles. The landscape features densely forested canyons, pristine mountain lakes and streams, wild rivers, and the largest alpine valley in North America.



Sierra County is known for its rugged terrain that ranges in elevation from 2,200 to 8,900 feet. The western side of the County features deep canyons and lush forests, while the eastern side of the county is home to the Sierra Valley. Sierra County shares borders with Yuba County, Nevada County, Plumas County, and Lassen County in California, as well as Washoe County in Nevada. Much of the county is designated as national forest land, managed by the Plumas, Tahoe, and Toiyabe National Forests, making it a popular destination for outdoor recreation.

The county is also home to historic Gold Rush towns and long-standing ranching and logging communities. The western portion of the county, including Downieville, Goodyears Bar, Pike, and Alleghany, is heavily wooded and rich in Gold Rush history. These areas are dotted with historic buildings and well-preserved examples of 19th-century architecture. Towering above the landscape are the Sierra Buttes, which rise to elevations of approximately 8,600 feet.

Sierra County has more than 40 alpine lakes, most of which are located in the Sierra Buttes Lakes Basin Recreation Area. Crossing the 6,700-foot Yuba Pass leads to the eastern part of the county, where the towns of Loyalton, Sierraville, Calpine, and Sattley are nestled in the scenic Sierra Valley. At an elevation of around 5,000 feet, this high mountain valley offers a glimpse into the rural lifestyle of the historic American West, with open pastures, grazing cattle, and century-old barns built by early settlers.

3.2.2 Watersheds and Surface Waters

A watershed is a geographical area that directs precipitation and snowmelt towards creeks, streams, rivers, and ultimately outflow locations such as reservoirs, bays, and the ocean. Watersheds vary in size and configuration, encompassing anything from a small body of water or county to vast regions covering thousands of square miles with numerous waterways (National Ocean Service 2024). Figure 3-1 depicts the general makeup of a watershed.

Shown on Figure 3-2 are several distinct watersheds found within Sierra County. Table 3-1 depicts unique ecological characteristics outlined in Sierra County’s General Plan.


Figure 3-1. Watershed Diagram



Source: NOAA Fisheries, 2022



Figure 3-2. Watersheds of Sierra County



Source: Sierra County General Plan 2012

Table 3-1. Watershed Details

Watershed Name	Description
Sierra Valley Watershed	Special plants and wildlife include deer migration corridor, critical deer summer and winter range, Washoe pine and fir forest, deer fawning areas, Smithneck Creek Wildlife Refuge, and Antelope Valley Wildlife area.
Long Valley / Herlong Watershed	Special plants and wildlife include deer migration corridor, critical deer winter range, Washoe pine and fir forest, deer fawning areas, and the Hallelujah Junction Wildlife area.
Dog Valley Watershed	Special plants and wildlife include deer migration corridor and critical deer winter range.
Little Truckee River Watershed	Special plants and wildlife include deer migration corridor, critical deer summer range, water courses, reservoirs, deer fawning areas, Sardine Valley, and Kyburz Flat.
Oregon & Kanaka Creeks, Middle Yuba and Pass Creek Watersheds	Special plants and wildlife include deer migration corridor, critical deer winter range, ultra mafic rocks and possible sensitive natural communities, water courses, and reservoirs.
Haypress Creek Watershed	Special plants and wildlife include deer migration corridor and deer fawning areas.
Gold Lake & Sulfur Creek Watersheds	Special plants and wildlife include deer migration corridor and water courses.
North Yuba River Watershed	Special plants and wildlife include deer migration corridors, ultra mafic rocks and possible sensitive natural communities, and water courses such as Lakes Basin.
Goodyears Creek & Downie Rivers Watershed	Special plants and wildlife include deer migration corridors, ultra mafic rocks and possible sensitive natural communities, and water courses.



Fiddle Creek Watershed	Special plants and wildlife include ultra mafic rocks, possible sensitive natural communities, and water courses.
Lavezzola/Pauley Creeks Watershed	Special plants and wildlife include deer migration corridor, ultra mafic rocks and possible sensitive natural communities, and water courses.
Canyon Creek Watershed	Special plants and wildlife include deer migration corridors, ultra mafic rocks, and possible sensitive natural communities.
Slate Creek Watershed	Special plants and wildlife include deer migration corridor, ultra mafic rocks, and possible sensitive natural communities.

Source: General Plan, 2012

3.2.3 Climate

The climate of Sierra County varies due to its mountainous climate. The average annual temperature is approximately 41° F, and the historic average monthly rainfall is approximately 27.6 inches (NOAA NCEI 2025), with most precipitation typically occurring between November and April. Summers are generally warm and dry, especially in lower elevations. During winter months, Highways 49 and 89 leading into the area are regularly maintained and the Yuba Pass rarely closes.

3.3 POPULATION AND DEMOGRAPHICS

Those who live in the County are one of the most important assets and this MJHMP update will assess risk to people and identify mitigation strategies to protect them, including the isolated residents that are identified as equity priority community members.

3.3.1 General Population

Sierra County is the second smallest county in California, with the population located within pockets of communities, such as Downieville, Sierra City, Verdi, Sierra Brooks, Loyalton, and Sierraville.

Current and Historical Population

Table 3-2 shows past population estimates for Sierra County from 2000 to 2024. Over that time, California’s population grew by 17.4%. The County’s population has experienced a decline or stagnant population change since 2000.

Table 3-2. Recent Population by Jurisdiction

Jurisdiction	2000 Population	2010 Population	2020 Population	2024 Population
City of Loyalton	862	769	738	724
Unincorporated Area	2,693	2,471	2,498	2,447
Total	3,555	3,240	3,236	3,171

Source: California Department of Finance Historical Population Estimates

Future Population Projections

According to the Sierra County Housing Element 2024-29 and the California Department of Finance, Sierra County is projected to see a continued decline from over 3,000 in 2020 to 2,711 by 2060 (Sierra County 2025).



3.3.2 Equity Priority Communities

Equity priority communities may be more at-risk during hazard events due to a variety of factors, such as their physical capacity to react or respond effectively, as well as their location, quality of their housing, and lack of access to emergency services. Those with greater vulnerability may experience more severe impacts during emergencies or disasters.

The identification of equity priority communities within the planning area was emphasized throughout the planning process. As such, the entire resident and visitor population has been identified as vulnerable and included in priorities for equitable mitigation initiatives. The vulnerability assessment for each hazard and each mitigation action developed for this MJHMP applies to the equity priority communities. See Section 2.7 for more information on how these communities were identified and included in the planning process.

In addition to the large, aged population in the County, the Planning Partnership identified the following equity priority communities within Sierra County and prioritized understanding risk and the development of mitigation strategies to support these groups.

Isolated Resident Populations

The entire County has limited access to communication including cellular service and broadband coverage. Many communities throughout the County have barriers to physical access with only one road providing access. Community lifelines are also limited with limited medical facilities, no banking institutions, limited gas stations, and few grocery stores in the County. Isolation may present additional challenges to the aged population considering potential mobility limitations.

Visitor Populations

Additionally, the large visitor population in the County presents unique challenges to vulnerability. Visitors may not understand risks to the natural hazards present in the County and lack ability to communicate in an emergency with limited cell phone service. The visitor population can be triple the resident population in the County during summer months, especially during mass gathering sporting events. Sierra County has numerous campgrounds that accommodate the majority of the overnight visitors to the area. Campgrounds are identified on hazard maps in this plan to illustrate where visitors may be more vulnerable to hazards throughout the County.



3.4 DEVELOPMENT PROFILE

	<p>Local Plan Requirement B2— 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C)</p>	<p>Local Plan Requirement E1— 44 CFR Part 201.6(d)(3)</p>
	<p><i>The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of providing a general description of land uses and development trends within the community so that mitigation options can be considered in future land use decisions.</i></p>	<p><i>A local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development.</i></p>

3.4.1 Land Use

Land use describes the human use of land. It represents the economic and cultural activities (e.g., agricultural, residential, industrial, mining, and recreational uses) that are practiced at a given place. Public and private lands frequently represent very different uses (U.S. EPA 2024).

Land cover describes how much of an area is covered by forests, wetlands, impervious surfaces, agriculture, and other land and water types. Water types include wetlands or open water (National Ocean Service 2024).

Land use varies from land cover in that certain uses may not always be immediately apparent (e.g., land utilized for timber production without harvest for an extended period and wooded areas designated as wilderness may both appear as forested areas but serve different purposes) (U.S. EPA 2024).

Land Use Diagrams from the county build upon previous land use designations and zonings. Sierra County has 25 zoning districts. Residential zoning districts include R1 Residential One Family, R2 Residential One and Two Family, and R3 Residential Multiple Family. Residential uses are also permitted in additional zoning districts, including CR Commercial Residential; RR-1, RR-2, and RR-4 Rural Residential; and OS-20, OS-40, OS-60, OS-80, and OS-160 Open Space Residential. Table 3-3 shows a summary of existing land use designations in the unincorporated area communities, excluding the City of Loyalton. Transportation land use excludes streets and highways.

Table 3-3. Land Use Summary for Sierra County


Land Use Category	General Plan Data	
	Acreage	Percent of County
Residential	1,569	31.4
Community Uses	48	1
Seasonal Residential/Lodging	2,216	44.4
Commercial	85	1.7
Office Type Uses	13	0.3
Industrial	921	18.4
Utilities	40	0.8
Transportation	101	2
Total	4,993	100

Source: 2012 Sierra County General Plan



The land use analysis used the following planning sectors: Southwest Sector, Highway 49 Corridor, Highway 89 Corridor, Outside Loyalton Sector, and Verdi Sector Areas. Figure 3-3 shows the delineated sectors and the locations of major communities and highways within Sierra County.

Figure 3-3. Land Use Delineation in Sierra County



Land Use and Land Cover Trends

Approximately 55.6% of residential land use is developed in the Southwest Sector. Highway 89 Corridor is primarily developed as seasonal residential and lodging use. Other non-urban land resource uses, such as forest, agriculture, open space, and water cover approximately 581,596 acres, with forest as the most dominant.



3.4.2 General Building Stock

Current Building Stock

The County’s housing stock, predominantly characterized by single-family detached site-built structures, makes up 88% of the housing in unincorporated Sierra County. Partially due to limited public infrastructure, such as community water and sewers, the County has not experienced any apartment or other multiple-family development in the last 10 years. Based on County Building Department records of actual new construction that has taken place over the last 10 years up to 2023, approximately 65 new houses were constructed in the County, of which approximately 18 were mobile and/or manufactured houses.

3.5 COMMUNITY LIFELINES

FEMA released initial guidance on the community lifelines concept in 2019 to describe the assets that enable the continuous operation of critical government and business functions and are essential to human health and safety or economic security. Lifelines are the most fundamental services in the community that, when stabilized, enable all other aspects of society to function. When disrupted, decisive intervention (e.g., rapid service re-establishment or employment of contingency response solutions) is required (FEMA 2023a).

The Planning Partnership identified lifelines that support Sierra County before, during, and after hazard events. A summary of the critical facilities or lifelines located within the planning area is listed in Table 3-4 and shown on Figure 3-4 through Figure 3-8. Lifelines in the City of Loyalton are shown in detail in the City of Loyalton Annex in Volume 2 of this plan.

Table 3-4. Community Lifelines in Sierra County

Planning Area	Communications	Energy	Food, Hydration, Shelter	Hazardous Materials	Health and Medical	Safety and Security	Transportation	Water Systems	Total
Loyalton	2	0	4	2	2	10	1	0*	21
Eastern Sierra County	23	2	2	6	0	12	21	0*	66
Western Sierra County	26	2	6	12	1	17	41	0*	105
Total	51	4	12	20	3	39	63	0*	192


Note: *Spatial data for analysis of Water Systems is not currently available for Sierra County



3.5.1 Safety and Security

Safety and security lifelines include law enforcement/security (e.g., police stations, correctional facilities, site security), fire service, search and rescue, government service (e.g., emergency operation centers, government offices, schools), and community safety (e.g., flood control, protective actions). Overall, 39 safety and security lifelines were identified in the County of this MJHMP (Figure 3-4).

Figure 3-4. Sierra County Safety and Security Community Lifelines



3.5.2 Food, Hydration, Shelter

Food, hydration, and shelter lifelines include food (e.g., grocery stores, food banks), hydration (e.g., water supply chain, bottled water distribution), shelter, and agriculture. Overall, 12 food, hydration, and shelter lifelines were identified in the County for this LHMP. These lifelines exist within the County, but GIS data was not available for a spatial analysis or mapping. Many of these facilities, including grocery stores, are part of the general building stock analysis. Shelters,




food distribution centers, and cooling centers are included in the safety and security lifeline category (e.g., library, religious institution).



3.5.3 Health and Medical

Health and medical lifelines include medical care (e.g., hospitals, pharmacies, long-term care), public health (e.g., health surveillance, behavioral health, labs), patient movement (e.g., emergency medical service), fatality movement, and medical supply chain. Overall, three health and medical lifelines were identified in the County for this MJHMP (Figure 3-5).

Figure 3-5. Sierra County Health and Medical Community Lifelines






3.5.4 Energy

Energy lifelines include power grid (e.g., generation systems, transmission systems, distribution systems) and fuel (e.g., refineries, fuel storage, pipelines, gas stations). Four energy systems lifelines were identified in the County for this MJHMP (Figure 3-6).

Figure 3-6. Sierra County Energy Community Lifelines






3.5.5 Communication

Communication lifelines include infrastructure (e.g., wireless, cable systems, television/radio, internet), alerts/warnings/messages, 911 and dispatch, responder communications, and finance (e.g., banks and electronic payment processing). Overall, 51 communication lifelines were identified in the County for this MJHMP (Figure 3-7).

Figure 3-7. Sierra County Communication Community Lifelines






3.5.6 Transportation

Transportation lifelines include highway/roadway/motor vehicle (e.g., roads, bridges), mass transit, railway (e.g., freight, passenger), aviation, and maritime. Overall, 63 transportation lifelines were identified in the County for this MJHMP (Figure 3-8).

Figure 3-8. Sierra County Transportation Community Lifelines



3.5.7 Hazardous Materials

Hazardous materials lifelines include facilities and HAZMAT, pollutants, or contaminants. Twenty locations identified by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) dataset are located in the County.



3.5.8 Water Systems

Water systems lifelines include potable water infrastructure (e.g., intake, treatment, storage, distribution) and wastewater management (e.g., collection, storage, treatment, discharge).



Overall, no water systems lifelines were identified for this MJHMP due to a lack of spatial data for analysis.

3.5.9 Development Trends

Future Trends

According to the Sierra County Housing Element 2024-29, the County has been experiencing a decline in population since 2020. The State estimates that the County's population (including Loyalton) will continue to decline to 2,711 by 2060. The County has an extremely low rental vacancy rate of 0.41%, which points to the need for more long-term rental housing in Sierra County. The County's housing vacancy rate continues to increase, growing with only 36% of units reported as seasonal in 2010 to over 52% in 2020. This is reflective of houses either being converted or built for vacation/seasonal units or limited occupancy purposes.

Although population has been generally declining in Sierra County since 2020, residential development continues to increase. In the previous planning period, development primarily involved construction of single-family homes on individual lots. No requests for development were received that involved development of lower densities than allowed by either the general plan or zoning code or lower than what was assumed in the County Housing Element sites inventory.

Planning Framework

Future growth and redevelopment in Sierra County will be directed by its General Plan. As a growth Management state, the State of California mandates that all cities and Counties develop and adopt General Plans. California Government Code 65041.1 established state planning priorities which are intended to promote equity, strengthen the economy, protect the environment, and promote public health and safety in the state, including in urban, suburban, and rural communities, shall be as follows:

1. To promote infill development and equity by rehabilitating, maintaining, and improving existing infrastructure that supports infill development and appropriate reuse and redevelopment of previously developed, underutilized land that is presently served by transit, streets, water, sewer, and other essential services, particularly in underserved areas, and to preserving cultural and historic resources.
2. To protect environmental and agricultural resources by protecting, preserving, and enhancing the state's most valuable natural resources, including working landscapes such as farm, range, and forest lands; natural lands such as wetlands, watersheds, wildlife habitats, and other wildlands; recreation lands such as parks, trails, greenbelts, and other open space; and landscapes with locally unique features and areas identified by the state as deserving special protection.
3. To encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that any infrastructure associated with development, other than infill development, supports new development that does all of the following:
 - a. Uses land efficiently.
 - b. Is built adjacent to existing developed areas to the extent consistent with the priorities specified pursuant to subdivision.
 - c. Is located in an area appropriately planned for growth.



- d. Is served by adequate transportation and other essential utilities and services.
- e. Minimizes ongoing costs to taxpayers.

By providing goals and policies, the Sierra County General Plan guides development of Sierra County into the type of community that its citizens desire. The General Plan is a "constitution" for local decision-making that addresses the range of immediate, mid-, and long-term issues with which the community is concerned. The Plan is intended to allow land use and policy determinations to be made within a comprehensive framework that incorporates public health, safety, and quality of life considerations in a manner that recognizes the resource limitations and the fragility of the community's natural environment.

3.6 ECONOMY

3.6.1 Industry, Businesses, and Institutions

Government is the primary employer in Sierra County, making up 59% of all employment. Within the County's private-sector employment, service-providing is the largest industry, accounting for 31.25% of the total employment base.

According to the 2012 Sierra County General Plan, there were 69 employer establishments in the County. Of these employers, 83% have fewer than five employees and another 14% have five to nine employees. These are no particular centers or areas of employment in the County for most of these businesses. The largest government employment bases are in Loyalton and Downieville, with the schools and County government, and in Sierraville with the school and Forest Service. Table 3-5 highlights the largest employees in the County as of 2025.

Self-employed businesses account for approximately 25% of all employment within Sierra County, with 73.8% of those self-employed businesses. The largest number of self-employed businesses are in agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining industries, at 53%, with the second largest at 44% in the construction industry.

Table 3-5. Principal Employers in Sierra County

Principal Employer	Industry	Location
Alleghany Volunteer Fire Department	Fire Departments	Alleghany
City of Loyalton Fire Department	Fire Departments	Loyalton
Downieville Schools	Schools	Downieville
Downieville Volunteer Fire Department	Fire Departments	Downieville
EPHC Loyalton Skilled Nurse	Skilled Nursing Care Facilities	Loyalton
Haypress Power Project	Electric Companies	Sierra City
Herrington's Sierra Pines	Resorts	Sierra City
Leonards-Loyalton	Grocers-Retail	Loyalton
Loyalton Elementary School	Schools	Loyalton
Loyalton Fire Department	Fire Departments	Loyalton
Loyalton High School	Schools	Loyalton
Pike City Volunteer Fire Department	Fire Departments	North San Juan
Sardine Lake Resort	Resorts	Sierra City
Senior Thrift Store	Thrift Shops	Loyalton
Sierra City Volunteer Fire	Fire Departments	Sierra City
Sierra County Coroner	Medical Examiners	Loyalton



Principal Employer	Industry	Location
Sierra County Fire Protection	Fire Departments	Sierraville
Sierra County Fire Protection	Fire Departments	Calpine
Sierra County Human Services	Government Offices-County	Downieville
Sierra County Human Services	Government Offices-County	Loyalton
Sierra County Public Works	Government Offices-County	Downieville
Sierra County Sheriff	Government Offices-County	Downieville
Sierra County Waterworks District	State Government-General Offices	Calpine
Sierraville Maintenance Sta	Government Offices-State	Sierraville
Western Sierra Med Clinic Inc	Physicians and Surgeons	Downieville

Source: (EDD 2025)

Table 3-6 summarizes the breakdown of industry types in the County, according to Sierra County’s Housing Element 2024-29. Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation, and food services remains the largest industry employer.

Table 3-6. Employment in Sierra County by Industry Sector


Industry Sector	Percentage
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting, Mining	14.3%
Construction	17.7%
Manufacturing	6.4%
Retail Trade	1.1%
Transportation and Warehousing, Utilities	4.5%
Finance and Insurance, Real Estate, Rental and Leasing	2.2%
Professional, Scientific, Management and Administrative, Waste Management Services	4.1%
Educational Services, Health Care and Social Assistance	16.9%
Arts, Entertainment, Recreation, Accommodation and Food Services	22.9%
Other Services (except Public Administration)	1%
Public Administration	8.8%

Source: (Sierra County 2025)

Based on the American Community Survey 5-year estimates data profiles from the U.S. Census Bureau, the unemployment rate for California in 2023 was approximately 6.4%. In contrast, Sierra County reported a slightly higher unemployment rate of 12.2%. Table 3-7 illustrates the unemployment rates for Sierra County in relation to the State. The local economic conditions, including limited industry diversity and geographic isolation, may contribute to fluctuations in employment levels, especially within 2021 through 2023. These factors can influence job availability and access, particularly in smaller, remote communities like Sierra County.



Table 3-7. Recent Unemployment Rates for California and Sierra County



Source: (U.S. Census 2025)

Figure 3-9. Downieville, the County Seat



(Photo Credit: Sierra County)

Part 2

Risk Assessment





4. HAZARDS OF CONCERN

4.1 WHAT IS A HAZARD OF CONCERN?

Defining the hazards that present the greatest risk to the planning area is the first step in assessing overall risk to the community. The Core Planning Team and Steering Committee reviewed available information to determine what types of hazards may affect the planning area, how often they can occur, and their potential severity.

Natural hazards are eligible for FEMA HMA grant funding and generally occur because of natural processes. Some natural hazards may also be caused by humans, such as dam failure and wildfire. Human caused hazards are not reviewed by FEMA for plan approval and are not eligible for FEMA HMA grant funding. The Core Planning Team and Steering Committee chose to add them in the Plan because of their current and potential impact on the County and to align with other County plans and programs.

4.2 FEDERAL DISASTER DECLARATIONS

Federal disaster declarations are typically issued for hazard events that cause more damage than state and local governments can handle without assistance from the federal government, although no specific dollar loss threshold has been established for these declarations. A presidential disaster declaration puts federal recovery programs into motion to help disaster victims, businesses, and public entities. Some of the programs are matched by state programs. Review of presidential disaster declarations helps establish the probability of reoccurrence for each hazard and identify targets for risk reduction. Table 4-1 summarizes the federal disaster declarations that included Sierra County since 1964.

Table 4-1. Federal Disaster Declarations in Sierra County


Disaster Number	Event Date Start Date	Incident Type	Title
EM-3592	March 9, 2023	Flood	Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, Landslides, and Mudslides
DR-4699	February 21, 2023	Severe Storm	Severe Winter Storms, Straight-Line Winds, Flooding, Landslides, and Mudslides
DR-4558	August 14, 2020	Fire	Wildfires
DR-4482	January 01, 2020	Biological	COVID-19 Pandemic
DR-4308	February 1, 2017	Flood	Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, and Mudslides
DR-4301	January 3, 2017	Severe Storm	Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, and Mudslides
DR-1628	December 17, 2005	Severe Storm	Severe Storms, Flooding, Mudslides, and Landslides
DR-1155	December 28, 1996	Severe Storm	Severe Storms, Flooding, Mud and Landslides
DR-1046	February 13, 1995	Severe Storm	Severe Winter Storms, Flooding Landslides, Mud Flow
DR-979	January 5, 1993	Flood	Severe Winter Storm, Mud & Landslides, & Flooding
DR-758	February 12, 1986	Flood	Severe Storms & Flooding
EM-3023	January 20, 1977	Drought	Drought
DR-253	January 26, 1969	Flood	Severe Storms & Flooding
DR-183	December 24, 1964	Flood	Heavy Rains & Flooding

Source: (FEMA 2024)



4.3 NATURAL HAZARDS OF CONCERN AND HAZARDS OF INTEREST

Based on a review of the 2023 California State Hazard Mitigation Plan, the National Risk Index, and input from the Steering Committee, 10 hazards, listed alphabetically, were identified as natural hazards of concern affecting the County and will be addressed in this plan update:

- | | | | |
|--|--------------|--|--|
|  | Avalanche |  | Flood |
|  | Dam Failure |  | Landslide/Mass Movement also includes a discussion on subsidence. |
|  | Drought |  | Volcanic Activity |
|  | Earthquake |  | Wildfire also includes discussions of tree mortality and wildfire smoke. |
|  | Extreme Heat |  | Winter Storm also includes a discussion of extreme cold. |

Four hazards of interest listed below are discussed in a narrative, but not fully assessed in the plan.

- | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|
|  | Cybersecurity |  | Transportation Hazards |
|  | Mass Gatherings |  | Wildlife & Human Interactions |

Please refer to Appendix B for a complete comparison of hazards included in the 2023 California State Hazard Mitigation Plan, the 2012 Sierra County Hazard Mitigation Plan, and this plan update.



5. RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY AND TOOLS

5.1 ASSESSING RISK

In hazard mitigation planning, risk is the potential for damage or loss when natural hazards interact with people or assets, such as buildings, infrastructure, and resources. A risk assessment is a process used to identify potential hazards and analyze what could happen if a disaster or hazard occurs. It involves a data-driven analysis to identify potential hazards, what could happen if hazards occur, and determine vulnerabilities to hazards (FEMA 2023).

The risk assessment process focuses on three main elements – hazard identification, exposure identification, and vulnerability identification and loss estimation.

5.2 RISK ASSESSMENT TOOLS



Local Plan Requirement A4 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(b)(3)

Review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information.

For this MJHMP, GIS and FEMA's Hazus software were used to conduct the risk assessment.

5.2.1 Mapping

GIS tools provide a mechanism to perform quantitative analysis. Hazards that have specified geographic boundaries permit analysis using GIS. These hazards include the following:

- Dam Failure
- Earthquake
- Flood
- Landslide/Mass Movement
- Wildfire

5.2.2 Modeling

FEMA's Hazus Model 6.1 was used to evaluate the following hazards:

- Earthquake—A Level 2 analysis was performed to assess earthquake exposure and vulnerability for four deterministic scenarios: 2,500-year probability, Mohawk Valley M7.13, and Polaris M6.79. The Hazus methodology uses ground motion and ground failure fragility curves to estimate damage state probabilities which are then used to estimate losses at the Census tract level.
- Flood—A Level 2 analysis was performed to assess risk in FEMA's mapped 1-Percent Annual Chance Flood area.



Overview

FEMA developed the Hazards U.S., or Hazus, model in 1997 to estimate losses caused by earthquakes and identify areas that face the highest risk and potential for loss. Hazus was later expanded into a multi-hazard methodology with new models for estimating potential losses from hurricanes and floods. The use of Hazus for hazard mitigation planning offers the following numerous advantages:

- Provides a consistent methodology for assessing risk across geographic and political entities.
- Provides a way to save data so that it can readily be updated as population, inventory, and other factors change and as mitigation planning efforts evolve.
- Facilitates the review of mitigation plans because it helps to ensure that FEMA methodologies are incorporated.
- Supports grant applications by calculating benefits using FEMA definitions and terminology.
- Produces hazard data and loss estimates that can be used in communication with local stakeholders.
- Is administered by the local government and can be used to manage and update a HMP throughout its implementation.

Hazus is a GIS-based software program used to support risk assessments, mitigation planning, and emergency planning and response. It provides a wide range of inventory data, such as demographics, building stock, community lifelines, transportation and utility lifeline, and multiple models to estimate potential losses from natural disasters. The program can be used to map hazard data and the results of damage and economic loss estimates for buildings and infrastructure.

Level of Detail for Evaluation

Hazus provides default data for inventory, vulnerability and hazards; this default data can be supplemented with local data to provide a more refined analysis. The model can carry out the following three levels of analysis, depending on the format and level of detail of information about the planning area:

- Level 1—All of the information needed to produce an estimate of losses is included in the software's default data. This data is derived from national databases and describes in general terms the characteristic parameters of the planning area.
- Level 2—More accurate estimates of losses require more detailed information about the planning area. To produce Level 2 estimates of losses, detailed information is required about local geology, hydrology, hydraulics and building inventory, as well as data about utilities and critical facilities. This information is needed in a GIS format.
- Level 3—This level of analysis generates the most accurate estimate of losses. It requires detailed engineering and geotechnical information to customize it for the planning area.



5.3 RISK ASSESSMENT APPROACH

This plan evaluated risks associated with each identified hazard for the County. Each hazard was profiled using the following steps:

- *Description of the Hazard*: Defining the hazard and a discussion of potential impacts
- *Location*: Geographic areas most affected by the hazard
- *Extent*: Measuring the intensity of the hazard, warning time for preparations, and the reasonable worst-case scenario
- *Previous Occurrences*: Summary of past events that have impacted the planning area
- *Future Occurrences*: Probability estimates, including potential frequency and intensity shifts caused by climate change and population and development trends

For each hazard, one of the following assessment approaches was used, depending on the type of information available for the hazard:

- *Quantitative assessment*—Performed when numerical data are available to define risk. Available numerical hazard data may include financial impact and probability.
- *Qualitative assessment*—Uses words to describe and categorize the likelihood and consequences of a risk when numerical data are unavailable.

Vulnerability of exposed structures and infrastructure was evaluated by estimating the probability of occurrence of each event and assessing structures, facilities, and systems that are exposed to each hazard.

- Impact on Life, Health, and Safety
- Impact on General Building Stock
- Impact on Community Lifelines
- Impact on the Economy
- Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources
- Impact on Natural Resources
- Change in Vulnerability Since the Previous HMP

A range of potential opportunities for mitigating each hazard is included for jurisdictions to consider during the development of their mitigation strategies.

5.4 SOURCES OF DATA USED IN RISK ASSESSMENT

Hazard information and data were collected for all hazards from a variety of sources, described in the sections below.

5.4.1 Building and Cost Data

Parcel and building information from the Sierra County Assessor were used to compile a detailed, citywide structure inventory including replacement costs. Replacement cost is the cost to replace the



entire structure with one of equal quality and utility. Replacement cost is based on industry-standard cost-estimation models published in RS Means Square Foot Costs. It is calculated using the RS Means square foot cost for a structure, which is based on the Hazus occupancy class (i.e., multi-family residential or commercial retail trade), multiplied by the square footage of the structure from the tax assessor data. The construction class and number of stories for single-family residential structures also factor into determining the square foot costs.

5.4.2 Community Lifelines

An inventory of critical facilities and infrastructure was compiled from city, county, state, and national datasets. The facilities were categorized by FEMA's Community Lifelines: Safety and Security; Food, Hydration, Shelter; Health and Medical; Energy; Communications; Transportation; Hazardous Material; and Water Systems. To protect individual privacy and the security of assets, information is presented in aggregate, without details about specific individual properties or facilities.

5.4.3 Population

Vulnerable communities for mitigation planning are defined through two categories: visiting populations and isolated populations. In preparation for spatial overlays with the hazard data, the planning areas was divided into three geographic zones: Loyalton, Eastern Sierra County, and Western Sierra County.

5.4.4 Hazus Data Inputs

The following hazard datasets were used for the Hazus analyses conducted for the risk assessment:

Earthquake—ShakeMap data from the USGS Building Seismic Safety Council 2014 Event Set were used for the analysis of this hazard. This set of ShakeMap earthquake scenarios is the authoritative USGS collection for the continental United States. The scenario fault ruptures are derived from the latest National Seismic Hazard Model. Landslide susceptibility data from the California Geological Survey (CGS) was also incorporated into the Hazus model to replace the default data.

5.4.5 Other Local Hazard Data

Local sources used in the risk and vulnerability assessment include the following:

- Dam Failure – An exposure analysis was conducted using dam failure inundation area data from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers National Inventory of Dams (NID).
- Landslide – An exposure analysis was conducted using deep-seated landslide susceptibility data from CGS. This data differentiates areas based on rock strength and steepness of slopes combined to create susceptibility classes. For the purposes of the exposure analysis, the classes were grouped as follows:
 - Low– Susceptibility Classes I and II
 - Moderate – Susceptibility Classes III and V
 - High – Susceptibility Classes VII, VIII, and IX
 - Very High – Susceptibility Class X



- Wildfire – An exposure analysis was conducted using fire hazard severity zones data from CAL FIRE. These zones were mapped based on fuel loading, slope, fire weather, and other relevant factors including winds. The zones are classified as Moderate, High, or Very High fire hazard.

5.4.6 Data Source Summary

Table 5-1 describes the data used for spatially-based exposure and vulnerability assessments.

Table 5-1. Data Source Summary

Data	Source	Date(s)	Format(s)
Sierra County Boundaries	CA State GeoPortal	Downloaded 11/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Incorporated City Boundaries	Sierra County	Provided 8/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Incorporated City Boundaries	CA State GeoPortal	Downloaded 11/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Service or Taxing Districts Boundaries	Sierra County	Provided 8/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Water Districts Boundaries	CA State GeoPortal	2/2022	Digital (GIS) format
School Districts Boundaries	CA Dept of Education	8/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Fire Districts Boundaries	CAL FIRE	3/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Census Designated Places	Census Bureau	2024 version	Digital (GIS) format
Sierra County Parcels	Sierra County	4/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Assessor Property & Building Information	Sierra County	8/2024	Digital (tabular) format
Building Footprints	Microsoft	Downloaded 11/2024	Digital (GIS) format
California Statewide Zoning	CA Office of Planning and Research	10/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Recreation Structures	Esri US Federal Data (USGS National Map)	12/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Recreation Sites	United States Forest Service (USFS)	9/2023	Digital (GIS) format
Sierra County Mines	Mines	Provided 8/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Local Law Enforcement Locations	Homeland Infrastructure Foundation-Level Data (HIFLD)	2/2021	Digital (GIS) format
Police Station Facilities	Hazus v6.1	Hazus v6.1 released 11/2023	Digital (GIS) format
Fire Station Facilities	Hazus v6.1	Hazus v6.1 released 11/2023	Digital (GIS) format
Emergency Operations Center Facilities	Hazus v6.1	Hazus v6.1 released 11/2023	Digital (GIS) format
California School District Offices 2023-24	CA Dept of Education	8/2024	Digital (GIS) format
California Schools 2023-24	CA Dept of Education	12/2024	Digital (GIS) format
School Facilities	Hazus v6.1	Hazus v6.1 released 11/2023	Digital (GIS) format
Medical Care Facilities	Hazus v6.1	Hazus v6.1 released 11/2023	Digital (GIS) format
Electric Power Facilities	Hazus v6.1	Hazus v6.1 released 11/2023	Digital (GIS) format
California Power Plants	California Energy Commission	9/2024	Digital (GIS) format



Data	Source	Date(s)	Format(s)
Power Plants	HIFLD	9/2023	Digital (GIS) format
California Electric Transmission Lines	California Energy Commission	6/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Transmission Lines	HIFLD	12/2022	Digital (GIS) format
Repeater Sites	Sierra County	Provided 8/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Cellular Towers	HIFLD	7/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Microwave Service Towers	HIFLD	7/2024	Digital (GIS) format
SHN Lines	CA State GeoPortal	12/2024	Digital (GIS) format
TIGER/Line data	Census Bureau	2024 version	Digital (GIS) format
CRS - Functional Classification	CA State GeoPortal	7/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Train tracks	Sierra County	Provided 8/2024	Digital (GIS) format
California Rail Network	CA State GeoPortal	10/2023	Digital (GIS) format
Public Airport	CA State GeoPortal	10/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Airport Facilities	Hazus v6.1	Hazus v6.1 released 11/2023	Digital (GIS) format
Highway Bridges	Hazus v6.1	Hazus v6.1 released 11/2023	Digital (GIS) format
Railway Bridges	Hazus v6.1	Hazus v6.1 released 11/2023	Digital (GIS) format
State Highway Bridges	CA State GeoPortal	12/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Local Bridges	CA State GeoPortal	3/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Facilities from the California Environmental Reporting System (CERS)	CalEPA	11/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Independence Dam (Main Dam) Sunny Day Breach inundation depth grid	USACE	Downloaded 9/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Effective DFIRM for Sierra County (dated 4/19/2019; latest LOMR effective 8/16/2013)	FEMA	9/27/2019	Digital (GIS) format
DWR Awareness 100 year	CA DWR	Downloaded 11/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Mohawk Valley M7.13 ShakeMap	USGS	5/16/2017	Digital (GIS) format
Polaris M6.79 ShakeMap	USGS	5/16/2017	Digital (GIS) format
CGS Map Sheet 48: Shear-wave Velocity in Upper 30m of Surficial Geology (Vs30)	CGS	9/30/2016	Digital (GIS) format
UCERF3 Faults	USGS	Downloaded 7/2024	Digital (GIS) format
CGS Map Sheet 58: Susceptibility to Deep-Seated Landslides in California	CGS	10/1/2018	Digital (GIS) format
Fire Hazard Severity Zones, in SRA Effective April 1, 2024	CAL FIRE	Downloaded 11/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Wildland Urban Interface	CAL FIRE	Downloaded 11/2024	Digital (GIS) format
Historic Fire Perimeters 2023	CAL FIRE	Downloaded 11/2024	Digital (GIS) format
State Responsibility Areas	CAL FIRE	12/2024	Digital (GIS) format



5.5 DATA LIMITATIONS

Loss estimates, exposure assessments, and hazard-specific vulnerability evaluations rely on the best available data and methodologies. Uncertainties are inherent in any loss estimation methodology and arise in part from incomplete scientific knowledge concerning natural hazards and their effects on the built environment.

Uncertainties also result from the following:

- Approximations and simplifications necessary to conduct such a study.
- Incomplete or dated inventory, demographic, or economic parameter data.
- The unique nature, geographic extent, and severity of each hazard.
- Mitigation measures already employed by the participating municipalities.
- The amount of advance notice residents have to prepare for a specific hazard event.
- Uncertainty of climate change projections.

Hazus currently represents the industry best management practice for assessing risk in support of hazard mitigation planning. However, the Hazus model is limited by the availability of data to support its working components. The model makes assumptions where firm data are not available. Assumptions are used, for example, to estimate ground deformation caused by liquefaction. These model limitations can lead to an understatement or overstatement of risk.

These factors can result in a range of uncertainty in loss estimates, possibly by a factor of two or more. Therefore, potential exposure and loss estimates are approximate. These results do not predict precise results and should be used to understand relative risk. Over the long term, Sierra County will collect additional data to update and refine existing inventories to assist in estimating potential losses.

Potential economic loss is based on the present value of the general building stock utilizing best available data. Sierra County acknowledges significant impacts may occur to critical facilities and infrastructure as a result of these hazard events causing great economic loss. However, monetized damage estimates to critical facilities and infrastructure, and economic impacts were not quantified and require more detailed loss analyses. In addition, economic impacts to industry such as tourism and the real-estate market were not analyzed.



AVALANCHE



CHANGES
SINCE 2012

+2

Documented
Regional
Avalanche
Events



Visitor Population
Exposed in
Backcountry



Potential Road and
Highway Impacts



Potential Impacts to
Emergency Response
Times



MEDIUM
Overall Risk Ranking



6. AVALANCHE

6.1 HAZARD PROFILE



Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(i)

Include a description of the type, location, and extent for the identified hazards of concern and include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and the probability of future hazard events.

6.1.1 Description of the Hazard

Defining the Hazard

An avalanche is a rapid flow of snow down a hill or mountainside. Although avalanches can occur on any slope given the right conditions, certain times of the year and certain locations are naturally more dangerous than others (NWS, Avalanche Safety n.d.). Wintertime, particularly from December to April, is when most avalanches tend to happen. However, avalanche fatalities have been recorded for every month of the year. Avalanches occur when loading of new snow increases stress at a rate faster than strength develops, and the slope fails. Critical stresses develop more quickly on steeper slopes and where deposition of wind-transported snow is common. The vast majority of avalanches occur during or shortly after storms. This hazard generally affects a small number of people, such as snowboarders, skiers, and hikers, who venture into backcountry areas during or after winter storms. Roads and highway closures, damaged structures, and destruction of forests are also a direct result of avalanches. The combination of steep slopes, abundant snow, weather, snowpack, and an impetus to cause movement creates avalanches. Areas prone to avalanche hazards include hard-to-access areas deep in the backcountry.

Cause of the Hazard

An avalanche path describes the terrain where an avalanche can occur, from its starting point to its terminus. An avalanche path has three main parts: starting zone, avalanche track, and runout zone. Figure 6-1 depicts the following three parts (Avalanche, Start Zone n.d.):

- The **start zone** is the portion of the avalanche path where an avalanche releases. Start zones are located at the top of an avalanche path and are characterized by terrain steeper than about 30 degrees that lack dense forest cover.
- The **avalanche track** is the middle portion of the avalanche path covered by an avalanche in motion. It connects the start zone to the runout zone. An avalanche typically releases in the start zone above but will continue to flow and entrain more snow in the track, often gaining momentum.
- The **runout** is the lower portion of an avalanche path where the avalanche slows down and stops. The location of the runout depends on terrain characteristics and the relative size of the avalanche. Historic runout zones are commonly demarcated by mature trees. Under certain conditions, people can trigger avalanches from low in the runout zones which can then overrun their position.



Figure 6-1. Avalanche Path Parts



Several factors may affect the likelihood of an avalanche, including weather, temperature, slope steepness, slope orientation (whether the slope is facing north or south), wind direction, terrain, vegetation, and general snowpack conditions. Different combinations of these factors can create low, moderate, or extreme avalanche conditions. Some of these conditions, such as temperature and snowpack, can change on a daily or hourly basis. Snow avalanches are most likely to occur after a fresh snowfall adds a new layer to a snowpack. If new snow piles up during a storm, the snowpack may become overloaded, setting off a slide. Earthquakes and smaller vibrations, such as vibration from a single skier, can set off avalanches (National Geographic 2024).

Summary of Potential Impacts

Avalanches often result in the loss of life and serious injuries, particularly among outdoor enthusiasts such as skiers and mountaineers. Economically, avalanches can destroy infrastructure like roads, power lines, and buildings, leading to costly repairs and disruptions to local economies, especially in tourism-dependent regions. Environmentally, avalanches can strip mountainsides of vegetation, disrupt wildlife habitats, and alter landscapes through soil erosion and debris deposition. These events are influenced by factors such as snowpack conditions, terrain, and weather, and their frequency and intensity may be exacerbated by climate change.



Cascading Hazard Impacts

The most significant cascading impacts from snow avalanches are the closure of transportation corridors, which can isolate populations and interrupt commodity flows. Avalanches tend to occur independently of other types of hazards, although it is possible for avalanches to be triggered by severe weather and earthquakes. Avalanches might cause erosion on sloped terrain, thereby increasing the likelihood of future landslides. In addition, debris deposited in a river or stream because of avalanches might alter its flow and contribute to flooding later (CalOES 2023).

6.1.2 Location

Snow avalanches occur in the steep mountainous areas of California that receive significant amounts of snow. They are weather-related threats to communities, residents, and visitors in the high mountain areas of the State. Avalanches tend to occur in three distinct areas in California: the Eastern Sierras, the Central Sierra Nevada, and the southern part of the Cascade Range near Mount Shasta. Each avalanche-prone area has an organization monitoring conditions, forecasts, and safety information.

6.1.3 Extent

The central drainage divide of Sierra County above 8,000 feet is a prime avalanche danger zone. The area North from Highway 80 to Highway 49 in Sierra County is prime avalanche country. Avalanches usually occur above 7,500 to 8,000 feet in elevation, are due to three principal conditions/concerns, and are usually an annual threat.

Measuring Intensity

Avalanche sizes vary depending on snowpack conditions and terrain. Avalanches are sized based on their destructive potential and relative size within a given avalanche path (Avalanche 2025).

The destructive potential of avalanches is a function of the mass, speed, and density of avalanche debris paired with the length and cross-section of the avalanche path. Observers rate the size of an avalanche based on its destructive potential: D1 avalanches are harmless, D2 avalanches can injure or kill people, D3 avalanches can destroy a house or car, D4 avalanches can destroy buildings or mature forests, and D5 avalanches can gouge the landscape. Given the somewhat subjective nature of these descriptions, observers can further reference guidance defining typical mass, deposit volumes, impact pressures, and lengths to rate destructive size. While typical avalanche length is the easiest to quantify in the field, it is the least reliable measure of size. An avalanche of a given destructive size has a wide range of potential runout lengths, depending on the width and thickness of the avalanche and the characteristics of the terrain (Avalanche 2025). Figure 6-2 shows the D Scale, an estimate of the destructive potential of moving avalanche debris, rated on a scale from 1 to 5.



Figure 6-2. D Scale Avalanche Classifications

Size	Destructive potential	Typical length	Typical deposit volume
D1	Rel. harmless to people	Bus	Avg apartment ≤ 1 m deep
D2	Injure/bury/kill a person	Football field	Floor large house ~2 m deep
D3	Bury/destroy a car or house	1 km	Hockey rink 2-3 m deep
D4	Destroy a large truck or 4 ha forest	2 km	4 hockey rinks 4 m deep
D5	Destroy a village or 40 ha forest	3 km	5+ football fields 8 m deep

The relative size of an avalanche is a holistic measure considering everything that contributes to the size of the avalanche, including the depth and width of the slab, the distance from the crown to the staunchwall, and the amount of snow entrained in the track. R1 avalanches are very small relative to what the path can produce, R3 avalanches are medium relative to the path, and R5 avalanches are maximum relative to the path. The relative size scale is a function of many factors including the horizontal extent and vertical depth of the fracture, the volume and mass of debris, and the runout distance of the avalanche on a given terrain feature. Figure 6-3 outlines the relative size rated on a scale from 1 to 5.

Figure 6-3. R Scale Avalanche Classification





Warning Time

The North American Public Avalanche Danger Scale (NAPADS) is a system that rates avalanche danger and provides general travel advice based on the likelihood, size, and distribution of expected avalanches. It consists of five levels, from least to highest amount of danger: 1 – Low, 2 – Moderate, 3 – Considerable, 4 – High, 5 – Extreme. Danger ratings are typically provided for three distinct elevation bands. Although the danger ratings are assigned numerical levels, the danger increases exponentially between levels. In other words, the hazard rises more dramatically as it ascends toward the higher levels on the scale (Avalanche 2025). The scale is presented on Figure 6-4.

Figure 6-4. North American Public Avalanche Danger Scale

North American Public Avalanche Danger Scale <i>Avalanche danger is determined by the likelihood, size, and distribution of avalanches. Safe backcountry travel requires training and experience. You control your risk by choosing when, where, and how you travel.</i>				
Danger Level		Travel Advice	Likelihood	Size and Distribution
5 - Extreme		Extraordinarily dangerous avalanche conditions. Avoid all avalanche terrain.	Natural and human-triggered avalanches certain.	Very large avalanches in many areas.
4 - High		Very dangerous avalanche conditions. Travel in avalanche terrain not recommended.	Natural avalanches likely; human-triggered avalanches very likely.	Large avalanches in many areas; or very large avalanches in specific areas.
3 - Considerable		Dangerous avalanche conditions. Careful snowpack evaluation, cautious route-finding, and conservative decision-making essential.	Natural avalanches possible; human-triggered avalanches likely.	Small avalanches in many areas; or large avalanches in specific areas; or very large avalanches in isolated areas.
2 - Moderate		Heightened avalanche conditions on specific terrain features. Evaluate snow and terrain carefully; identify features of concern.	Natural avalanches unlikely; human-triggered avalanches possible.	Small avalanches in specific areas; or large avalanches in isolated areas.
1 - Low		Generally safe avalanche conditions. Watch for unstable snow on isolated terrain features.	Natural and human-triggered avalanches unlikely.	Small avalanches in isolated areas or extreme terrain.

The National Weather Service (NWS) provides current weather conditions and forecast information to regional avalanche forecast centers that in turn issue avalanche forecasts. Avalanche warnings and special advisories are included on NWS websites and broadcast over National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Weather Radio (NWS, Avalanche Safety n.d.). In California, several avalanche centers provide forecasts, advisories, and warnings. The Eastern Sierra Avalanche Center focuses on the Eastern Sierra Nevada mountain range in California. The Sierra Avalanche Center, based in Truckee, focuses on the Central Sierra Nevada/Lake Tahoe area (Sierra Avalanche Center n.d.), which also includes parts of Sierra County.



Worst-Case Scenario

The worst-case scenario for avalanches in Sierra County would be an avalanche with a destructive potential of a scale of 5 at a relative scale of R5, and a NAPADS scale of 5.

6.1.4 Previous Occurrences

The following sections provide a review of previous avalanche occurrences in Sierra County.

Declarations

Federal Declarations

Between 1954 and 2024, Sierra County experienced no avalanche-related disasters (DR) or emergencies (EM).

State Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, California declared no disasters related to avalanche activity in Sierra County.

USDA Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, the USDA declared no disasters related to avalanche activity in Sierra County.

Summary of Significant Events

In 1853, a major avalanche destroyed Sierra City. This event is unlikely to reoccur due to the regrowth of timber around the area. The approximate cause of this avalanche was record snowfalls coupled with the overharvesting of the forest in the immediate area of the community. Numerous small avalanches occur annually with the destruction of unoccupied homes and outbuildings as recent as the winter of 2011. No lives have been lost due to avalanche in Sierra County since 1853 (Sierra County Office of Emergency Services 2012).

Recent Events

Numerous small avalanches occur annually. The NOAA NCEI Storm Events Database categorizes Sierra County in the following zones: West Slope Northern Sierra Nevada and Lassen-Eastern Plumas – Eastern Sierra Counties. The database reported two avalanche events impacting one of the zones from 2012 through 2024. Table 6-1 shows a summary of significant avalanche events (NOAA 2025).

Table 6-1. Recent Regional Avalanche Hazard Events

Date (s) of Event	County Included	Description
December 24, 2012	West Slope Northern Sierra Nevada (Zone)	A series of Pacific storms tracked across Northern California Thursday through the weekend bringing periods of moderate to heavy snow in the mountains and gusty winds. Low snow levels brought snow as low as 500 feet before snow levels rose to 3000 to 4500 feet by the weekend. Significant rainfall was brought to the lower elevations. Winds gusted up to 40-55 mph in the Northern, Central, and Southern Sacramento Valley, as well as the northeast foothills and northern Sierra Mountains, on December 23. These winds caused an estimated 10,000 to 30,000 power outages, and also resulted in downed trees and tree branches. Power outages were located near the Redding vicinity, mountain valleys such as Quincy and Chester, near the Chico/Paradise/Oroville area, and



Date (s) of Event	County Included	Description
		also the Sacramento metropolitan. Two fatalities occurred with this episode: one fatality due to a fallen tree, and one fatality due to an avalanche, but neither fatality occurred in Sierra County.
April 1, 2019	West Slope Northern Sierra Nevada (Zone)	<p>Redeveloping thunderstorms brought road flooding and a minor debris flow from heavy rain; An avalanche closed Highway 50 at Echo Summit. Up to 3 inches of snow fell in the Sierras.</p> <p>Caltrans reported the closure is between Echo Summit and Chiapa Road in nearby Meyers, reopening at 5 p.m. PST.</p>

Source: NOAA

6.1.5 Future Conditions

Future hazard conditions, including frequency and severity of future events, is discussed in the sections that follow.

Probability

Most avalanches in Sierra County occur in the steep terrain of the back country and may be unreported. Based on local knowledge and records of previous events in the region, the probability of future avalanche occurrences in Sierra County is annually during average to above average snowpack years.

Climate Change

While avalanches are a natural part of snowy mountain ecosystems, increases in air temperature, precipitation, and rain-on-snow events are altering avalanche patterns and behaviors, making them more frequent in some areas and more destructive in others, and generally harder to predict. (USGS 2025). Some experts believe that an overall reduction in snowpack could lead to fewer avalanches in winter but changing precipitation patterns could make avalanches more frequent in the springtime instead (Peitzsch, et al. 2021).

Potential Future Impacts

The fact that avalanches take place in remote settings far from large population centers means they do not pose the same degree of danger to life and property as other hazards do. The people most vulnerable to avalanches tend to be skiers, snowboarders, and others engaged in recreational activities in snow-covered, mountainous areas. Transportation infrastructure and structures that serve those areas also are vulnerable (CalOES 2023).

6.2 VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT

Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(ii)



The plan must include a description of the jurisdiction’s vulnerability to the hazards of concern and include an overall summary of the hazard’s impact on the community. The impacts need to include the types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the hazard areas, and estimate of potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures, and a description of land uses and development trends.



6.2.1 Summary of Vulnerability

Avalanches pose a localized but potential threat in Sierra County, particularly in mountainous regions. While the overall population exposure is limited due to the remote nature of avalanche-prone areas, the risk remains significant for outdoor recreationists, tourists, and seasonal workers. There are currently no models available to measure direct impacts from avalanche risks, therefore, for this assessment vulnerability has been associated with exposure. The following vulnerability assessments are qualitative in nature. (See Section 5.5 Data Limitations)

6.2.2 Impact on Life, Health, and Safety

Avalanches directly impact life and safety for individuals engaged in outdoor recreations, such as skiing, snowboarding, snowmobiling, and backcountry hiking. Tourists unfamiliar with local terrain and avalanche risks may be particularly vulnerable. Both residents and visitors may be vulnerable to the avalanche hazard, especially in the mountainous western side of the County.

6.2.3 Impact on General Building Stock

With no mapping of avalanche hazard zones available, there is no valid way to quantify the exposure of building stock to this hazard. Given the remoteness of avalanche areas, it is unlikely that buildings are directly exposed. Critical infrastructure such as roads are more likely to be exposed. Impacts on these lifelines could isolate populations and interrupt commodity flows. All losses from this hazard would be associated with impacts on the economy, based on limitations on activities in avalanche risk areas.

6.2.4 Impact on Community Lifelines

Avalanches can severely impact community lifelines, particularly transportation and emergency services. Roads and highways in mountainous terrain are susceptible to closure or damage, which can delay emergency response times and restrict access to essential services. The extent of property damage from avalanches depends on several factors, including snowpack volume, slope angle, terrain features, and the presence of structures in the avalanche path. Large external snow loads can exert immense pressure on buildings and infrastructure, potentially resulting in structural collapse and fatalities.

6.2.5 Impact on the Economy

Avalanches can have a profound impact on transportation networks. Roads, railways, and other infrastructure can be blocked or damaged by snow slides, affecting the movement of goods and people. Impacted movement of agricultural goods and transportation of livestock can have a significant impact on the economy. In mountainous regions, highways often suffer from closures after significant snowfall or shifting weather patterns. Delays can lead to economic losses, detours, and increased travel times. The complexity of managing snow removal in avalanche-prone areas adds another layer of challenge.

6.2.6 Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources

Before the avalanche of 1853, Sierra City had two large buildings, a bakery shop, and several gambling houses and saloons (Sierra County Chamber of Commerce 2024). This event erased many early structures and artifacts from the initial Gold Rush period, making it difficult for historians and archaeologists to study layout and architecture during its initial establishment as a mining town pre-



1853. Avalanches can displace or bury archaeological materials. This makes it challenging to interpret the historical significance of mining camps, indigenous sites, or early settler homesteads. Today, avalanche-prone areas in the Sierra Buttes region pose risks to preserved or partially restored historic buildings and infrastructure, such as cabins, mills, and mining equipment.

6.2.7 Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources

Avalanches are extremely important to the mountain ecosystem (Muller and Straub 2016). They shape the landscape, adding heterogeneity, thus biodiversity, to an area. Avalanche chutes and debris create habitat for both flora and fauna and can serve as firebreaks and affect bark beetle outbreaks. Avalanches influence the survival, growth rates, and forms of trees. Trees that experience avalanches become stronger and more resilient, and these more robust trees in turn reduce the frequency of avalanches by reinforcing the snowpack and reducing the effects of strong winds.

6.2.8 Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP


Since the 2012 HMP, Sierra County has experienced little change in population, with minimal new development in avalanche-prone areas. Due to the county’s mountainous terrain and remote communities, vulnerability to avalanches remains relatively stable. However, vulnerability may have increased slightly due to a modest rise in recreational use of backcountry areas, which could place more individuals at risk during winter months.

6.3 MITIGATION OPPORTUNITIES

Table 6-2 presents a range of potential opportunities for mitigating the avalanche hazard.

Table 6-2. Potential Opportunities to Mitigate the Avalanche Hazard

Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
Manipulate the Hazard		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Reduce Exposure and Vulnerability		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor avalanche reports before any winter-related outdoor activities Avoid avalanche areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Controlled avalanches as necessary (i.e., triggering an avalanche through detonation) Install static defense structures in avalanche areas Identify and map avalanche paths and avalanche areas Construct snow sheds over highways and railroads that cross potential avalanche paths Have proper equipment to support rescue, mitigate head injuries, and create air pockets (avalanche beacon, portable shovel, avalanche probe in backpack, helmet, and avalanche airbags)
Build Local Capacity		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify and map avalanche paths and avalanche areas in the County
Nature-based Opportunities		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Restrict or prohibit new development downslope of areas susceptible to avalanche and preserve these areas for open space/recreational uses Preserve forest ecosystems in avalanche-prone areas to provide a resistance buffer area to absorb impacts from avalanches 		



DAM FAILURE



1
**HIGH-
HAZARD DAM
IN SIERRA
COUNTY**



Visitor Population
Potentially Exposed in
Backcountry




Ecosystem and
Natural Resource
Impacts



0

Residential Properties
Exposed

LOW



Overall Risk Ranking



7. DAM FAILURE

7.1 HAZARD PROFILE



Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(i)

Include a description of the type, location, and extent for the identified hazards of concern and include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and the probability of future hazard events.

7.1.1 Description of the Hazard

Defining the Hazard

A dam is an artificial barrier that can store water, wastewater, or any liquid-borne material, for the purpose of storage or control of water. A dam failure is a catastrophic type of failure characterized by the sudden, rapid, and uncontrolled release of impounded water or the likelihood of such an uncontrolled release (ASDSO, Important Terms Defined for Media Coverage n.d.).

There are two types of dams (ASDSO, Dams 101 n.d.):

- Embankment Dams: An embankment dam is termed an “earthfill” or “rockfill” dam depending on whether it is composed of compacted earth or mostly compacted or dumped rock.
- Concrete Dams: They are commonly categorized as gravity, buttress, and arch according to designs used to resist stress due to reservoir water pressure.
 - Gravity dams are the most common form, and they are constructed of vertical blocks of concrete with flexible seals in the joints between the blocks.
 - Buttress dam is a specific type of gravity dam in which the large mass of concrete is reduced, and the forces are diverted to the dam foundation through vertical or sloping buttresses.
 - Arch dams are typically rather thin in cross-section. The reservoir water forces acting on an arch dam are carried laterally into the abutments.

Cause of the Hazard

The Association of State Dam Safety Officials identifies the most likely causes of dam failures as follows (ASDSO, Dam Failures and Incidents n.d.):

- Overtopping caused by water spilling over the top of a dam. Overtopping of a dam is often a precursor of dam failure.
- Foundation defects, including settlement and slope instability.
- Cracking caused by movements like the natural settling of a dam.
- Inadequate maintenance and upkeep.
- Seepage through a dam that is not properly filtered, so that soil particles form sinkholes in the dam.



Summary of Potential Impacts

Hundreds of dam failures have occurred throughout U.S. history. These failures have caused immense property and environmental damages and have taken thousands of lives. As the nation’s dams age and population increases, the potential for deadly dam failures grows (ASDSO, Dam Failures and Incidents n.d.).


Cascading Hazard Impacts

Dam failure can cause cascading hazards such as landslides, bank erosion, and destruction of downstream habitat. Dam failure may worsen the severity of a drought by releasing water that might have been used as a potable water source. Other notable cascading impacts from dam failures include potential to impact multiple downstream jurisdictions, loss of power associated with facilities that provide hydropower, loss of water supply, damage to agricultural lands, and impacts on multiple jurisdictions.

7.1.2 Location

According to the Division of Safety of Dams, there is one state-regulated dam in Sierra County (Independence Dam). Another dam on the border is located in Nevada County outside the planning area. Figure 7-1 presents the DSOD website information for Jackson Meadows Dam. The local inundation area for Jackson Meadows is along the county line, which follows the Middle Yuba River, and does not impact structures or infrastructure in Sierra County. Figure 7-2 presents the County’s dam failure inundation area for Independence Dam in the unincorporated area of the County, and the locations of privately-owned low hazard dams. Privately-owned dams do not have mapped inundation areas.


Figure 7-1. Jackson Meadows Dam in Nevada County



Source: (California Department of Water Resources 2025)



Figure 7-2. Sierra County Dam Failure Inundation Area (Independence Dam)



7.1.3 Extent

Measuring Intensity

The California Department of Water Resources (DWR) Division of Safety of Dams (DSOD) assigns a downstream hazard classification and condition assessment rating to large dams within the State. The downstream hazard is based solely on potential downstream impacts to life and property should the dam fail when operating with a full reservoir. This hazard is not related to the condition of the dam or its appurtenant structures. Table 7-1 outlines the potential classifications for downstream hazard.



Table 7-1. Downstream Hazard Categories

Downstream Hazard Potential Classifications	Description Potential Downstream Impacts to Life and Property
Low	No probable loss of human life and low economic and environmental losses. Losses are expected to be principally limited to the owner's property.
Significant	No probable loss of human life but can cause economic loss, environmental damage, impacts to critical facilities, or other significant impacts.
High	Expected to cause loss of at least one human life.
Extremely High	Expected to cause considerable loss of human life or would result in an inundation area with a population of 1,000 or more.

DSOD uses NID's condition rating definitions (updated 2021) as a guideline in assigning condition assessments. A dam safety deficiency is defined as a load capacity limit or other issue that can result in a failure of the dam or appurtenant structure. It is a characteristic or condition that does not meet the applicable minimum regulatory criteria. Condition assessment definitions are shown in Table 7-2.

Table 7-2. Condition Assessment Ratings

Ratings	Definitions from the National Inventory of Dams
Satisfactory	No existing or potential dam safety deficiencies are recognized. Acceptable performance is expected under all loading conditions (static, hydrologic, seismic) in accordance with the minimum applicable state or federal regulatory criteria or tolerable risk guidelines.
Fair	No existing dam safety deficiencies are recognized for normal operating conditions. Rare or extreme hydrologic and/or seismic events may result in a dam safety deficiency. Risk may be in the range to take further action. Note: Rare or extreme event is defined by the regulatory agency based on their minimum applicable state or federal criteria.
Poor	A dam safety deficiency is recognized for normal operating conditions which may realistically occur. Remedial action is necessary. Poor may also be used when uncertainties exist as to critical analysis parameters which identify a potential dam safety deficiency. Investigations and studies are necessary.
Unsatisfactory	A dam safety deficiency is recognized that requires immediate or emergency remedial action for problem resolution.
Not Rated	The dam has not been inspected, is not under state jurisdiction, or has been inspected but, for whatever reason, has not been rated.

Warning Time

Warning time for dam failure varies depending on the cause of the failure, the size of the dam and volume of water retained, and the location of the dam. In events of extreme precipitation or massive snowmelt, evacuations can be planned with sufficient time. In the event of a structural failure due to earthquake, there may be no warning time. A dam's structural type also affects warning time. Once a breach is initiated, discharging water erodes the breach until either the reservoir water is depleted, or the breach resists further erosion. The time of breach formation ranges from a few minutes to a few hours. (County of Monterey n.d.).



Worst-Case Scenario

A worst-case dam failure scenario for Sierra County would involve the sudden and complete breach of Independence Dam, a high-hazard dam, releasing a massive volume of water downstream with little to no warning. Given the county's mountainous terrain and narrow river valleys, floodwaters would travel rapidly. Stampede Reservoir, a popular visitor destination, is located downstream. The area could face catastrophic flooding, resulting in loss of life and property damage. Critical infrastructure such as roads (Highway 89), bridges, and power lines could be destroyed, isolating affected areas and hampering emergency response efforts.

7.1.4 Previous Occurrences

The following sections provide a review of previous dam failure occurrences in Sierra County.

Declarations

Federal Declarations

Between 1954 and 2024, Sierra County experienced no dam failure-related disasters (DR) or emergencies (EM).

State Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, California declared no disasters related to dam failure in Sierra County.

USDA Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, the USDA declared no disasters related to dam failure in Sierra County.

Summary of Significant Events

There have been no occurrences of dam failure in Sierra County since 1883.

Recent Events

There have been no recent events of dam failure in Sierra County since 1883.

7.1.5 Future Conditions

Future hazard conditions, including frequency and severity of future events, is discussed in the sections that follow.

Probability

Since no recorded failures have occurred on a dam that impacted Sierra County, no estimate of frequency or probability of future occurrence can be developed based on the historical record. However, the risk of failure increases as dams age (ASDSO 2024). Older dams are often more vulnerable to seismic activity and have a higher possibility of failure in the event of a major earthquake.

Climate Change

Probability of dam failure could increase with changing climate conditions. Increases in the frequency and intensity of extreme rain events could increase the probability that dams will fail or overtop. Although



dam failure is not considered a direct result of a changing climate, changes in climate can impact the functionality of a dam's infrastructure and/or the operation of dams. All dam operations are based on hydrographs. As the hydrographs change, dam operations will change. The 2017 Oroville Dam Spillway incident is a perfect example of how increased precipitation associated with climate change impacts affected dam operations.

Potential Future Impacts

The future impacts from dam failure on the County are not anticipated to increase since the current impacts are so negligible.

7.2 VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT

Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(ii)



The plan must include a description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards of concern and include an overall summary of the hazard's impact on the community. The impacts need to include the types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the hazard areas, and estimate of potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures, and a description of land uses and development trends.

7.2.1 Summary of Vulnerability

Risk assessment findings for dam failure are estimates of exposure. Because the analysis found very limited exposure to the dam failure hazard, a Hazus vulnerability assessment was not conducted.

No critical facilities are within the mapped dam failure inundation area, and no residential populations are exposed to the inundation area. (See Section 5.5 Data Limitations)

7.2.2 Impact on Life, Health, and Safety

The dam inundation area is very small in the County. No residential populations are exposed to the inundation area. Those who may be vulnerable are visitors in the backcountry area who may be unable to get themselves out of the inundation zone.

7.2.3 Impact on General Building Stock

The potential dam inundation area in Sierra County is very small. According to GIS analysis, the inundation area does not include general building stock.

7.2.4 Impact on Community Lifelines

No community lifelines are in the potential dam failure inundation zone.

7.2.5 Impact on the Economy

The potential impacts are limited to loss of backcountry visitor income if an area became inaccessible to hiking or camping due to inundation.



7.2.6 Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources

The dam failure hazard is not expected to impact historic resources.

7.2.7 Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources

The environment would be vulnerable to risk in the event of dam failure. The inundation could introduce foreign elements into local waterways. This could result in destruction of the downstream habitat and could have detrimental effects on animals.

7.2.8 Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP

The vulnerability to dam failure in Sierra County has not significantly changed since 2012. The population remains sparse and stable, and there has been no development downstream of existing dams. Given the county’s isolated nature and limited infrastructure expansion, the risk profile remains largely unchanged. Any slight increase in vulnerability would be due to aging dam infrastructure rather than population or development pressures.

7.3 MITIGATION OPPORTUNITIES


Table 7-3 presents a range of potential opportunities for mitigating the dam failure hazard.

Table 7-3. Potential Opportunities to Mitigate the Dam Failure Hazard

Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
Manipulate the Hazard		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Remove dams Harden dams 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Remove dams Harden dams
Reduce Exposure and Vulnerability		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relocate out of dam failure inundation areas Elevate home to appropriate levels 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace or rehabilitate dams with deficiencies Flood-proof facilities within dam failure inundation areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace earthen dams with hardened structures Relocate critical facilities out of dam failure inundation areas Consider open space land use in designated dam failure inundation areas Adopt higher floodplain standards in mapped dam failure inundation areas Retrofit critical facilities within dam failure inundation areas
Build Local Capacity		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Learn about risk reduction for the dam failure hazard Learn the evacuation routes for a dam failure event Become educated about early warning systems and the dissemination of warnings 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Educate employees on the probable impacts of a dam failure Develop a continuity of operations plan 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Map dam failure inundation areas Enhance emergency operations plan to include a dam failure component Institute monthly communications checks with dam operators



Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inform the public on risk reduction techniques • Adopt real-estate disclosure requirements for the re-sale of property located within dam failure inundation areas • Consider the probable impacts of climate change in assessing the risk associated with the dam failure hazard • Establish early warning capability downstream of listed high-hazard dams • Consider the residual risk associated with protection provided by dams in future land use decisions
Nature-based Opportunities		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restore and reconnect floodplains that intersect dam failure inundation areas that have been degraded by development and structural flood control • Use soft approaches for stream bank restoration and hardening. Soft approaches can include but are not limited to the introduction of large woody debris into a system • Set back levees on systems that rely on levee protection to allow the river channel to meander, which reduces erosion and scour potential • Acquire property within dam failure inundation areas, remove or relocate structures, and preserve these areas as open space in perpetuity • Preserve floodplain storage capacity by limiting or prohibiting the use of fill within the floodplain 		



DROUGHT




CHANGES
SINCE 2012

+27


USDA
Declared
Disasters

+2

Multi-Year
Events



3,177
Population Exposed



Economic
Impacts



Increased
Wildfire Risk

MEDIUM



Overall Risk Ranking



8. DROUGHT

8.1 HAZARD PROFILE



Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(i)

Include a description of the type, location, and extent for the identified hazards of concern and include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and the probability of future hazard events.

8.1.1 Description of the Hazard

Defining the Hazard

Drought is defined as a deficiency in precipitation over an extended period that results in a water shortage (National Integrated Drought Information System n.d.). It is a gradual phenomenon and occurs slowly over a period of time (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024). Drought can have devastating impacts on communities due to its cascading impacts, including but not limited to negative impacts on public health, tree mortality, land subsidence, water quality, and energy as well as increased wildfire risk.

The occurrence of drought is a normal phase in the climate cycle of most regions, originating from a deficiency of precipitation over an extended period of time, usually a season or more. This leads to a water shortage for some activity, group, or environmental sector. Drought can be characterized based on the following:

- Meteorological measurements such as rainfall deficit compared to normal or expected rainfall.
- Agricultural impacts due to reduced rainfall and water supply (e.g., crop loss, herd culling, etc.).
- Hydrological measurements of stream flows, groundwater, and reservoir levels relative to normal conditions.
- Direct and indirect socio-economic impacts on society and the economy (e.g., increased unemployment due to failure of an industry because of drought).

Cause of the Hazard

Drought can be caused by various atmospheric conditions such as climate change, ocean temperatures changes in the jet stream, and changes in the local landscape (NASA, 2024). Droughts are long-term climatic patterns that emerge from complex interactions among global weather patterns. Persistent, upper-level high-pressure systems along the West Coast result in warm, dry air and reduced precipitation. Anomalies of precipitation and temperature may last from several months to several decades. How long they last depend on interactions between the atmosphere and the oceans, soil moisture and land surface processes, topography, internal dynamics, and the accumulated influence of global weather systems.

Droughts originate from a deficiency of precipitation resulting from an unusual weather pattern. If the weather pattern lasts a short time (a few weeks or a couple of months), the drought is considered short-term. If the weather pattern becomes entrenched and the precipitation deficits last for several months



or years, the drought is considered long-term. It is possible for a region to experience a long-term pattern that produces drought, and to have short-term changes that result in wet spells within the long-term pattern. Likewise, it is possible for a long-term wet pattern to be interrupted by weather spells that result in short-term drought.

Summary of Potential Impacts

Drought can have a widespread impact on the environment and the economy, although it typically does not result in loss of life or damage to structures, as do other natural disasters.

The National Drought Mitigation Center uses three categories to describe likely drought impacts:

- **Economic Impacts**—These impacts of drought cost people (or businesses) money. Farmers' crops are destroyed; low water supply necessitates spending on irrigation or drilling of new wells; water-related businesses (such as sales of boats and fishing equipment) may experience reduced revenue.
- **Environmental Impacts**—Plants and animals depend on water. When a drought occurs, their food supply can shrink, and their habitat can be damaged.
- **Social Impacts**—Social impacts include public safety, health, conflicts between people when there is not enough water to go around, and changes in lifestyle.

The demand that society places on water systems and supplies—such as expanding populations, irrigation, and environmental needs—contributes to drought impacts. Drought can lead to difficult decisions regarding the allocation of water, as well as stringent water use restrictions, water quality problems, and inadequate water supplies for fire suppression. There are also issues such as growing conflicts between agricultural uses of surface water and in-stream uses, surface water and groundwater interrelationships, and the effects of growing water demand on uses of water.

Vulnerability of an activity to drought depends on its water demand and the water supplies available to meet the demand. The impacts of drought vary between sectors of the community in both timing and severity:

- **Water supply**—The water supply sector encompasses urban and rural drinking water systems that are affected when a drought depletes groundwater supplies due to reduced recharge from rainfall.
- **Agriculture and commerce**—Impacts on the agriculture and commerce sectors include the reduction of crop yield and livestock sizes due to insufficient water supply for crop irrigation and maintenance of ground cover for grazing.
- **Environment, public health, and safety**—The environmental, public health, and safety sector focuses on wildfires that are both detrimental to the forest ecosystem and hazardous to the public. It also includes the impact of desiccating streams, such as the reduction of in-stream habitats for native species.



Cascading Hazard Impacts

Cascading impacts result from when one type of hazard event triggers one or more other hazard events, which may in turn still trigger others. The following sections describe notable cascading impacts associated with drought:

Public Health

Drought can lead to various physical and mental health impacts: diminished water quality, groundwater contamination, reduced air quality from arid lands and dust, and increased stagnant water creating breeding grounds for disease-carrying pests, such as mosquitoes. These impacts, in turn, increase the risk of water food-borne diseases, worsen chronic respiratory conditions, and increase risk of Valley fever as well as vector-borne diseases. Drought and its consequences can also lead to increased mental health impacts, including acute or post-traumatic stress, substance abuse, domestic violence, and suicide (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024).

Wildfire

Droughts can create hazardous conditions in forests and other vegetation-covered spaces, providing fuel for wildfires and exacerbating the severity of potential wildfires (LAO 2022). Additional information on Sierra County wildfires can be found in Section 14 of the HMP.

Tree Mortality

Droughts put stress on trees and can make them more susceptible to pest infestations, increasing tree mortality. This phenomenon has resulted in millions of dead trees around the State, causing hazards to people, property, and infrastructure and creating a greater risk of wildfires (Borrunda 2020). According to the Fourth National Climate Assessment Report, the combination of expanding bark beetle populations due to warming winter and worsening droughts has killed 7% of the western U.S. Forest area from 1979 to 2012 (U.S. Global Change Research Program 2018); (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024).

Subsidence

Groundwater pumping greatly increases during dry years. Overpumping can cause land subsidence, which can permanently damage or collapse underground aquifers, increase flood risk in low-lying areas, and pose hazards to buildings, infrastructure, and water storage facilities (USGS 2018). If long-term, subsidence can alter water system flow patterns and restrict capabilities to move and distribute water supplies to and within subsided areas (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024).

Water Quality

Overpumping of groundwater can lead to contamination from agricultural runoff or infiltration of saltwater in coastal basins, diminishing groundwater quality. This can cause water to become unsafe to drink and require costly treatment to remove contaminants. This challenge can be insurmountable for rural residents who rely on private wells as well as water systems that are dependent on local basins or aquifers (Hanak, Lund and Harter 2017); (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024). This issue is especially applicable to Sierra County as it is the second least-populated county in the state of California.



Energy

Energy and water are interdependent as all sources of energy require water in their production processes, and energy is required to extract, convey, and deliver water. Because of this, the availability and predictability of water resources can directly affect energy systems (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024).

8.1.2 Location

The entire State of California is vulnerable to drought. While the conditions of drought are not experienced uniformly across the State, Sierra County is included in the vulnerable areas and covers 980 square miles along California’s border with Nevada. Within Sierra County, drought is typically experienced uniformly rather than confined to a specific area. A drought’s impact can depend on climate zone, type of water supply available, and water users’ ability to manage drought impacts.

In California, one dry year does not normally constitute a drought. The State’s extensive system of water supply infrastructure, which includes reservoirs, groundwater basins, and interregional conveyance facilities, are designed to mitigate the effects of short-term dry periods for most water users (DWR n.d.). However, prolonged dry periods still lead to water shortage emergencies, especially in rural communities.

8.1.3 Extent

Measuring Intensity

Droughts are dynamic, and locations of the State susceptible to drought can change monthly. The U.S. Drought Monitor is a map that is updated weekly to show the location and intensity of drought across the country. The drought monitor uses the five-category system shown in Table 8-1.

Table 8-1. U.S. Drought Monitor Categories

Category	Description	Possible Impacts
D0	Abnormally Dry	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Short-term dryness slowing planting, growth of crops • Some lingering water deficits • Pastures or crops not fully recovered
D1	Moderate Drought	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some damage to crops, pastures • Some water shortages developing • Voluntary water-use restrictions requested
D2	Severe Drought	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Crop or pasture loss likely • Water shortages common • Water restrictions imposed
D3	Extreme Drought	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Major crop/pasture losses • Widespread water shortages or restrictions
D4	Exceptional Drought	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exceptional and widespread crop/pasture losses • Shortages of water creating water emergencies

Warning Time

The State of California uses three indicators to define the severity of a drought: weather, runoff, and water supply. Most of California’s moisture originates from the Pacific Ocean. During the wet season, the atmospheric high-pressure belt that sits off western North America shifts southward, allowing Pacific storms to bring moisture to California. On average, 75% of the State’s average annual precipitation occurs



between November and March, with half of it occurring between December and February. A persistent high-pressure zone over California during the peak winter water production months predisposes the water year to be dry. The ability to reliably predict precipitation conditions at seasonal or annual timescales is very limited. The El Niño-Southern Oscillation—a periodic shifting of ocean atmosphere conditions in the tropical Pacific that ranges from El Niño (warm phase) to neutral to La Niña (cold phase)—offers only limited predictive capability for precipitation in California. La Niña conditions tend to favor a drier outlook for Southern California, but do not typically show significant correlation with water year type for Northern and Central California. Seasonal precipitation forecasting is an important drought response tool and a research area requiring focused investment to develop the predictive ability needed to support water management. Dry conditions become a drought when the impacts of prolonged dry conditions create problems (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024).

Worst-Case Scenario

The worst-case drought scenario for Sierra County would include a D4 drought compounded by high winds. This would not only intensify typical impacts caused by water shortage but also increase wildfire risk and reduce the county’s capability to respond to emergencies. An extreme multi-year drought can impact the region with little warning. Combinations of low precipitation and unusually high temperatures could occur over several consecutive years. Surrounding communities, also in drought conditions, could increase their demand for water supplies relied upon Sierra County.

8.1.4 Previous Occurrences

The following sections provide a review of previous drought occurrences in Sierra County.

Declarations

Federal Declarations

Between 1954 and 2024, FEMA declared that Sierra County experienced one drought-related disaster (DR) or emergency (EM).

Table 8-2. FEMA Drought Disaster Declarations

Disaster Number	Incident Period	Declaration Date	Description
DR-3023	January 20, 1977- December 20, 1978	January 20, 1977	FEMA declared that drought was present in Sierra County, CA on January 20, 1977.

Source: OpenFEMA Datasets ([OpenFEMA Data Sets | FEMA.gov](https://openfema.org/))

State Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, California declared no disasters related to drought in Sierra County.



USDA Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, the USDA declared that Sierra County experienced 27 drought disasters.

Table 8-3. USDA Drought Disaster Designations

Designation Number	Event Begin Date	Event Type
S5379	January 1, 2023	Drought
S5371	October 1, 2022	Drought
S5155	January 1, 2022	Drought
S5146	October 1, 2021	Drought
S4921	January 1, 2021	Drought
S4916	October 1, 2020	Drought
S4824	September 29, 2020	Drought
S4765	June 23, 2020	Drought
S4697	April 21, 2020	Drought
S4427	August 28, 2018	Drought
S3952	January 1, 2016	Drought, Wind, Fire/Wildfire, Insects
S3953	September 1, 2015	Drought, Wind, Fire/Wildfire, Insects
S3784	January 1, 2015	Drought, Wind, Fire/Wildfire, Heat, Insects
S3963	January 1, 2015	Drought
S3789	September 1, 2014	Drought, Wind, Fire/Wildfire, Heat, Insects
S3637	January 14, 2014	Drought, Wind, Fire/Wildfire, Heat, Insects
S3637	January 14, 2014	Drought, Wind, Fire/Wildfire, Heat, Insects
S3743	January 1, 2014	Drought
S3797	January 1, 2014	Drought
S3631	September 1, 2013	Drought, Wind, Fire/Wildfire, Heat, Insects
S3631	September 1, 2013	Drought, Wind, Fire/Wildfire, Heat, Insects
S3569	May 25, 2013	Drought, Wind, Fire/Wildfire, Heat, Insects
S3491	January 1, 2013	Drought, Wind, Fire/Wildfire, Heat, Insects
S3440	October 2, 2012	Drought, Wind, Fire/Wildfire, Heat, Insects
S3462	September 1, 2012	Drought, Wind, Fire/Wildfire, Heat, Insects
S3268	February 21, 2012	Drought, Wind, Fire/Wildfire, Heat, Insects
S3283	February 7, 2012	Drought, Wind, Fire/Wildfire, Heat, Insects

Source: USDA Disaster Designation Information (<https://www.fsa.usda.gov/programs-and-services/disaster-assistance-program/disaster-designation-information/index>)

Summary of Significant Events

1976 and 1977 were California's driest years on record and caused the drying of many surface water sources within the state. Groundwater pumping was increased to make up for this deficit and was over-extracted. Livestock agriculture was the industry most severely impacted by the drought (California Water Library 2017).

More recently, California's devastating 2012–2016 drought also significantly impacted the livestock industry with reduced forage production, increased expenses, and reduced stock water availability or quantity (Woodmansee, et al. 2024).


Recent Events

According to the U.S. Drought Monitor, parts of the Sierra region has faced intensified drought since the start of the 2025 water year (October 1, 2024). While the most severe impacts have been concentrated



in southern and central California, the Sierra Nevada region has also seen below-average precipitation and increased dryness, contributing to moderate to severe drought classifications (U.S. Drought Monitor 2025).

Figure 8-1. Percent of Sierra County Affected by Drought Conditions 2000 to 2024



8.1.5 Future Conditions

Future hazard conditions, including frequency and severity of future events, is discussed in the sections below.

Probability

From January 2020 to December 2024, Sierra County experience a USDM rating of D0 or higher in 919 out of 1,339 weeks, about two-thirds of the time (refer to Table 8-1 and Figure 8-1). The cyclical occurrence of drought points to a strong probability that Sierra County will continue to be vulnerable to short and longer-term drought impacts.

Climate Change

Climate change is expected to affect California’s water supply conditions over the long term. A significant impact is a reduction in mountain snowpack, which has historically been an integral part of California’s water supply systems (Water Education Foundation 2022). Climate change models show pronounced impacts by the end of the century, with noticeable impacts occurring by mid-century. This includes such a 48% to 65% loss of the Sierra Nevada snowpack by the end of the 21st century (Giraldo 2022). This is especially important to Sierra County due to its direct location in the northern Sierra Nevada Mountains between the Plumas and Tahoe National Forests.



The record warm temperatures California experienced in the winters of Water Years 2014 and 2015 illustrate how future droughts may unfold. This includes many areas with greatly reduced spring runoff into major reservoirs and water temperatures too warm to support anadromous fish populations. Climate change is intensifying drought impacts, as observed in the 2012-16 drought and in the 2020-2022 drought years.

Potential Future Impacts

Although Sierra County remains relatively rural and its population is projected to continue declining, even modest population growth or shifts in development patterns can place significant strain on its limited water resources. The county's General Plan emphasizes preserving its rural character, but any increase in development, particularly in wildland-urban interface areas, can elevate both water demand and wildfire risk. These changes may lead to water shortages, reduced water quality, and heightened public health risks. Additionally, a growing or more dispersed population could complicate emergency response efforts during drought-related events like wildfires, which are intensified by prolonged dry conditions. Economic impacts may also arise, including reduced tourism, agricultural losses, and increased utility costs, all of which could further challenge the county's resilience to future droughts.

8.2 VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT

Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(ii)



The plan must include a description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards of concern and include an overall summary of the hazard's impact on the community. The impacts need to include the types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the hazard areas, and estimate of potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures, and a description of land uses and development trends.

8.2.1 Summary of Vulnerability

The entire planning area is exposed to the drought hazard, with the potential to affect the entire population. (See Section 5.5 Data Limitations)

Drought can affect a wide range of economic, environmental, and social activities. Its impacts can span many sectors of the economy because water is integral to the ability to produce goods and provide services. The impacts can reach well beyond the area undergoing physical drought. Vulnerability of an activity to drought depends on its water demand and the water supplies available to meet the demand.

8.2.2 Impact on Life, Health, and Safety

The impacts of drought can lead to harmful health impacts. Drought can have financial, physical, and emotional impacts on farmers and farm workers and others in Tribal Nation, rural, and farming communities (Walters 2021). In 2021, water allocations and deliveries to farms were significantly reduced across the State. Total surface water deliveries for Central Valley and North Coast farms dropped 41% below the 2002-2016 average (Escriva-Bou, et al. 2025). Impacts include hardships for farmers, farm workers, packers, and shippers of agricultural products. In some cases, drought can cause significant increases in food prices to the consumer due to agriculture production shortages and can



result in lack of water and feed for grazing livestock, potentially leading to risk of livestock death (Anderson 2022).

Drought is harmful to water quality and public health. Reduced stream and river flows can increase the concentration of pollutants and bacteria in water, making contamination or water-related illness more likely (CDC 2024). Other infectious disease threats arise when drought leads to the contamination of surface waters and other types of water that are used for recreational purposes (CDC 2024). When temperatures rise and rainfall declines, algal blooms can grow and release dangerous toxins. At the same time, people are more likely to participate in water-related recreation, and those exposed to contaminated recreational waters are more likely to become infected with pathogens (CDC 2024). Drought and its consequences can also lead to increased mental health impacts, including acute or post-traumatic stress, substance abuse, domestic violence, and suicide (National Integrated Drought Information System 2025). Droughts can exasperate conditions in water sources and recreational areas such as the Little Truckee River and Stampede Reservoir where harmful algal blooms can develop (CA My Water Quality Monitoring Council, 2024). Lack of rainfall in droughts leads to a higher buildup of land nutrient loading. Once it rains again, the increased nutrients enters waterways all at once rather than gradually, leading to potential eutrophication and algal blooms. Drought also lowers reservoir levels, causing surface level harmful algal blooms to occur close to water intakes that are typically situated in deep water when the reservoirs are full. Water treatment plants may shut down water intakes to avoid cyanotoxin exposure as a result, furthering a potable water shortage in local areas. These blooms can also be toxic or harmful to people, fish, shellfish, marine mammals, and birds through dermal contact, ingestion, or inhalation.

During California droughts, impacts are most severe on those most dependent on annual rainfall and snowpack. These groups include but are not limited to agencies fighting forest fires, ranchers engaged in dryland grazing, farmers growing crops in arid zones, rural residents relying on wells in low-yield rock formations, or small water systems lacking a reliable water source (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024).

In addition to water scarcity, drought conditions can also lead to power outages. Reduced water availability can limit hydroelectric power generation, while extreme heat and wildfire threats can strain the electrical grid or necessitate public safety power shutoffs. These outages can severely impact isolated residents with disabilities, older adults, and those with access and functional needs who rely on powered medical devices, refrigerated medications, or climate control for health and safety. Visitors to the backcountry may be impacted if streams dry up that they would normally rely on for drinking water.

8.2.3 Impact on General Building Stock

The general building stock will not be directly affected by drought conditions. Droughts can have significant impacts on landscapes, which could cause a financial burden to property owners. However, these impacts are not considered critical in planning for impacts from the drought hazard.

8.2.4 Impact on Community Lifelines

Community lifelines as defined for this plan will continue to be operational during a drought. The cost of potable water may increase, but the community lifeline that includes hydration will still function.



Landscaping at community lifeline facilities may not be maintained due to limited resources, but the risk to those areas will be largely aesthetic. For example, when water conservation measures are in place, landscaped areas may not be watered frequently and may die. These aesthetic impacts are not considered significant.

8.2.5 Impact on the Economy

A prolonged drought can have a serious economic impact for rural communities like Sierra County. As drought conditions persist, the availability of water for both residential and agricultural use becomes increasingly limited. Local and state agencies may impose water restrictions. These restrictions may include placing limitations on when or how frequently lawns can be watered or any other recreational/commercial outdoor use of water supplies.

The agricultural sector experiences insufficient water supply for crop irrigation leading to reduced crop yield and crop quality. Whereas livestock production is decreased due to limited rainfall to support annual forage production and decreased water supply for irrigated pasture production. This decrease in forage production leads to decreases in livestock production rates including reduce weight gain, reduced conception rates, and more.

During a drought we also see impacts on timber due to due increased pest and disease, along with wildfire that is amplified during drought.

Industries that rely on water, such as nurseries, landscaping services, and tourism, may experience reduced demand or be forced to scale back.

8.2.6 Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources

Drought can contribute to the physical deterioration of historic structures. The reduced soil moisture can lead to ground shifting or subsidence, which may destabilize foundations and cause structural damage to older buildings. Cultural landscapes, such as traditional agricultural areas, sacred sites, and ceremonial grounds, may also suffer from loss of vegetation and change in land. In some cases, drought may force the relocation of cultural activities or disrupt long-standing traditions tied to specific natural features or seasonal cycles.

8.2.7 Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources

Drought increases wildfire risk, and wildfires in turn increase demand for water. Prolonged periods of drought can result in detrimental changes in the vegetative structure, weakening trees and make forests more vulnerable to wildfire or insect outbreaks (EPA 2025). The loss of forests due to distressed health, pests, or fire can produce increased risk of other hazards due to reduced ability to retain runoff during heavy rainfall events (Hoegh-Guldberg, et al. 2018).

During droughts, groundwater use intensifies, stressing groundwater-dependent ecosystems and potentially resulting in increased overdraft, subsidence, and saltwater intrusion (in some areas), which can result in permanent loss of storage and damage to overlying infrastructure. Groundwater is the only source of water for much of California's most productive farmland, and agricultural water needs are likely to be heightened during prolonged hot and dry periods. Groundwater is also often the only source of



water for small, rural water systems and households (CNRA 2018). Additionally, droughts exacerbate headwater streams’ ability to naturally recharge groundwater.

8.2.8 Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP

Sierra County’s vulnerability to drought may slightly increase due to prolonged dry periods and climate variability, not due to population or development. Sierra County’s population has declined since the 2012 HMP, and agricultural activity has not expanded significantly. The county’s reliance on limited water resources, however, continues to make it sensitive to drought conditions. The extremely low rental vacancy rate, discussed in the Sierra County Housing Element 2024-29, suggests that long-term housing is in high demand, potentially concentrating water use in certain areas. While agricultural expansion has been minimal, the cumulative impact of residential development and prolonged dry conditions could exacerbate water scarcity.

8.3 MITIGATION OPPORTUNITIES

Table 8-4 presents a range of potential opportunities for mitigating the drought hazard.

Table 8-4. Potential Opportunities to Mitigate the Drought Hazard

Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
Manipulate the Hazard		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stormwater management Identify alternative water sources
Reduce Exposure and Vulnerability		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drought-resistant landscapes Reduce water system losses Modify plumbing systems (through water saving kits) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drought-resistant landscapes Reduce private water system losses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Water use conflict regulations Reduce water system losses Distribute water saving kits Implement/expand water reuse projects
Build Local Capacity		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Practice active water conservation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Practice active water conservation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public education on drought resistance Expand recycled water network Identify alternative water supplies for times of drought; mutual aid agreements with alternative suppliers Develop drought contingency plan Develop criteria “triggers” for drought-related actions Improve accuracy of water supply forecasts Modify rate structure to influence active water conservation techniques Increase emergency storage capacity



Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
Nature-based Opportunities		
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Promote and use reclaimed water supplies• Increase capacity for stored surface water to create habitats and ecosystems for aquatic species• Promote and use active groundwater recharge		



Babbit Lookout (Photo Credit: LeTina Vanetti)



EARTHQUAKE

Mohawk Valley M7.1 Scenario



COMMUNITY LIFELINES EXPOSED



51



4



12



20




3



39



63



3,177
Population Exposed

Social Impacts

21 Displaced Households

9 Require Short-term Sheltering

70 Days Average Downtime for Lifelines

Cascading Impacts



Dam/Levee Failure



Landslide



Haz Mat Release




Fire



Power Outage

MEDIUM



Overall Risk Ranking



9. EARTHQUAKE

9.1 HAZARD PROFILE



Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(i)

Include a description of the type, location, and extent for the identified hazards of concern and include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and the probability of future hazard events.

9.1.1 Description of the Hazard

Defining the Hazard

An earthquake occurs when rock beneath the Earth’s surface suddenly breaks and shifts, causing the ground to shake. An earthquake is the vibration of the earth’s surface following a release of energy in the earth’s crust. This energy can be generated by a sudden dislocation of the crust or by a volcanic eruption. Most destructive quakes are caused by dislocations of the crust. The crust may first bend and then, when the stress exceeds the strength of the rocks, break and snap to a new position. In the process of breaking, vibrations called “seismic waves” are generated. These waves travel outward from the source of the earthquake at varying speeds.

The location of an earthquake is commonly described by its focal depth and the geographic position of its epicenter. The focal depth of an earthquake is the depth from the Earth’s surface to the region where an earthquake’s energy originates (the focus or hypocenter). The epicenter of an earthquake is the point on the Earth’s surface directly above the hypocenter.

Cause of the Hazard

In California, the Pacific and North American plates slide past each other in opposing directions at a rate of about 1.5 inches per year. Friction between the plates can causes sections to stick. When they break free sudden movements, the movements release energy that travels through the ground as waves, causing shaking at the surface in the form of earthquakes (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024).

Tectonic Plates

The Earth’s crust, which is the rigid outermost shell of the planet, is broken into seven or eight major tectonic plates (depending on how they are defined) and many minor plates. Where the plates meet, they move in one of three ways along their mutual boundary: convergent (two plates moving together), divergent (two plates moving apart), or transform (two plates moving parallel to one another). Earthquakes, volcanic activity, mountain-building, and oceanic trench formation occur along these plate boundaries. Subduction is a geological process that takes place at convergent boundaries of tectonic plate, in which one plate moves under another. Regions where this process occurs are known as subduction zones, and they have the potential to generate highly damaging earthquakes.



California is seismically active because of movement of the North American Plate, east of the San Andreas Fault, and the Pacific Plate to the west, which includes the state’s coastal communities. The transform (parallel) movement of these tectonic plates against one another creates stresses that build as the rocks are gradually deformed. The rock deformation, or strain, is stored in the rocks as elastic strain energy. When the strength of the rock is exceeded, rupture occurs along a fault. The rocks on opposite sides of the fault slide past each other as they spring back into a relaxed position. The strain energy is released partly as heat and partly as elastic waves called seismic waves. The passage of these seismic waves produces the ground shaking in earthquakes.

Seismic Fault Lines

Geologists have found that earthquakes reoccur along faults, which are zones of weakness in the earth’s crust. When a fault experiences an earthquake, there is no guarantee that all the stress has been relieved. Another earthquake can still occur. In fact, relieving stress along one part of a fault may increase it in another part.

Faults are more likely to have future earthquakes on them if they have more rapid rates of movement, have had recent earthquakes along them, experience greater total displacements, and are aligned so that movement can relieve the accumulating tectonic stresses. Geologists classify faults by their relative hazards. “Active” faults, which represent the highest hazard, are those that have ruptured to the ground surface during the Holocene period (about the last 11,000 years). “Potentially active” faults are those that displaced layers of rock from the Quaternary period (the last 1,600,000 years) (USGS 2022).

Determining if a fault is “active” or “potentially active” depends on geologic evidence, which may not be available for every fault. The majority of the seismic hazards are on well-known active faults. However, inactive faults, where no displacements have been recorded, also have the potential to reactivate or experience displacement along a branch sometime in the future. An example of a fault zone that has been reactivated is the Foothills Fault Zone. The zone was considered inactive until evidence of an earthquake (approximately 1.6 million years ago) was found near Spenceville, California. Then, in 1975, an earthquake occurred on another branch of the zone near Oroville, California (now known as the Cleveland Hills Fault). The State Division of Mines and Geology indicates that increased earthquake activity throughout California may cause tectonic movement along currently inactive fault systems.

Summary of Potential Impacts

Earthquakes can directly cause buildings and bridges to collapse; disrupt utility services; and trigger landslides, avalanches, flash floods, fires, and tsunamis. Infrastructure collapses during earthquakes produced eight of California’s 10 costliest disasters in the last 100 years (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024).



Cascading Hazard Impacts

Cascading impacts result when one hazard event triggers one or more other hazard events that may still trigger others. The following cascading impacts associated with earthquakes are relevant to Sierra County (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024):

- **Surface Fault Rupture**—When a fault rupture extends to the earth's surface, the displacement can catastrophically damage structures or utilities. Fissuring, settlement, and permanent horizontal and vertical ground shifting often accompany large earthquakes. Such displacement can significantly increase damage and may be a contributing cause of damage.
- **Fires**—Fires following earthquakes may result from multiple causes, including overturned burning candles, sparking from downed power lines, and broken gas pipelines. Additionally, fire departments may be severely strained after earthquakes. Impaired communications, water supply, transportation, and other resources affect fire department response. Several computer programs, such as Hazus, URAMP, SERA, and RiskLink provide ways to assess the fire-following-earthquake vulnerability of a community in future earthquakes.
- **Liquefaction**—Ground settlement during liquefaction can cause damage when the settlement varies significantly across the length of a structure. Liquefaction can occur in susceptible soils such as NEHRP Types D, E, and F. This can severely damage structures at ports and harbors, as well as underwater utility lines.
- **Landslides**—Landslides caused by earthquakes can be widespread over the immediate area and at greater distances where hillsides are susceptible. These landslides can significantly damage structures and transportation and utility lifelines.
- **Dam or Levee Failure**—Earthquake ground shaking in and around dams and levees can affect structure performance. The dam or levee's foundation (such as peat or alluvium) will influence its performance during a seismic event.
- **Power Outages**—Earthquakes of all magnitudes can damage power lines and electrical facilities, impacting community lifelines that rely on power.
- **Hazardous Materials Release**—Earthquakes can lead to the release of hazardous materials from collapsed buildings and severed pipelines. This which may include oil spills, the release of gases, and runoff of hazardous materials.


9.1.2 Location

Faults

California has many faults with the potential to produce damaging earthquakes. Sierra County contains several significant faults that slip at a rate of 0-1 millimeters/year, as shown on Figure 9-1. Generally, faults with greater slip rates are more likely to produce earthquakes (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024).



Figure 9-1. Significant Faults in Sierra County



Source: California Geological Survey

National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program Soils

National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) soil types define locations that will be significantly impacted by an earthquake. NEHRP Soils B and C typically can sustain low-magnitude ground shaking without much effect. Areas with NEHRP Soils D, E and F are most commonly affected by ground shaking. Sections of Sierra County (Figure 9-2) are located on NEHRP Type D Soil, making infrastructure (roads, highways, dams, and water projects) more vulnerable to cracking, ripping apart, settling, and slough during an earthquake.

9.1.3 Extent

Measuring Intensity

An earthquake's intensity is a measure of how strong an earthquake feels at any one location. It can vary widely across the range where an earthquake is experienced. The modified Mercalli intensity scale (Table 9-1) is most used. The range of ground shaking can depend on multiple factors such as the distance from the earthquake, rock and soil conditions of the impacted area, and complexities in the structure of the earth's crust (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024).




Table 9-1. Mercalli Intensity Scale

Modified Mercalli Intensity	PGA (% gravitational acceleration)	Perceived Shaking	Potential Shaking
I	< 0.17	Not Felt	None
II	0.17 – 1.4	Weak	None
III	0.17 – 1.4	Weak	None
IV	1.4 – 3.9	Light	None
V	3.9 – 9.2	Moderate	Very Light
VI	9.2 – 18	Strong	Light
VII	18 – 34	Very Strong	Moderate
VIII	34 – 65	Severe	Moderate to Heavy

The modified Mercalli intensity scale is generally represented with ShakeMaps, which shows the expected ground shaking produced by an earthquake with a specified magnitude and epicenter.

Figure 9-2. Sierra County NEHRP Seismic Site Class





Warning Time

Researchers are studying potential earthquake warning systems to give notice before damaging earthquake events. The warning time could allow someone to take preventative measures such as to get under a desk, step away from a hazardous material, or shut down a computer system (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024).

Cal OES's Earthquake Early Warning California (MyShake), developed in partnership with UC Berkeley and USGS ShakeAlert, is a statewide, publicly available warning system that provides seconds or tens of seconds to take cover before shaking occurs, depending on the location of the event. The system uses data from motion sensors and Global Navigation Satellite System to detect earthquakes and notify Californians of an earthquake in advance. Individuals can download the MyShake App on their mobile devices for earthquake warnings (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024).

Worst-Case Scenario

The worst-case scenario for an earthquake in Sierra County would involve an earthquake reaching a Modified Mercalli Intensity of VIII. At this intensity, significant structural damage would occur, particularly to older, unreinforced masonry buildings and infrastructure not built to modern seismic standards. Followed by earthquakes, secondary hazards such as severe landslides could worsen the situation. Ground shaking could trigger slope failures, blocking transportation and isolating communities in remote or high elevated areas. In dry and peak wildfire conditions, the combination of damaged infrastructure and power lines along with dry vegetation could ignite wildfires.

9.1.4 Previous Occurrences

The following sections provide a review of previous earthquake occurrences in Sierra County.

Declarations

Federal Declarations

Between 1954 and 2023, Sierra County experienced no earthquake-related disasters (DR) or emergencies (EM).

State Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, California declared no disasters related to earthquakes in Sierra County.

USDA Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, the USDA declared no disasters related to earthquakes in Sierra County.

Summary of Significant Events

Among the most notable seismic events affecting Sierra County, the magnitude 5.9 earthquake near Truckee on September 12, 1966, stands out for its regional impact. Although the epicenter was located just outside Sierra County, the quake was widely felt across Northern California and western Nevada, causing minor structural damage and prompting public concern due to its intensity and reach (CDPH



2022). The event occurred along the eastern Sierra Nevada fault system, a seismically active region influenced by complex tectonic interactions between the Pacific and North American plates.

Between 2000 and 2024, the USGS reported that Sierra County experienced 18 earthquakes with a magnitude of 3.1 or greater (Table 9-2).

Table 9-2. Earthquakes Magnitude 3.1 or Greater in Sierra County

Incident Period	Magnitude	Description
February 10, 2024	3.2 Mw	The USGS reported that a 3.2 ml earthquake occurred 8 km NNE of Truckee, CA in Sierra County, CA.
June 23, 2021	3.3 Mw	The USGS reported that a 3.3 Mw earthquake occurred 24km WNW of Truckee, CA in Sierra County, CA.
May 7, 2021	4.65 Mw	The USGS reported that a 4.65 Mw earthquake and 3 aftershock quakes ranging from 2.74 md - 3.14 ml occurred 19km NW of Truckee, California in Sierra County, CA.
August 30, 2018	3.75 Mw	The USGS reported that a 3.75 Mw earthquake occurred 5km W of Tahoe Vista, CA in Sierra County, CA.
July 26, 2018	3.47 Mw	The USGS reported that a 3.47 Mw earthquake occurred 5km NNW of Dollar Point, CA in Sierra County, CA.
June 27, 2017	3.95 Mw	The USGS reported that a 3.95 Mw earthquake and five aftershock quakes ranging from 2.91 md - 3.73 occurred 13 km SSE of Sierraville, California in Sierra County, CA.
July 19, 2015	3.19 Mw	The USGS reported that a 3.19 Mw earthquake occurred 16 km NNW of Truckee, California in Sierra County, CA.
April 8, 2015	3.41 Mw	The USGS reported that a 3.41 Mw earthquake and an aftershock quake of 2.8 mh occurred 3 km S of Floriston, California in Sierra County, CA.
September 11, 2014	3.41 Mw	The USGS reported that a 3.41 Mw earthquake occurred 1 km WNW of Carnelian Bay, California in Sierra County, CA.
December 29, 2010	3.3 Mw	The USGS reported that a 3.3 Mw earthquake occurred 1 km WNW of Carnelian Bay, California in Sierra County, CA.
October 18, 2010	3.09 Mw	The USGS reported that a 3.09 Mw earthquake occurred 5 km SSW of Floriston, California in Sierra County, CA.
May 29, 2006	3.7 Mw	The USGS reported that a 3.7 Mw earthquake occurred 5 km NNW of Kingvale, California in Sierra County, CA.
June 26, 2005	4.77 Mw	The USGS reported that a 4.77 Mw earthquake occurred 8 km NNW of Tahoe Vista, California in Sierra County, CA.
June 12, 2004	3.65 Mw	The USGS reported that two earthquakes ranging from 3.65-3.4 Mw occurred 9 km NNW of Truckee, California on 6/12/2004 in Sierra County, CA.
June 3, 2004	4.2 Mw	The USGS reported that a 4.2 Mw earthquake and an aftershock quake of 3.04 ml occurred 6 km S of Floriston, California in Sierra County, CA.
March 27, 2001	3.61 Mw	The USGS reported that a 3.61 Mw earthquake occurred 5 km NNW of Kingvale, California in Sierra County, CA.
December 2, 2000	4.4 Mw	The USGS reported that a 4.4 Mw earthquake and 3 aftershock quakes ranging from 2.51-3.28 md occurred 5 km NW of Kingvale, California in Sierra County, CA.
February 6, 2000	3.46 Mw	The USGS reported that a 3.46 Mw earthquake occurred 7 km S of Floriston, California in Sierra County, CA.

Source: (USGS 2024)



Recent Events

Within the past 5 years, the earthquake of greatest magnitude in Sierra County occurred 19 km NW of Truckee, CA in 2021. Its original magnitude was 4.65 Mw and resulted in three aftershock quakes that ranged from 2.74 Mw – 3.14 Mw.

9.1.5 Future Conditions

Future hazard conditions, including frequency and severity of future events, is discussed in the sections below.

Probability

According to the USGS earthquake database, Sierra County experienced 18 earthquakes between 2000 and 2024 with magnitude 3.1 and greater (Table 9-2). Based on these statistics, the County can expect an earthquake with a magnitude of 3.1 or greater nearly every year.

Fault rupture is the sliding movement of rock on either side of a fault. This phenomenon is responsible for causing the resulting shaking. Scientists have developed the Third Uniform California Earthquake Rupture Forecast (UCERF3) as an earthquake forecast model for California (SCEC 2023). The model estimates the location, magnitude, and likelihood of earthquake fault rupture throughout the State and serves as a reminder that damaging earthquakes are inevitable in California (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024). UCERF-3 has identified the following recurrence intervals for the deterministic scenario earthquakes modeled for the risk assessment in this MJHMP:

- Mohawk Valley M7.13 = 2,658 year
- Polaris M 6.79 = 3,611 year

Climate Change

The potential impacts of climate change on earthquake probability are unknown. Climate change can exacerbate the cascading hazards related to earthquakes such as landslides. Soil breakdown can be facilitated by rising air temperatures, affecting erosion rates, sediment control, and the likelihood of landslides. Climate change may also increase the probability of more frequent and intense rainstorms. This can result in further erosion, higher sediment transport in rivers and streams, and a higher probability of landslides (California Governor's Office of Emergency Services 2024).

Potential Future Impacts

Since all of the planning area is located within earthquake hazard zones, all future development will, to some extent, be exposed to the earthquake hazard. The County is located in Seismic Zone 3, as defined by the Uniform Building Code. Building standards and regulations in this zone assume earthquakes with the potential to make standing difficult and to cause stucco and some masonry walls to fall. Strong ground shaking could result in damage to unreinforced masonry buildings built before 1933. While the County has a history of seismic activity, the likelihood and magnitude of a future significant incidents are minimal.



9.2 VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT

Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(ii)



The plan must include a description of the jurisdiction’s vulnerability to the hazards of concern and include an overall summary of the hazard’s impact on the community. The impacts need to include the types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the hazard areas, and estimate of potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures, and a description of land uses and development trends.

9.2.1 Summary of Vulnerability

The entire planning area is exposed to the earthquake hazard, so an earthquake has the potential to affect the entire population, all 1,957 buildings in the planning area, with a total replacement value of \$1,159,880,290, all of the planning area’s identified critical facilities, and the entire environment of the planning area. (See Section 5.5 Data Limitations)

9.2.2 Impact on Life, Health, and Safety

Depending on the severity of the earthquake some people may be directly injured or killed. In addition, homes and businesses may be damaged, resources and supplies may be scarce, business interruptions may keep people from working, utilities may have outages, schools may be temporarily closed, and road closures may cause extra time and travel. All of these indirect effects could impact people who suffered no direct harm from the earthquake. Thus, the entire population must deal with the consequences of earthquakes to some degree.

Impacts on persons and households in the planning area were estimated for the scenario events assessed through the Level 2 Hazus analysis. Table 9-3 summarizes the results.

Table 9-3. Estimated Earthquake Impact on Persons and Households

Planning Area	2500-year Probability		Mohawk Valley M7.1		Polaris M6.79	
	Displaced Households	Short-Term Shelter	Displaced Households	Short-Term Shelter	Displaced Households	Short-Term Shelter
Loyalton	17	8	4	2	3	1
Eastern Sierra County	30	14	15	7	4	2
Western Sierra County	22	10	2	1	0	0
Totals	70	32	21	9	7	3

Much of the housing stock for remote residents in the County is aged and may not conform to seismic building codes; therefore, homes will sustain more damage during an event. Organizations with physical structures that provide care, services, and shelter may be impacted as a result of an earthquake. Loss of water, power, roads, phones, and transportation due to earthquake events can be particularly dangerous for those with certain medical conditions, especially with long driving times to needed services. Visitors



who are camping may be injured by collapsing tents or falling rocks and trees. They may also become disoriented in an unfamiliar environment.

Figure 9-3 and Figure 9-4 show potential earthquake scenarios ranging from moderate to severe on the Mercalli Intensity Scale.

Figure 9-3. Sierra County Potential Earthquake Scenario: Mohawk Valley M7.13







Figure 9-4. Sierra County Potential Earthquake Scenario Polaris M6.79



9.2.3 Impact on General Building Stock

Building Age

Table 9-4 identifies significant milestones in building and seismic code requirements that directly affect the structural integrity of development. Using these time periods, the planning team used Hazus to identify the number of structures in the planning area by date of construction.

Only about 16 percent of the County’s structures were constructed after the Uniform Building Code was amended in 1994 to include seismic safety provisions. Approximately the same number of structures were built before 1933 when there were no building permits or seismic standards.



Table 9-4. Age of Sierra County Structures Relative to Seismic Codes and Standards

Time Period	Number of Current Structures Built in Period	Significance of General Time Frame
Pre-1933	303	Before 1933, there were no explicit earthquake requirements in building codes. State law did not require local governments to have building officials or issue building permits.
1933 to 1940	154	In 1940, the first strong motion recording was made.
1941 to 1960	231	In 1960, the Structural Engineers Association of California published guidelines on recommended earthquake provisions.
1961 to 1975	442	In 1975, significant improvements were made to lateral force requirements.
1976 to 1993	516	In 1994, the Uniform Building Code was amended to include provisions for seismic safety.
1994 to Present	311	Seismic code is currently enforced.
Total	1,957	

The western part of the county is somewhat more earthquake resistant. It is farther from the major faults. It has predominately more earthquake resistant ground types, predominantly bedrocks with a slight alluvial overlay along the streams, and the Sierra Block mastiff dampening quake effects from east of the Sierra and the Tahoe basin. The weakness is in infrastructure. Homes, although wood frame, are predominately pre-1970 and have low building code standards. The roads are susceptible to debris flows and landslides, many of the commercial buildings date back to the 19th century and are primarily masonry.

The eastern parts of the county have somewhat newer construction and less threat from debris flows and landslides except for the access and egress corridors to the North, South, and West. However, the eastern part of the county is dominated by Sierra Valley. As discussed in the flood section, this prehistoric lakebed has over 2,000 feet of alluvial deposits and a modern clay alluvial layer of 100 to 200 feet on top of the prehistoric deposits. This creates a vast area of soils that will amplify shaking from a major earthquake. Moderate earthquake effects on the County are described in the following tables from the Hazus modeling software.

Table 9-5 identifies loss estimates represented by a percentage of the replacement cost value of structures in Sierra County.

Table 9-5. General Building Stock Replacement Cost Values

Planning Area	Total Building Value (Structure and contents)	10% of Total Building Value	30% of Total Building Value	50% of Total Building Value
Loyalton	\$227,354,323	\$22,735,432	\$68,206,297	\$113,677,162
Eastern Sierra County	\$469,207,778	\$46,920,778	\$140,762,333	\$234,603,889
Western Sierra County	\$463,318,188	\$46,331,819	\$138,995,456	\$231,659,094
Totals	\$1,159,880,290	\$115,988,029	\$347,964,087	\$579,940,145



Loss Potential

Property losses were estimated through the Level 2 Hazus analysis for the assessed earthquake fault scenarios. Table 9-6 shows the estimates for damage to structures and building contents with the percent of total replacement value. The Hazus analysis also estimated the amount of earthquake-caused debris in the planning area for the assessed events, as summarized in Table 9-7.

Table 9-6. Loss Estimates for Fault Scenarios

Planning Area	Estimated Loss Associated with Earthquake			% of Total Replacement Value
	Structure	Contents	Total	
2500-year Probability				
Loyalton	\$16,954,287	\$7,547,948	\$24,502,235	10.8%
Eastern Sierra County	\$28,855,249	\$13,985,121	\$42,840,370	9.1%
Western Sierra County	\$18,760,928	\$12,396,666	\$31,157,594	6.7%
Total	\$64,570,464	\$33,929,735	\$98,500,198	8.5%
Mohawk Valley M7.1				
Loyalton	\$3,719,386	\$1,578,232	\$5,297,618	2.3%
Eastern Sierra County	\$15,694,742	\$5,540,050	\$21,234,792	4.5%
Western Sierra County	\$1,670,054	\$1,003,108	\$2,673,162	0.6%
Total	\$21,084,182	\$8,121,390	\$29,205,572	2.5%
Polaris M6.79				
Loyalton	\$2,496,543	\$1,195,035	\$3,691,578	1.6%
Eastern Sierra County	\$4,296,151	\$1,952,436	\$6,248,588	1.3%
Western Sierra County	\$159,964	\$99,534	\$259,498	0.1%
Total	\$6,952,658	\$3,247,006	\$10,199,664	0.9%

Table 9-7. Estimated Earthquake-Caused Debris

Planning Area	Debris to Be Removed (tons)x 1000		
	2500-year Probability	Mohawk Valley M7.1	Polaris M6.79
Loyalton	11	5	2
Eastern Sierra County	25	11	3
Western Sierra County	16	2	0
Total	52	19	6

9.2.4 Impact on Community Lifelines

The entire planning area is vulnerable to earthquakes, including all community lifelines. Functional downtime is the most significant earthquake impact on critical facilities and community lifelines. The severity of this impact is based on the amount of time it takes to restore damaged facilities to operational status. Hazus estimates damage and functional downtime for earthquake scenarios. Refer to Table 3-4 for a summary of all community lifelines locate in Sierra County.

Transportation routes, including bridges and highways, are vulnerable to earthquakes, especially in NEHRP Soil Types D and E and liquefaction zones. Aging infrastructure and those already in poor condition are most vulnerable.



9.2.5 Impact on the Economy

In Sierra County, where small-scale farming, ranching, and forestry contribute to the local economy, even moderate seismic events can result in disproportionate economic losses due to limited redundancy and access to emergency resources. Damage to roads, bridges, and utility lines can isolate rural communities, delay harvests, and disrupt the delivery of perishable goods. Power outages, which often accompany seismic events, can further complicate response and recovery efforts by disabling irrigation systems, refrigeration units, and communication networks. These disruptions can lead to business interruption losses, spoilage of produce, and animal welfare concerns, such as livestock operations that rely on continuous power for feeding, watering, and climate control. Recovery may be slowed by limited local resources, aging infrastructure, and geographic isolation.

9.2.6 Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources

Several historic and cultural sites of Sierra County feature buildings dating back to the 1800s. Particularly, Downieville is considered the least changed of all the gold rush towns in California. Many of these structures were built before modern seismic safety standards and rely on aging infrastructure that may not be retrofitted. In the event of an earthquake, these buildings face a heightened risk of severe damage or total collapse. The loss would not only be structural but also cultural, erasing irreplaceable links to the region's past. Earthquakes could also disrupt tourism, a key economic contributor tied to the county's heritage.

9.2.7 Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources

Earthquakes can cause a range of environmental impacts. Earthquake-induced landslides may severely damage surrounding habitats, while shifts in the earth's structure can reroute streams, altering water quality and disrupting ecosystems. Groundwater-fed streams or springs may dry up due to changes in underlying geology. Additionally, earthquakes pose a significant risk of hazardous material release through various mechanisms, including:

- The toppling of elevated tanks or overturning of horizontal tanks
- Structural failures
- Dislodging of asbestos
- Sloshing from open-topped containers
- Falling containers or shelves, especially in laboratories
- Storage container failures
- Under- or above-ground pipeline breaks
- Structural fire in industrial facilities following earthquake event

9.2.8 Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP

There has been little change in earthquake vulnerability in Sierra County since 2012. The population remains small and dispersed, and there has been minimal new construction that would alter the county's exposure. While seismic risk is inherent due to regional fault lines, the lack of significant development means that overall vulnerability has not increased meaningfully. The shift toward seasonal housing also raises concerns about structural resilience, especially if these homes are not built to modern seismic standards.



9.3 MITIGATION OPPORTUNITIES

Table 9-8 presents a range of potential opportunities for mitigating the earthquake hazard.

Table 9-8. Potential Opportunities to Mitigate the Earthquake Hazard

Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
Manipulate the Hazard		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None
Reduce Exposure and Vulnerability		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Locate outside of hazard area (off soft soils) • Retrofit structure (anchor house structure to foundation) • Secure household items that can cause injury or damage (such as water heaters, bookcases, and other appliances) • Build to higher design 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Locate or relocate critical functions outside hazard area where possible • Build redundancy for critical functions and facilities • Retrofit critical buildings and areas housing critical functions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Locate critical facilities or functions outside hazard area where possible • Harden infrastructure • Provide redundancy for critical functions • Adopt higher regulatory standards • Perform seismic retrofits for vulnerable critical buildings and areas
Build Local Capacity		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Practice “drop, cover, and hold” • Develop household mitigation plan, such as creating a retrofit savings account, communication capability with outside, 72-hour self-sufficiency during an event • Keep cash reserves for reconstruction • Become informed on the hazard and risk reduction alternatives available • Develop a post-disaster action plan for your household 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adopt higher standard for new construction; consider “performance-based design” when building new structures • Keep cash reserves for reconstruction • Inform your employees on the possible impacts of earthquake and how to deal with them at your work facility. • Develop a continuity of operations plan 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide better hazard maps • Provide technical information and guidance • Enact tools to help manage development in hazard areas (e.g., tax incentives, information) • Include retrofitting and replacement of critical system elements in capital improvement plan • Develop strategy to take advantage of post-disaster opportunities • Warehouse critical infrastructure components such as pipe fittings, valves, pumps, power line, and road repair materials • Solidify supplemental power supply to tanks and pump stations (generator program) • Develop and adopt a continuity of operations plan • Initiate triggers guiding improvements (such as <50% substantial damage or improvements) • Further enhance seismic risk assessment to target high



Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
		hazard buildings for mitigation opportunities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop a post-disaster action plan that includes grant funding and debris removal components
Nature-based Opportunities		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None identified 		



Ranch in Sattley (Photo Credit: Mary Davey)



EXTREME HEAT



COMMUNITY LIFELINES EXPOSED



51



4



12



20




3



39



63



3,177
Population Exposed



89 Days
Temperature Above
90° By Mid-Century

Cascading Impacts



Drought




Fire



Power Outage

HIGH



Overall Risk Ranking



10. EXTREME HEAT

10.1 HAZARD PROFILE



Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(i)

Include a description of the type, location, and extent for the identified hazards of concern and include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and the probability of future hazard events.

10.1.1 Description of the Hazard

Defining the Hazard

Extreme heat is defined as temperatures that hover 10° F or more above the average high temperatures for a region for several days or weeks. In California, an extreme heat event is defined as 3 days over 100° F. Extreme heat events can lead to an increase in heat-related illnesses and deaths, worsen drought, and impact water supplies and other industries such as transportation, agriculture, and energy (California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 2022).

Cause of the Hazard

Extreme heat events, or heat waves, are usually a result of both high temperatures and high relative humidity. The higher the relative humidity or the more moisture in the air, the less likely that evaporation will take place. This becomes significant when high relative humidity is coupled with soaring temperatures, posing significant risk to human health. Anthropogenic climate change is fueling an increase in frequency of extreme heat days in California.

The severity of extreme heat can be amplified by the “urban heat island effect” which is the phenomena defined by the National Integrated Heat Health Information System in which cities experience more intense warming than their surrounding rural landscapes, particularly during the summer. This temperature difference occurs when cities’ unshaded roads and buildings absorb heat during the day and release this heat slowly (California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 2022). As a result, highly developed urban areas can experience mid-afternoon temperatures that are 15° F to 20° F warmer than surrounding, vegetated areas (California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 2022). The urban heat island effect is not as prevalent in Sierra County, but heat can be amplified in communities with more development such as Loyalton and Downieville.

Summary of Potential Impacts

Extreme heat has impacts on human health and infrastructure. Extreme heat is one of the leading causes of weather-related deaths in the United States, killing an average of more than 702 people per year from 2004–2018, more than all other weather hazards (except hurricanes) combined. Heat-related illness includes a spectrum of illnesses ranging from heat cramps to severe heat exhaustion and life-threatening heat stroke. Those who work outside are at risk for heat stroke or sun stroke, heat exhaustion, fatigue, and dehydration (California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 2022). Elevated nighttime temperatures are likely key ingredients in causing heat-related illness and mortality.



Heat impacts infrastructure safety and agencies' ability to provide timely and efficient services to its customers. Extreme heat impacts infrastructure and economies. Urban infrastructure is especially threatened by cascading effects of extreme heat stress on interdependent water, power, and transportation systems. High heat can deteriorate pavement, buckle railway tracks, and restrict aircraft operations. During hot weather, increased use of air conditioning and refrigeration increases electricity usage, thus straining the electrical grid (California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 2022).

Extreme heat can also impact agricultural production, especially livestock that can have health implications and even death due to high temperatures.

Cascading Hazard Impacts

Extreme heat events can lead to both droughts and wildfire, depending on the severity and length of the event. Existing drought and wildfire conditions can be severely exacerbated by extreme heat events, further drying out soil and vegetation and increasing electricity demand. These hazards are covered in Chapters 8 and 14.

10.1.2 Location

Extreme heat has not historically been a hazard of main concern for Sierra County. This is due to the county's low urban density and heavily forested areas. However, future projections indicate that Sierra County could experience more frequent and intense episodes of extreme heat, which will impact the entire County, especially those without air conditioning.

10.1.3 Extent


Heat emergencies are often slow to develop and usually hurt vulnerable populations and already aging and damaged infrastructure. It could take several days of oppressive heat for a heat wave to have a significant or quantifiable impact in areas of Sierra County.

Measuring Intensity

Extreme heat extent can be defined by local record highs and the NWS Heat Index. The NOAA and NWS devised the "Heat Index" chart shown on Figure 10-1, which uses air temperature and humidity to determine the heat index or apparent temperature. In addition, information regarding the likelihood of health dangers by temperature range is presented (National Weather Service & National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 2024).



Figure 10-1. NWS Heat Index



Warning Time

The NWS is producing experimental forecasts called HeatRisk to assess the heat risk to local thresholds in California, Nevada, Utah, and Arizona. The NWS issues excessive heat watches, excessive heat warnings, and heat advisories to warn of an extreme heat event (a “heat wave”) within 36 hours of the predicted event (National Weather Service 2023).

Table 10-1. NWS HeatRisk Index

Category	Risk of Heat-Related Impacts
Green 0	Little to no risk from expected heat.
Yellow 1	Minor - This level of heat affects primarily those individuals extremely sensitive to heat, especially when outdoors without effective cooling and/or adequate hydration.
Orange 2	Moderate - This level of heat affects most individuals sensitive to heat, especially those without effective cooling and/or adequate hydration. Impacts possible in some health systems and in heat-sensitive industries.
Red 3	Major - This level of heat affects anyone without effective cooling and/or adequate hydration. Impacts likely in some health systems, heat-sensitive industries and infrastructure.
Magenta 4	Extreme - This level of rare and/or long-duration extreme heat with little to no overnight relief affects anyone without effective cooling and/or adequate hydration. Impacts likely in most health systems, heat-sensitive industries and infrastructure.



The NWS will use the HeatRisk Index (Table 10-1) to determine if an excessive heat watch/warning or heat advisory is warranted. The NWS issues the following types of heat-related advisories: Heat Advisory—HeatRisk category is on the orange/red (Level 2-3) thresholds and Excessive Heat Watch/Warning—HeatRisk category is on the red/magenta (Level 3-4) thresholds. An Excessive Heat Watch is a way to give the public and emergency officials a warning that extreme temperatures are expected. If high temperatures remain forecasted for 24 to 28 hours, the excessive heat *watch* will be upgraded to an excessive heat *warning* (National Weather Service 2023).

Worst-Case Scenario

The worst-case scenario for an extreme heat wave in Sierra County would be a heat wave lasting longer than 3 days with an Excessive Heat Warning Level 4. This heat wave would have temperatures near or above 100° F with no overnight cooling. A heat wave of this magnitude would impact a broad swath of the population, including those with air conditioning. Prolonged heat would put a strain on the county's energy grid, health system, and could cause damage to infrastructure. A prolonged extreme heat event can occur with cascading hazards, most importantly drought and wildfire. California's current projections indicate an increase in extreme heat days, which would raise the snowline and decrease snowpack in the Sierras and increase the likelihood of drought conditions in Sierra County. Rising temperatures and a lack of precipitation can lead to ideal conditions for wildfires, which could impact all residents in the County. The destruction caused by these wildfires, including denuding vegetation and burn scars, increases the risk of mudslides and flooding when heavy rain occurs (NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information 2021).

10.1.4 Previous Occurrences

The following sections provide a review of previous Extreme Heat occurrences in Sierra County.

Declarations

Historically, FEMA has denied requests and subsequent appeals for federal disaster declarations related to extreme heat events, stating that the cascading impacts of heat-related events, such as wildfires, cause the damage for which states seek assistance (Congressional Research Service 2024).

Federal Declarations

There have been no federal declarations for extreme heat for Sierra County.

State Declarations

There have been no state declarations related to extreme heat for Sierra County.

USDA Declarations

There have been no USDA declarations related to extreme heat for Sierra County.



Summary of Significant Events

California has only experienced four “declared” extreme heat disasters or emergencies since 1950. This is due to limitations in the Stafford Act, which allocates funds to states for natural disasters. Despite this, six excessive heat events have occurred in the Sierra County region since 2021. These events broke high temperature records and, in some cases, led to injury. It is important to note that in September 2023, FEMA Administrator Deanne Criswell testified that an emergency declaration for extreme heat is impossible if, “...the incident exceeds the capacity of a state and local jurisdiction...” Members of Congress have also introduced legislation to include extreme heat in the Stafford Act (Congressional Research Service 2024).

Recent Events

The NOAA NCEI Storm Events Database reported a total of six “excessive” or extreme heat events impacting Sierra County forecast zones from 1950 through August 2024. Table 10-2 shows a summary of significant extreme heat events. The details provided do not fully describe impacts to the county, but they illustrate the risks faced by the county residents during extreme heat events, especially to those working outdoors (National Weather Service 2023).

Table 10-2. Recent Heat and Excessive Heat Hazard Events

Date (s) of Event	Event ID	County Zone	Description
June 16-20, 2021	969655	West Slope Northern Sierra Nevada (Zone)	Portions of Zone 69 saw high temperatures reach the mid to upper 90s with warm overnight lows. High to very high heat risk impacted the region with a 4-day heatwave. Several daily high temperature records were either tied or broken on the 18th.
July 9-12, 2021	977400	West Slope Northern Sierra Nevada (Zone)	Portions of the region saw high temperatures reach the mid to upper 90s. High to very high heat risk impacted the region with a several day heat wave. One daily high temperature record was tied and one daily high temperature record was broken. During the event, cooling centers were made available to the public across interior Northern California.
September 7-9, 2021	987043	West Slope Northern Sierra Nevada (Zone)	Downieville reported a high temperature of 102 on the 7th. Generally, the region saw high temperatures reach the mid to upper 90s. High to very high heat risk impacted the region. One daily high temperature record was broken. During the event, cooling centers were made available to the public across interior Northern California.
September 4-9, 2022	1057566	West Slope Northern Sierra Nevada (Zone)	Portions of the West Slope of the Northern Sierra saw high temperatures reach up to 95 to 107, with lows in the 70s to around 80. The hottest days were the 5th and 6th. Triple-digit high temperatures were observed across most of the Central Valley, Delta, and foothills through



Date (s) of Event	Event ID	County Zone	Description
			the first 9 days of September. The hottest temperatures were on September 5 and 6, when temperatures exceeding 110 were observed at many locations. Numerous daily and monthly record high-temperatures were also set in the area.
July 15-16, 2023	1132263	West Slope Northern Sierra Nevada (Zone)	Daytime highs reached the mid-80s to near 100 degree range. Excessive heat over a mid-July weekend brought very hot temperatures to interior Northern California and Major HeatRisk. Widespread triple digit temperatures were observed in the Valley and foothills. Redding Municipal Airport reached 111 degrees. Records were set for overnight temperatures on July 17.
July 21-23, 2023	1132293	West Slope Northern Sierra Nevada (Zone)	Daytime highs were in the upper 80s to near 100 degrees below 6,000 feet in this zone. Hot temperatures brought widespread Moderate HeatRisk and areas of Major HeatRisk over a late July weekend. Redding Municipal Airport and Red Bluff Airport tied the previous record daily high of 112 degrees for July 21. Redding then set a new daily record high temperature of 113 degrees on July 22.

10.1.5 Future Conditions

Future hazard conditions, including frequency and severity of future events, are discussed in the sections below.

Probability

Extreme heat has become more frequent in California since 1950, especially at night. Across most locations studied here, the number and magnitude of extreme heat events have significantly increased. Heat waves – two or more consecutive heat events – vary from year to year but have become more frequent in the past decade (California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 2022).

Climate Change

Climate change will have a direct impact on the number and intensity of extreme heat days in Sierra County and the rest of the Sierra Nevada Region. The mean temperature has increased 3° F in the last 60 years (from 46° F in 1960 to 49° F in 2020). In the next 20 years, the mean temperature is anticipated to increase up to 1°–2° F, and in the next 40 years is anticipated to increase an additional 2°–3° F (Sierra Business Council 2022). Sierra County is projected to have a sixfold increase in extreme heat days above 88.9° F and a fivefold increase in warm nights above 50.4° F (Sierra Business Council 2022).

Projected warming temperatures will influence snowpack, runoff, evaporation, and evapotranspiration, as well as drought and overall ecological health in the region. This includes longer growing seasons, shifts in vegetative populations, and invasive species encroachment.



Potential Future Impacts

Sierra County is projected to have a drastic increase in extreme heat days above 88.9° F and warm nights above 50.4° F. This could impact the health of 95% of residents without household air-conditioning. Extreme heat days and a decrease in water supply from snowpack could cause more dramatic drought conditions. This could impact the ranching communities and the tourism industry, which makes up 44% of local jobs (Sierra Business Council 2022).

10.2 VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT

Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(ii)



The plan must include a description of the jurisdiction’s vulnerability to the hazards of concern and include an overall summary of the hazard’s impact on the community. The impacts need to include the types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the hazard areas, and estimate of potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures, and a description of land uses and development trends.


10.2.1 Summary of Vulnerability

The entire planning area is exposed to the extreme heat hazard, so an event has the potential to affect the entire population. Extreme heat generally does not impact buildings, but all buildings in the planning area will have an increased energy demand for cooling. All of the planning area’s identified critical facilities and the entire environment of the planning area are vulnerable to the extreme heat hazard. (See Section 5.5 Data Limitations)

10.2.2 Impact on Life, Health, and Safety

Extreme heat poses wide-ranging impacts on public health, energy usage, infrastructure, risks of wildfire, and more. Specifically, as relates to public health, extreme heat can cause illness such as heat exhaustion and heat stroke, and can induce or exacerbate illnesses related to cardiovascular, respiratory, renal, and mental health (UCLA Luskin Center for Innovation and Public Health Alliance of Southern California 2025). According to the California Healthy Places Index: Extreme Heat Edition tool, Sierra County is projected to experience an average of 88.5 days per year with temperatures exceeding 90° F between 2035 and 2064.

Figure 10-2. California Healthy Places Index Extreme Heat Days for Sierra County





Isolated individuals with physical or mobility constraints, cognitive impairments, and economic constraints are vulnerable to extreme heat. Such populations include the elderly, young children, low-income people, people with life-threatening illnesses and those who are overweight. Power outages can be life threatening to those dependent on electricity for life support. These individuals may not be able to travel to a designated cooling center. Outdoor recreational users may also be more vulnerable to extreme heat events if they do not have access to air conditioning.

10.2.3 Impact on General Building Stock

Extreme heat events can significantly impact the built environment by causing infrastructure damage, increasing energy demand, exacerbating urban heat island effects, and posing health risks to residents and workers, necessitating adaptation strategies like green infrastructure and heat-resilient design. All of the general building stock within Sierra County is considered to be exposed to the extreme heat hazard with varying degrees of vulnerability based on the age and condition of the structures.

10.2.4 Impact on Community Lifelines

Extreme heat events can severely impact community lifelines by straining infrastructure, increasing energy demand, and disrupting essential services like healthcare, transportation, and food security, potentially leading to power outages, heat-related illnesses, and economic damage.

10.2.5 Impact on the Economy

The economic and societal consequences of extreme heat are pervasive. Impacts encompass reductions in gross domestic product, as workers and infrastructure systems become less productive, as well as wider detrimental effects on well-being, as healthcare outcomes worsen, and people are unable to access outdoor space. Impacts include transitory ones, from people enduring uncomfortable conditions and workers taking sick leave, and enduring losses, for example, due to interruptions to education or property damage from wildfires which can be more severe due to extreme heat's effect on the environment. Tourism and other leisure activities are also affected as temperatures rise, making walking, shopping, and sightseeing uncomfortable and potentially dangerous.

10.2.6 Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources

Extreme heat events pose significant threats to historic and cultural resources, leading to accelerated deterioration of materials, damage to structures and artifacts, and disruption of ecosystems around these sites. Specifically for historic sites with outdoor exhibits, such as the Loyalton Museum, extreme heat can also significantly reduce visitor engagement. High temperatures may deter tourists and local visitors, leading to decreased foot traffic and reduced revenue, which in turn limits funding for preservation and maintenance. Over time, this cycle can contribute to the neglect and decline of culturally significant places. Moreover, staff and volunteers working at these sites may face health risks during heatwaves, further complicating operations and public access.

10.2.7 Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources

Rising temperatures affect all types of ecosystems through shifts in species distribution and population structure and increase the risk of species extinction. These changes can impact ecosystem services,



such as carbon storage, and affect crop production. Higher temperatures also naturally increase the risk of arid conditions and droughts.

10.2.8 Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP

Extreme heat vulnerability may be increasing slightly, not due to population growth, but due to climate change and the aging population that remains in the County. Seasonal homes may not be equipped with adequate cooling systems, and the low rental vacancy rate suggests that permanent residents may have limited options for relocating during heat events. While the County’s elevation offers some natural protection, prolonged heatwaves could still pose health risks, particularly for the vulnerable isolated and visitor populations.

10.3 MITIGATION OPPORTUNITIES

Table 10-3 presents a range of potential opportunities for mitigating the extreme heat hazard.

Table 10-3. Potential Opportunities to Mitigate the Extreme Heat Hazard

Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
Manipulate the Hazard		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plant trees to create shade in urban areas Remove concrete and other hard surfaces and replace them with native vegetation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plant trees in urban areas experience urban heat island effects or with below average tree canopy coverage Remove concrete and other hard surfaces and replace them with native vegetation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plant trees in urban areas experience urban heat island effects or with below average tree canopy coverage Remove concrete and other hard surfaces and replace them with native vegetation
Reduce Exposure and Vulnerability		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insulate structures to provide greater thermal efficiency Provide redundant power sources Install air conditioning 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relocate critical infrastructure underground Provide cooling centers for employees Install “cool roofs” and “green roofs” 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relocate critical infrastructure underground Trim trees away from power lines Install “cool roofs” and “green roofs” Establish and promote accessible cooling centers in the community Use the best available technology to enhance the warning systems for extreme heat events
Build Local Capacity		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Promote 72-hour self-sufficiency Obtain a NOAA weather radio Obtain an emergency generator 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide safety training and resources for employees that work primarily outside and at field locations Create redundancy in power supply Equip facilities with a NOAA weather radio 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhance public awareness and outreach to address actions to take during extreme heat events Coordinate severe weather warning capabilities and the dissemination of warning among agencies with the highest degree of capability



Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Equip vital facilities with emergency backup power 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modify land use and environmental regulations to support vegetation management activities that improve reliability in utility corridors • Modify landscape and other ordinances to encourage appropriate planting near overhead power, cable, and phone lines • Provide NOAA weather radios to the public • Review and update heat response plan to account for climate change projections • Promote programs that support community-scale microgrids • Evaluate and revise building codes to address and mitigate extreme heat impacts on residents
Nature-based Opportunities		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Manage invasive species that thrive in warmer temperatures • Incorporate nature-based heat-reduction measures with plantings in green spaces, trail areas, and community parks 		



FLOOD

100-Year Scenario



COMMUNITY LIFELINES EXPOSED



7



1



1



3




0



4



33



307

Population Exposed




206

Structures Exposed



Climate Change
Impacts May Increase
Heavy or Extreme
Rain Events

HIGH



Overall Risk Ranking



11. FLOOD

11.1 HAZARD PROFILE



Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(i)

Include a description of the type, location, and extent for the identified hazards of concern and include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and the probability of future hazard events.

11.1.1 Description of the Hazard

Defining the Hazard

Flooding typically occurs when prolonged rain falls over several days, when intense rain falls over a short period of time, or when an ice or debris jam causes a river or stream to overflow onto the surrounding area. Flooding could also occur because of dam failure, previously covered in Section 7. The most common cause of flooding is water due to rain and/or snowmelt that accumulates faster than soils can absorb it, or rivers can carry it away (NWS, Flood Related Hazards n.d.). Most of the flooding in Sierra County can be characterized as riverine, stream and waterway overflow, and drainage events.

Cause of the Hazard

The severity of a flooding event is typically determined by a combination of several major factors, including stream and river basin topography and physiography; precipitation and weather patterns; recent soil moisture conditions; and the degree of vegetative clearing and impervious surface. Flood events can be brought on by heavy rainfall. The following are several types of flooding presented and defined by the California Department of Water Resources (DWR):

- **Flash Flooding** is a sudden, rapid flooding of low-lying areas typically caused by intense rainfall. Flash floods can also occur from the collapse of a manmade structure. Rapidly rising water can reach heights of 30 feet or more.
- **Localized Flooding** occurs in both urban and non-urban areas during or after a storm. Any storm, particularly slow-moving, steady rainstorms, can overwhelm drainage systems. When the system backs up, pooling water can flood streets, yards, and even the lower floors of homes and businesses. Even less intense storms can cause this type of flooding when leaves, sediment, and debris plug storm drains.
- **Riverine Flooding** occurs when rivers, streams, and lakes overflow their banks. This includes flooding caused by levee failure and channel erosion. Areas adjacent to local streams and creeks can also experience flooding as a result of excessive runoff from heavy rainfall and accumulation of water flowing over broad flat areas (DWR 2019).

The land area susceptible to being inundated or flooded by water from any source (e.g., river, stream, lake, estuary) is referred to as a floodplain. The floodplain includes the floodway and the floodway fringe to convey the flood event and provide flood water storage. These areas form a complex physical and biological system that not only supports a variety of natural resources but also provides natural flood



and erosion control. However, not all flooding occurs in such areas. Flash and localized flooding often occurs outside of designated floodplain areas.

Stormwater flooding, also referred to as pluvial flooding, is a result of local drainage issues and high groundwater levels. If local conditions cannot accommodate intense precipitation through a combination of infiltration and surface runoff, water may accumulate and cause flooding problems. Flooding issues of this nature generally occur within areas with flat gradients, and generally increase with urbanization, which speeds accumulation of floodwaters because of impervious areas. Shallow street flooding can occur unless channels have been improved to account for increased flows.

Urban drainage flooding is caused by increased water runoff due to urban development and drainage systems. Drainage systems are designed to remove surface water from developed areas as quickly as possible to prevent localized flooding on streets and within other urban areas. These systems utilize a closed conveyance system that channels water away from an urban area to surrounding streams, and bypasses natural processes of water filtration through the ground, containment, and evaporation of excess water. Because drainage systems reduce the amount of time surface water takes to reach surrounding streams, flooding in those streams can occur more quickly and reach greater depths than prior to development within that area.

Summary of Potential Impacts

Because they border water bodies, floodplains have historically been popular sites to establish settlements. Human activities tend to concentrate in floodplains for a number of reasons: water is readily available; riverine floodplain land is fertile and suitable for farming; transportation by water is easily accessible; land is flatter and easier to develop; and there is value placed in ocean views. But human activity in floodplains frequently interferes with the natural function of floodplains. It can affect the distribution and timing of drainage, thereby increasing flood problems. Human development can create local flooding problems by altering or confining drainage channels or causing erosion of natural flood protection systems such as dunes. Flood potential can be increased in several ways: reducing a stream's capacity to contain flows; increasing flow rates or velocities downstream; and allowing waves to extend further inland. Human activities can interface effectively with a floodplain as long as steps are taken to mitigate the activities' adverse impacts on floodplain functions.

Flooding can impact agricultural production with prolonged inundation of fields, soil erosion, and damage to water delivery systems. Flooding can also impact livestock production, including reduced forage production and animal health impacts.

Cascading Hazard Impacts

The most problematic secondary hazard for flooding is bank erosion. In many cases, the threat and effects of erosion are worse than actual flooding. This is especially true on the upper courses of rivers where there are steep gradients. Floodwaters in these reaches may pass quickly and without much damage, but scour the banks, edging properties closer to the floodplain or causing them to fall in. Flooding is also responsible for hazards such as landslides when high flows oversaturate soils on steep slopes, causing them to fail. Hazardous materials spills are also a secondary hazard of flooding if storage tanks rupture and spill into streams, rivers, or drainage sewers.



11.1.2 National Flood Insurance Program Participation

The first flood maps in Sierra County became available in 1976. Properties constructed after adoption of a FIRM or DFIRM are considered less vulnerable to flooding because they were constructed after adoption of regulations and codes to decrease vulnerability. Properties built before adoption of a FIRM or DFIRM are more vulnerable to flooding because either they do not meet code or are within hazardous areas.

Table 11-1 lists flood insurance statistics for the unincorporated area of Sierra County and the City of Loyalton, the planning-area municipalities that participate in the NFIP. As of December 31, 2024, 40 policies were in force, providing more than \$10.7 million in insurance. According to FEMA statistics, 26 flood insurance claims have been paid since 1988, for a total of \$590,233, an average of \$22,701 per claim. There are no repetitive loss (RL) or severe repetitive loss (SRL) properties in either jurisdiction.

Table 11-1. NFIP Flood Insurance Statistics

Jurisdiction	Date of Entry	Flood Insurance Policies	Insurance in Force	Annual Premiums	Claims	RL or SRL Properties	Value of Claims
Unincorporated	09/01/88	32	\$9,211,000	\$67,787	16	0	\$456,430
Loyalton	09/01/88	8	\$1,518,000	\$14,718	10	0	\$133,803
Total		40	\$10,729,000	\$82,505	26	0	\$590,233

To be eligible to participate in the NFIP, a local government must possess the authority to adopt codes and standards to regulate development in the Special Flood Hazard Area. The special purpose district planning partners do not possess these authorities and are therefore not listed in this section.

11.1.3 Location

FEMA defines flood hazard areas through statistical analyses of records of river flow, storm tides, and rainfall; information obtained through consultation with the community; floodplain topographic surveys; and hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. Flood hazard areas are delineated on FIRMs, which are official maps of a community on which the Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration has delineated special flood hazard areas (SFHAs). The SFHA is the land area on a digital FIRM covered by floodwaters of the “base flood,” which is the flood with a 1-percent chance of occurrence in any given year (also called the 1-percent annual chance flood). In Sierra County, FEMA has designated several areas along rivers and creeks, such as the North Yuba River and its tributaries, as SFHAs due to their susceptibility to seasonal flooding and runoff from steep terrain. These mapped areas are subject to federal floodplain management regulations under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), and property owners in these zones may be required to carry flood insurance if they have federally backed mortgages. Figure 11-1 displays the FEMA 1-percent annual chance flood zones for Sierra County. Note that the FEMA flood mapping for the Sierra Valley is incomplete (shown in white), and therefore, risk in the FEMA 1-percent annual chance flood zones is underreported. To account for the lack of FEMA data in the Sierra Valley, an exposure analysis of the DWR Flood Awareness Areas were included in the risk assessment for this plan (see Figure 11-2).



Figure 11-1. Sierra County FEMA 1-Percent Annual Chance Flood Zones




Table 11-2 summarizes the number of acres in Sierra County in the mapped FEMA floodplain.


Table 11-2. Acres of Floodplain in FEMA 1-Percent Annual Chance Flood Zones

Planning Area	Acres of Floodplain
Loyalton	33
Eastern Sierra County	8,764
Western Sierra County	6,675
Total	15,472



Figure 11-2 displays DWR Flood Awareness Areas, designated regions where there is a recognized risk of flooding, and where residents, businesses, and local authorities are encouraged to be especially aware of flood risks and take appropriate precaution.

Figure 11-2. Sierra County DWR Flood Awareness Areas



Downieville is vulnerable to significant flood risk due to its steep mountainous terrain, confined river valleys, and the potential for rapid snowmelt and intense rainfall events, particularly during winter storms and atmospheric river conditions. Located at the confluence of the Downie River and the North Fork of the Yuba River, the Downieville levee serves as a critical component in managing flood hazards for Sierra County.

11.1.4 Extent

Measuring Intensity

Flood categories are defined for each gage location that describe or categorize the observed or expected severity of flood impacts in the corresponding stream segment or nearby stream. The severity of flooding



at a given stage is not necessarily the same at all locations along a stream due to varying channel/bank characteristics on portions of the stream. Therefore, the stage for a given flood category is usually associated with lowest water level corresponding to the most significant flood impacts somewhere in the reach. The flood categories used in the NWS are the following (NWS, High Water Level Terminology n.d.):

- Minor Flooding—Minimal or no property damage, but possibly some public threat. A Flood Advisory product is issued to advise the public of events that are expected not to exceed the minor flood category.
- Moderate Flooding—Some inundation of structures and roads near streams. Some evacuations of people or transfer of property to higher elevations are necessary. A Flood Warning should be issued if moderate flooding is expected during the event.
- Major Flooding—Extensive inundation of structures and roads. Significant evacuations of people or transfer of property to higher elevations. A Flood Warning should be issued if major flooding is expected during the event.

The three flood categories do not necessarily exist for each gage location. Most commonly, gages in remote areas may not have a major flood stage assigned. Record flooding is flooding that equals or exceeds the highest stage or discharge at a given site during the period of recordkeeping.

Warning Time

Due to the sequential pattern of meteorological conditions needed to cause serious flooding, it is unusual for a flood to occur without warning. Warning times for floods can be between 24 and 48 hours. Flash flooding can be less predictable, but potential hazard areas can be warned in advanced of potential flash flooding danger.

Each watershed has unique qualities that affect its response to rainfall. A hydrograph, which is a graph showing stream flow in relation to time, is a useful tool for examining a stream's response to rainfall. Once rainfall starts falling over a watershed, runoff begins, and the stream begins to rise. Water depth in the stream channel (stage of flow) will continue to rise in response to runoff even after rainfall ends. Eventually, the runoff will reach a peak and the stage of flow will crest. It is at this point that the stream stage will remain the most stable, exhibiting little change over time until it begins to fall and eventually subside to a level below flooding stage.

The potential warning time a community has to respond to a flooding threat is a function of the time between the first rainfall and the first occurrence of flooding. The time it takes to recognize a flooding threat reduces the potential warning time to the time that a community has to take actions to protect lives and property. Another element that characterizes a community's flood threat is the length of time floodwaters remain above flood stage.

The NWS issues warnings or advisories when confidence is greater than 80% that an event will occur. Depending on the type of event, these may be issued anywhere from hours before an event to days in advance of an event. Warnings include the following (NWS, Flood Related Products n.d.):



- **Flash Flood Warning:** A Flash Flood Warning is issued to inform the public, emergency management and other cooperating agencies that flash flooding is in progress, imminent, or highly likely. Flash Flood Warnings are urgent messages as dangerous flooding can develop very rapidly, with a serious threat to life and/or property. Flash Flood Warnings are usually issued minutes to hours in advance of the onset of flooding.
- **Flash Flood Watch:** A Flash Flood Watch is issued to indicate current or developing conditions that are favorable for flash flooding. The occurrence is neither certain nor imminent. A watch is typically issued within several hours to days ahead of the onset of possible flash flooding.
- **Flood Warning:** A Flood Warning is issued to inform the public of flooding that poses a serious threat to life and/or property. A Flood Warning may be issued hours to days in advance of the onset of flooding based on forecast conditions. Floods occurring along a river usually contain river stage (level) forecasts.
- **Flood Watch:** A Flood Watch is issued to indicate current or developing conditions that are favorable for flooding. The occurrence is neither certain nor imminent. A watch is typically issued within several hours to days ahead of the onset of possible flooding. In situations where a river or stream is expected to be the main source of the flooding, forecast confidence may allow for a Flood Watch to be issued several days in advance.
- **Flood Advisory:** A Flood Advisory is issued when a flood event warrants notification but is less urgent than a warning. Advisories are issued for conditions that could cause a significant inconvenience, and if caution is not exercised, could lead to situations that may threaten life and/or property.

A Flood Advisory product is issued to advise the public of events that are expected not to exceed the minor flood category. A Flood Warning should be issued if moderate or major flooding is expected during the event.

Worst-Case Scenario

The worst-case scenario is a series of storms that flood numerous drainage basins in a short time. This would overwhelm local response and floodplain management departments. Major roads would be blocked, preventing access for many residents and critical functions. High river flows could cause rivers to scour, possibly washing out roads and creating more isolation problems. In the case of multi-basin flooding, the County would not be able to make repairs quickly enough to restore critical facilities.

11.1.5 Previous Occurrences

The following sections provide a review of previous flood occurrences in Sierra County.

Declarations

Federal Declarations

Between 1954 and 2024, Sierra County experienced six flood-related major disaster (DR) or emergency declarations (EM).



Table 11-3. Federal Flood Disaster Declarations

Disaster Number	Incident Period	Declaration Date	Description
DR-183	December 24, 1964	December 24, 1964	Heavy Rains and Flooding
DR-253	January 26, 1969	January 26, 1969	Severe Storms and Flooding
DR-758	February 12, 1986 – March 10, 1986	February 21, 1986	Severe Storms and Flooding
DR-979	January 5, 1993 – March 20, 1993	February 3, 1993	Severe Winter Storm, Mudslides, Landslides, and Flooding
DR-4308	February 1, 2017 – February 23, 2017	April 1, 2017	Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, and Mudslides
EM-3592	March 9, 2023 – July 10, 2023	March 10, 2023	Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, Landslides, and Mudslides

Source: OpenFEMA Datasets ([OpenFEMA Data Sets | FEMA.gov](https://openfema.fema.gov/))

State Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, California declared no flood disasters in Sierra County. Four storm disasters were declared and may have included flooding. Please see Table 15-2.

USDA Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, the USDA declared no disasters related to floods in Sierra County.

Summary of Significant Events

Significant and damaging flooding has occurred in the winters of 1958, 1962, 1969, 1982, 1986, 1992, 1995, 1997, and 2005.

Recent Events

The NOAA NCEI Storm Events Database reported a total of 3 days flood events impacting Sierra County forecast zones from 1950 through 2024. According to local knowledge of flooding frequencies, these events may be underreported in the NCEI database. Many flooding events are localized and impact only a few properties due to the rural nature of the County. Table 11-4 shows a summary of significant flood events.

Table 11-4. Recent Flood Hazard Events

Date (s) of Event	Disaster Declaration	County Included	Description
December 30-31, 2005	5482179	Sierra County	Debris in streams caused water to back up and caused flooding in portions of eastern Sierra County. Several buildings experienced flooding, including the U.S. Forest Service office in Sierraville. California Highway 89 was closed across Sierra County from the Nevada County line to Calpine.
February 9-10, 2017	706013	Sierra County	Flooding from creeks covered the intersection of Highways 49 and 89 in Sierraville on the afternoon of the 9th. Shallow water was photographed up against buildings at the Sierraville Ranger Station on the morning of the 10th. A washout caused the closure of Highway 49 between Sattley and Yuba Pass. Damage estimates are



Date (s) of Event	Disaster Declaration	County Included	Description
			only for repairs to Highways 49 and 89 based on a CALTRANS report.
March 9-10, 2023	1099340	Sierra County	The public reported 4.0 mi ENE of Sattley of flooding along CA-49 from Sierraville and Loyaltan. Most of the flooding is due to the berms blocking the flow of water off the roadway. It was only 1 to 3 inches deep in most locations.

11.1.6 Future Conditions

Future hazard conditions, including frequency and severity of future events, is discussed in the sections below.

Probability

Flooding is common in Sierra County and can take place any time of the year. Based on historical flood events, the County has a high probability of future riverine, flash, localized, and alluvial fan flood events. The frequency and severity of fluvial flooding for river systems are based on “discharge probability.” The discharge probability is the probability that a certain river discharge (flow) level will be equaled or exceeded in a given year. Flood studies use historical records to determine the probability of occurrence for different discharge levels. These measurements reflect statistical averages only; it is possible for multiple floods with a low probability of occurrence (such as a 1-percent annual chance flood) to occur in a short time period. A single flood event can have flows at different points on a river or stream that correspond to different probabilities of occurrence.

Climate Change

Climate change plays a significant role in the increasing frequency and intensity of extreme weather events, particularly floods. According to scientific reports, as global temperatures rise, the hydrological cycle becomes more dynamic and unpredictable. Warmer air holds more moisture, which often leads to heavier and more intense rainfall. This accelerates the risk of flooding, as seen in numerous impactful events around the world. Additionally, the shift in weather patterns caused by climate change contributes to prolonged periods of drought in some regions, further exacerbating water-related challenges.

Use of historical hydrologic data has long been the standard of practice for designing and operating water supply and flood protection projects. For example, historical data are used for flood forecasting models and to forecast snowmelt runoff for water supply. This method of forecasting assumes that the climate of the future will be similar to that of the period of historical record. However, the hydrologic record cannot be used to predict changes in frequency and severity of extreme climate events such as floods. Scientists project greater storm intensity with climate change, resulting in more direct runoff and flooding. High frequency flood events in particular will likely increase with a changing climate. What is currently considered a 1-percent annual chance also may strike more often, leaving many communities at greater risk. Going forward, model calibration must happen more frequently, new forecast-based tools must be developed, and a standard of practice that explicitly considers climate change must be adopted.



Climate change is already impacting water resources, and resource managers have observed the following:

- Historical hydrologic patterns can no longer be solely relied upon to forecast the water future.
- Precipitation and runoff patterns are changing, increasing the uncertainty for water supply and quality, flood management and ecosystem functions.
- Extreme climatic events will become more frequent, necessitating improvement in flood protection, drought preparedness and emergency response.

The amount of snow is critical for water supply and environmental needs, but so is the timing of snowmelt runoff into rivers and streams. Rising snowlines caused by climate change will allow more mountain areas to contribute to peak storm runoff. Changes in watershed vegetation and soil moisture conditions will likewise change runoff and recharge patterns. As stream flows and velocities change, erosion patterns will also change, altering channel shapes and depths, possibly increasing sedimentation behind dams, and affecting habitat and water quality. With potential increases in the frequency and intensity of wildfires due to climate change, there is potential for more floods following fire, which increase sediment loads and water quality impacts.

Potential Future Impacts

Sierra County's current regulatory maps do not reflect future conditions. The County's abilities to manage future impacts with its codes and standards is contingent on mapping that reflects these future conditions. The County has identified this capability gap and is committed to updating the the Safety Element of its General Plan to better manage growth in the floodplain.

11.2 VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT

Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(ii)



The plan must include a description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards of concern and include an overall summary of the hazard's impact on the community. The impacts need to include the types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the hazard areas, and estimate of potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures, and a description of land uses and development trends.

11.2.1 Summary of Vulnerability

The following sections provide a quantitative assessment of flood risk in Sierra County, estimating exposure and damage for the FEMA 1-percent annual chance flood zones and the DWR flood awareness areas. (See Section 5.5 Data Limitations)

11.2.2 Impact on Life, Health, and Safety

Flood impacts to the population were estimated for the FEMA 1-percent annual chance flood zones through a Level 2 Hazus analysis. Exposure was estimated for the DWR flood awareness areas. Results are summarized in Table 11-5.



Table 11-5. Estimated Flood Exposure and Impacts on the Population

Planning Area	Population Exposed	Percent of Population	Number of Persons Displaced	Number of Persons Requiring Short-Term Shelter
FEMA 1-Percent Annual Chance Flood Zones				
Loyalton	53	7.3%	45	4
Eastern Sierra County	167	13.6%	107	6
Western Sierra County	87	7.1%	38	5
Total	307	9.7%	189	14
DWR Flood Awareness Areas				
Loyalton	0	0.0%	N/A	N/A
Eastern Sierra County	62	5.1%	N/A	N/A
Western Sierra County	22	1.8%	N/A	N/A
Total	85	2.7%	N/A	N/A

Floods present threats to public health and safety. Floodwater is generally contaminated by pollutants such as sewage, human and animal feces, pesticides and insecticides, fertilizers, oil, asbestos, and rusting building materials. The following health and safety risks are commonly associated with flood events:

- **Unsafe food**—Floodwaters contain disease-causing bacteria, dirt, oil, human and animal wastes, and farm and industrial chemicals. They carry away whatever lies on the ground and upstream. Their contact with food items, including food crops in agricultural lands, can make that food unsafe to eat and hazardous to human health. Power failures caused by floods damage stored food. Refrigerated and frozen foods are affected during the outage periods, and thus must be carefully monitored and examined prior to consumption. Foods kept inside cardboard, plastic bags, jars, bottles, and paper packaging are subject to disposal if contaminated by floodwaters. Even though the packages do not appear to be wet, they may be unhygienic with mold contamination and deteriorate rapidly.
- **Contaminated drinking/washing water and poor sanitation**—Flooding impairs clean water sources with pollutants and affects sanitary toilets. Direct and indirect contact with the contaminants—whether through direct food intake, vector insects such as flies, unclean hands, or dirty plates and utensils—can result in waterborne infectious disease. Wastewater treatment plants, if flooded and caused to malfunction, can be overloaded with polluted runoff waters and sewage beyond their disposal capacity, resulting in backflows of raw sewage to homes and low-lying grounds. Private wells can be contaminated or damaged severely by floodwaters, while private sewage disposal systems can become a cause of infection and illnesses if they are broken or overflow. Unclean drinking and washing water and sanitation, coupled with lack of adequate sewage treatment, can lead to disease outbreaks, including life-threatening cholera, typhoid, dysentery, and some forms of hepatitis.
- **Mosquitoes and animals**—Prolonged rainfall and floods provide new breeding grounds for mosquitoes—wet areas and stagnant pools—and can lead to an increase in the number of mosquito-borne diseases such as malaria and dengue and West Nile fevers. Rats and other rodents and wild animals also can carry viruses and diseases. The public should avoid such



animals and should dispose of dead animals in accordance with guidelines issued by local animal control authorities.

- **Molds and mildews**—Excessive exposure to molds and mildews can cause flood victims—especially those with allergies and asthma—to contract upper respiratory diseases and to trigger cold-like symptoms such as sore throat, watery eyes, wheezing and dizziness. Molds grow in as short a period as 24 to 48 hours in wet and damp areas of buildings and homes that have not been cleaned after flooding, such as water-infiltrated walls, floors, carpets, toilets, and bathrooms. Very small mold spores can be easily inhaled by human bodies and, in large enough quantities, cause allergic reactions, asthma episodes, and other respiratory problems. Infants, children, elderly people and pregnant women are considered most vulnerable to mold-induced health problems.
- **Carbon monoxide poisoning**—Carbon monoxide poisoning is as a potential hazard after major floods. Carbon monoxide can be found in combustion fumes, such as those generated by small gasoline engines, stoves, generators, lanterns and gas ranges, or by burning charcoal or wood. In the event of power outages following floods, flood victims tend to use alternative sources of fuels for heating, cooling, or cooking inside enclosed or partly enclosed houses, garages or buildings without an adequate level of air ventilation. Carbon monoxide builds up from these sources and poisons the people and animals inside.
- **Hazards when reentering and cleaning flooded homes and buildings**—Flooded buildings can pose health hazards after floodwaters recede. Electrical power systems can become hazardous. People should avoid turning on or off the main power while standing in floodwater. Gas leaks from pipelines or propane tanks can trigger explosion when entering and cleaning damaged buildings or working to restore utility service. Flood debris—such as broken bottles, wood, stones, and walls—may cause wounds and injuries when cleaning damaged buildings. Containers of hazardous chemicals, including pesticides, insecticides, fertilizers, car batteries, propane tanks and other industrial chemicals, may be hidden or buried under flood debris. A health hazard can also occur when hazardous dust and mold in ducts, fans and ventilators of air-conditioning and heating equipment are circulated through a building and inhaled by those engaged in cleanup.
- **Mental stress and fatigue**—Exposure to extreme disaster events can cause psychological distress. Having experienced a devastating flood, seen loved ones lost or injured, and homes damaged or destroyed, flood victims can experience long-term psychological impact. The expense and effort required to repair flood-damaged homes places severe financial and psychological burdens on the people affected, in particular the unprepared and uninsured. Post-flood recovery—especially when prolonged—can cause anxiety, anger, depression, lethargy, hyperactivity, sleeplessness, and, in an extreme case, suicide. Behavior changes may also occur in children. There is also a long-term concern among the affected that their homes can be flooded again in the future.

In Sierra County, equity priority community members face heightened risks from flooding due to a combination of geographic, economic, and infrastructural factors. Many residents live in remote or low-lying areas with limited access to emergency services and flood mitigation infrastructure. Populations such as low-income families, elderly individuals, people with disabilities, and those living in mobile homes are particularly at risk. These groups often lack the financial resources to prepare or recover from flood events, such as purchasing insurance or repairing damaged property. Limited transportation options and



communication barriers due to lack of cellular or broadband coverage can also hinder timely evacuation and access to aid for residents and visitors.

11.2.3 Impact on General Building Stock

Many buildings, especially in older or rural communities, were constructed before modern floodplain management standards were adopted, making them more susceptible to water damage. Flooding can lead to significant structural damage, mold growth, and long-term deterioration of foundations and materials, especially in homes and public buildings not elevated above base flood elevations. These impacts not only threaten the physical integrity of the building stock but also strain local resources for repair and recovery.

Property exposure and losses were estimated through the Level 2 Hazus analysis for the assessed flood zones. Table 11-6 and Table 11-7 show the estimates for the flood exposure and damage to structures and building contents with the percent of total replacement value. Table 11-8 summarizes the number of structures and type of occupancy in the mapped flood risk areas.

Table 11-6. Loss Estimates for FEMA 1-Percent Annual Chance Flood

Planning Area	Structure Debris (Tons)	Buildings Impacted	Total Value Damaged (Structure & Contents)	% of Total Value
Loyalton	126	22	\$539,489	0.2%
Eastern Sierra County	70	24	\$1,614,427	0.3%
Western Sierra County	918	46	\$11,011,662	2.4%
Total	1,114	92	\$13,165,579	1.1%

Table 11-7. Exposure Estimates for DWR Flood Awareness Areas

Planning Area	Structure	Contents	Total	% of Total Value
Loyalton	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%
Eastern Sierra County	\$14,731,124	\$7,873,916	\$22,605,039	4.8%
Western Sierra County	\$3,793,028	\$2,086,017	\$5,879,045	1.3%
Total	\$18,524,152	\$9,959,933	\$28,484,085	2.5%

Table 11-8. Building Count and Type of Occupancy in Flood Risk Areas

Planning Area	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Agriculture	Religion	Government	Education	Total
1-Percent Annual Chance Flood								
Loyalton	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	23
Eastern Sierra County	105	7	0	0	1	2	1	116
Western Sierra County	54	12	0	0	0	1	0	67
Total	181	20	0	0	1	3	1	206
DWR Flood Awareness Areas								
Loyalton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



Planning Area	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Agriculture	Religion	Government	Education	Total
Eastern Sierra County	39	0	0	3	0	0	0	42
Western Sierra County	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	15
Total	53	1	0	3	0	0	0	57

11.2.4 Impact on Community Lifelines

As most flooding in the County are riverine, stream and waterway overflow and drainage events, these types of flooding often result in property damage, road washouts, and transportation disruptions. General impacts of these events may include commercial and residential structural damage. Loss of water, power, roads, phones, and transportation may occur, which can be particularly dangerous for those with certain medical conditions. Critical facilities and community lifelines exposed to the riverine flood hazard are likely to experience functional downtime following a flood event, which could increase the net impact of the event. Refer to Table 11-9 for a summary of community lifelines in the flood hazard areas.

Table 11-9. Community Lifelines in Flood Hazard Areas

Planning Area	Communications	Energy	Food, Hydration, Shelter	Hazardous Materials	Health and Medical	Safety and Security	Transportation	Water Systems	Total
1-Percent Annual Chance Flood									
Loyalton	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0*	1
Eastern Sierra County	5	0	1	1	0	4	5	0*	16
Western Sierra County	2	1	0	2	0	0	27	0*	32
Total	7	1	1	3	0	4	33	0*	49
DWR Flood Awareness Areas									
Loyalton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0*	0
Eastern Sierra County	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0*	11
Western Sierra County	0	1	0	1	0	0	23	0*	25
Total	0	1	0	1	0	0	34	0*	36

Note: *Spatial data for analysis of Water Systems is not currently available for Sierra County

11.2.5 Impact on the Economy

Flooding can lead to significant economic impacts, including damage to infrastructure, businesses, and property, as well as disruptions to transportation and supply chains.



11.2.6 Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources

Most of the County’s cultural and historic resources tie to the region’s Native American heritage and early settler history. Events like the 1997 flood demonstrated how quickly infrastructure and heritage sites can be damaged or isolated due to washed-out roads and bridges (Nourse 2007). The 1997 flood damaged Goodyears Bar Bridge, a historic bridge; several historic cabins such as the Wild Plum Road cabin were swept away. Structures such as historic bridges hold generational and cultural significance for the community. Floods lead to the erosion of foundations, water damage to wooden structures, and the loss of archival materials stored in basements or poorly protected facilities.

11.2.7 Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources

Floods can have both beneficial and detrimental effects on ecosystems and natural resources, including habitat destruction, erosion, water contamination, and changes to soil fertility, while also potentially recharging groundwater and creating new habitats. Seasonal floods can renew ecosystems, providing life-giving waters in more ways than one. Floods transport vital nutrients, such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and organic material, to the surrounding land. When the water recedes, it leaves behind nutrient-rich sediment on the floodplains, enhancing soil fertility and promoting biodiversity. Floods can also help recharge groundwater supplies and create new aquatic habitats that support a variety of species.

However, floods can also cause significant ecological disruption. Rapid or severe flooding may lead to habitat destruction, increased erosion, water contamination, and the displacement of wildlife. In Sierra County, flooding is a particular concern due to the region’s steep canyons, mountainous terrain, and fast-flowing rivers. Heavy rainfall or rapid snowmelt can quickly overwhelm river systems, leading to flash floods that threaten both natural environments and human infrastructure. Lakes and reservoirs may also overflow, affecting aquatic habitats and nearby communities.

11.2.8 Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP

Flood vulnerability in Sierra County has remained relatively stable since 2012. The county’s population has not shifted significantly, and there has been little new development in flood-prone areas. Due to the county’s topography and limited urbanization, flood risk is more influenced by natural hydrological patterns than by human activity.

11.3 MITIGATION OPPORTUNITIES

Table 11-10 presents a range of potential opportunities for mitigating the flood hazard.

Table 11-10. Potential Opportunities to Mitigate the Flood Hazard

Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
Manipulate the Hazard		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear storm drains and culverts • Use low-impact development techniques 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear storm drains and culverts • Use low-impact development techniques 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintain drainage systems • Institute low-impact development techniques on property • Structural flood control, levees, channelization, or revetments • Stormwater management regulations and master planning



Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acquire vacant land or promote open space uses in developing watersheds to control increases in runoff
Reduce Exposure and Vulnerability		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Locate outside of hazard area Elevate utilities above base flood elevation Use low-impact development techniques Raise structures above base flood elevation Elevate items within house above base flood elevation Build new homes above base flood elevation Flood-proof structures 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Locate outside of hazard area Use low-impact development techniques Build critical function redundancy or retrofit critical buildings Provide floodproofing when new critical infrastructure must be located in floodplains 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Locate or relocate critical facilities outside of hazard area Acquire or relocate identified repetitive loss properties Promote open space uses in identified high hazard areas via techniques such as: planned unit developments, easements, setbacks, greenways, sensitive area tracks Adopt land development criteria such as planned unit developments, density transfers, clustering Institute low impact development techniques on property Acquire vacant land or promote open space uses in developing watersheds to control increases in runoff Harden infrastructure, bridge replacement program Provide redundancy for critical functions and infrastructure Adopt regulatory standards such as freeboard standards, cumulative substantial improvement or damage, lower substantial damage threshold, compensatory storage, non-conversion deed restrictions Stormwater management regulations and master planning Adopt “no-adverse impact” floodplain management policies that strive to not increase the flood risk on downstream communities Improve unpaved roads to reduce their likelihood to fail due to flooding
Build Local Capacity		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Buy flood insurance Develop household plan, such as retrofit savings, communication with outside, 72 hour self-sufficiency during and after an event 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Keep cash reserves for reconstruction Support and implement hazard disclosure for sale of property in risk zones Solicit cost-sharing through partnerships on projects with multiple benefits 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Produce better hazard maps Provide technical information and guidance Enact tools to help manage development in hazard areas (stronger controls, tax incentives, and information) Incorporate retrofitting or replacement of critical system elements in capital improvement plan Develop strategy to take advantage of post-disaster opportunities Warehouse critical infrastructure components Develop and adopt a continuity of operations plan



Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider participation in the Community Rating System • Maintain and collect data to define risks and vulnerability • Train emergency responders • Create an elevation inventory of structures in the floodplain • Develop and implement a public information strategy • Charge a hazard mitigation fee • Integrate floodplain management policies into other planning mechanisms within the planning area. • Consider impacts of climate change on the risk associated with the flood hazard • Consider the residual risk associated with structural flood control in future land use decisions • Enforce National Flood Insurance Program • Adopt a Stormwater Management Master Plan
Nature-based Opportunities		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restore and reconnect floodplains that have been degraded by development and structural flood control • Use soft approaches for stream bank restoration and hardening • Set back levees on systems that rely on levee protection to allow the channel to meander, which reduces erosion and scour potential • Preserve floodplain storage capacity by limiting or prohibiting the use of fill in the floodplain • Incorporated green infrastructure into stormwater management facilities • Protect and/or restore riparian buffers 		

Figure 11-3. Sierra Valley Flooding



(Photo Credit: LeTina Vanetti)



LANDSLIDE / MASS MOVEMENT

Very High, High & Medium Hazard Zones



COMMUNITY LIFELINES EXPOSED



34



1



2



5




0



6



23



852

Population Exposed



547


Structures Exposed



\$246.9 M

Value of Structures &
Contents Exposed

HIGH



Overall Risk Ranking



12. LANDSLIDE/MASS MOVEMENT

12.1 HAZARD PROFILE



Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(i)

Include a description of the type, location, and extent for the identified hazards of concern and include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and the probability of future hazard events.

12.1.1 Description of the Hazard

Defining the Hazard


Landslide/Mass Movement

A landslide/mass movement is the downward and outward movement of slope-forming soil, rock, and vegetation driven by gravity. Both natural and human-induced changes in the environment can trigger landslides. The following are the several types of landslides:

- **Rock falls** are rapid movements of bedrock, which result in bouncing or rolling.
- **Topple** is a section or block of rock that rotates or tilts before falling to the slope below.
- **Slides** are movements of soil or rock along a distinct surface of rupture, which separates the slide material from the more stable underlying material.
- **Mudflows**, sometimes referred to as mudslides, mudflows, lahars, or debris avalanches, are fast-moving rivers of rock, earth, and other debris saturated with water. They develop when water rapidly accumulates in the ground, such as heavy rainfall or rapid snowmelt, changing the soil into a flowing river of mud or “slurry.”
- **Slurry** can flow rapidly down slopes or through channels and can strike with little or no warning at avalanche speeds. Slurry can travel several miles from its source, growing larger as it picks up trees, cars, and other materials along the way. As the flows reach flatter ground, the mudflow spreads over a broad area where it can accumulate in thick deposits.
- **Creep** is the imperceptibly slow downward movement of slope forming rock or soil that can be accelerated during wet weather events or earthquakes.
- **Lateral Spread** is the lateral extension and fracturing of a unit of rock, soil, or debris caused by the deformation of underlying softer or liquefied material. Lateral spread can occur on flat surfaces and gentle slopes, can occur rapidly, and often involves liquefaction.
- **Subsidence** is a process where the ground surface sinks due to the removal of subsurface support (mining, groundwater pumping), resulting in vertical or nearly vertical downward motion. It differs from slope-specific mass movements like landslides, as it can occur on level land and involves little horizontal movement.



Figure 12-1. Major Types of Landslides/Mass Movements



Source: (Cal Geographic 2025)

Cause of the Hazard

Landslides/mass movements are caused by a combination of geological and climate conditions and the influence of urbanization. They can be initiated by storms, earthquakes, fires, volcanic eruptions, or human modification of the land including underground mining. Vulnerable natural conditions are affected by human development and the infrastructure that supports it. In some cases, irrigation increases the potential. The following factors can contribute to land movement:



- Change in slope of the terrain
- Increased load on the land
- Shocks and vibrations
- Change in water content
- Groundwater movement
- Frost action
- Weathering of rocks
- Removing or changing the type of vegetation covering slopes
- Wildfire burn scars
- Groundwater pumping
- Abandoned mining activity

Areas that are generally prone to landslide/mass movement hazards include previous landslide areas, the bases of steep slopes, the bases of drainage channels, and developed hillsides where leach field septic systems are used. Areas that are typically considered safe from landslides include areas that have not moved in the past, relatively flat-lying areas away from sudden changes in slope, and areas at the top or along ridges set back from the tops of slopes.

While small landslides/mass movements are frequently a result of human activity, the largest landslides are often naturally occurring phenomena with little or no human contribution. The sites of large landslides are typically areas of previous landslide movement that are periodically reactivated by significant precipitation or seismic events.

Subsidence can occur anywhere that the earth or groundwater has been removed, whether on a slope or on flat land.

Summary of Potential Impacts

Landslides/mass movements in hillside terrain can pose serious hazard to downslope property and structures. They can disrupt roadways and other infrastructure lifelines, destroy private property, and cause flooding, bank erosion, and rapid channel migration. A slide can move rapidly down slopes or through channels and can strike with little or no warning. It can travel miles from its source, growing as it descends, picking up trees, boulders, cars, and anything else in its path. Although slides behave as fluids, they convey many times the hydraulic force of water due to the mass of material they carry.

Landslides can impact agriculture by moving sediment into pasture and hay fields, reducing forage quality and productivity. The deposition of debris can smother vegetation, alter drainage patterns, and make land unsuitable for grazing or harvesting without remediation.

Despite their destructive potential, landslides can serve beneficial functions to the natural environment. They supply sediment and large wood to the channel network and can contribute to complexity and dynamic channel behavior critical for aquatic and riparian ecological diversity.


Subsidence, or land sinking, can present hazards to people, animals, structures and infrastructure and can cause permanent loss of groundwater storage capacity.



Cascading Hazard Impacts

Landslides that block rivers or streams can form unstable natural dams, trapping water and creating temporary lakes. These impoundments pose a serious risk of sudden failure, which can create destructive flash flooding downstream. Saturated soils from these events can likely destabilize nearby slopes, triggering additional landslides and cause cascading effects such as damaged infrastructure and roads, isolating rural communities, disrupting emergency services, and contaminating water sources.

Figure 12-2. Landslide Susceptibility



12.1.2 Location

Landslide and Mass Movement


Sierra County lies within a geologically dynamic region of Northern California, influenced by both tectonic and volcanic activity. The County is situated relatively close to Lassen Peak, which experienced a series of explosive eruptions in 1914–1915. Its proximity to such events highlights the broader regional susceptibility to landslides and debris flows, especially in areas with steep terrain, loose soils, and seasonal snowmelt. The county’s mountainous topography, combined with intense winter storms and

wildfire-scarred landscapes, increases the potential for localized landslides. Figure 12-2 shows deep-seated landslide susceptibility classes (low, moderate, high, and very high).

Subsidence

The rich gold mining history across Sierra County has left abandoned mines that are susceptible to land subsidence. The black oval in Figure 12-3 indicates the general area of Sierra County and the mines that may be susceptible to ground subsidence. According to the USGS Mineral Resource Data System, 1,121 mines are located in Sierra County, with 254 designated as underground mines, particularly susceptible to subsidence (USGS 2025).


Figure 12-3. Abandoned Mines Throughout Sierra County



Source: (California Department of Conservation 2025)

In Figure 12-4, the areas below the yellow Plumas County parcel lines indicate areas in the Sierra Valley area of Sierra County experiencing subsidence due to groundwater pumping.

Figure 12-4. Sierra and Plumas County Land Subsidence from Groundwater Pumping



Source: (Sierra Valley Groundwater Management District 2022)



12.1.3 Extent

Measuring Intensity

Landslides/mass movements are typically a function of soil type and steepness of slope. Soil type is a key indicator for landslide potential and is used by geologists and geotechnical engineers to determine soil stability for construction standards. In general, landslide hazard areas are where the land has characteristics that contribute to the risk of the downhill movement of material, such as the following:

- A slope greater than 33%
- Post-wildfire areas
- A history of landslide activity or movement during the last 10,000 years
- Stream or wave activity, which has caused erosion, undercut a bank or cut into a bank to cause the surrounding land to be unstable
- The presence or potential for snow avalanches
- The presence of an alluvial fan, indicating vulnerability to the flow of debris or sediments
- The presence of impermeable soils, such as silt or clay, mixed with granular soils, such as sand or gravel

The best predictor of where slides might occur is the location of past movements. Past landslides can be recognized by their distinctive topographic shapes, which can remain in place for thousands of years. Most landslides recognizable in this fashion range from a few acres to several square miles. Most show no evidence of recent movement and are not currently active. A small proportion of them may become active in any given year, with movements concentrated within all or part of the landslide masses or around their edges. The recognition of ancient dormant landslide sites is important in the identification of areas susceptible to flows and slides because they can be reactivated by earthquakes or by exceptionally wet weather. Also, because they consist of broken materials and frequently involve disruption of groundwater flow, these dormant sites are vulnerable to construction-triggered sliding.

Warning Time

Landslides/mass movements can occur suddenly or slowly. The velocity of slide may range from a slow creep of inches per year to many feet per second, depending on slope angle, material, and water content. Generally accepted warning signs for landslide activity include the following:

- Springs, seeps, or saturated ground in areas that have not typically been wet before
- New cracks or unusual bulges in the ground, street pavements, or sidewalks
- Soil moving away from foundations
- Ancillary structures such as decks and patios tilting or moving relative to the main house
- Tilting or cracking of concrete floors and foundations
- Broken water lines and other underground utilities
- Leaning telephone poles, trees, retaining walls or fences
- Offset fence lines
- Sunken or down-dropped road beds
- Rapid increase in creek water levels, possibly accompanied by increased turbidity (soil content)
- Sudden decrease in creek water levels though rain is still falling or just recently stopped



- Sticking doors and windows and visible open spaces indicating frames out of plumb
- A faint rumbling sound that increases in volume as the landslide nears
- Unusual sounds, such as trees cracking or boulders knocking together

Some methods used to monitor landslides can provide an idea of the type of slide and the amount of time prior to failure. Assessing the geology, vegetation, and amount of predicted precipitation for an area can help in predictions of what areas are at risk during general time periods. Currently, there is no practical warning system for individual landslides, however. The standard operating procedure is to monitor situations on a case-by-case basis and respond after an event has occurred.

Worst-Case Scenario

The worst-case scenario for landslide/mass movement hazards in the planning area would generally correspond to a severe storm with heavy rain that caused flooding in an area that had been burned by fire. Landslides are more likely during the late winter when the water table is high. After heavy rains from November to December, soils become saturated with water. As water seeps downward through upper soils that may consist of permeable sands and gravels and as it accumulates on impermeable silt, it will weaken and destabilize the slope. A short intense storm could cause saturated soil to move, resulting in landslides. As rains continue, the groundwater table rises, adding to the weakening of the slope. Gravity, poor drainage, a rising groundwater table, and poor soil exacerbate hazardous conditions.

12.1.4 Previous Occurrences

The following sections provide a review of previous landslide/mass movement occurrences in Sierra County.

Declarations

Federal Declarations

Between 1954 and 2024, Sierra County experienced eight landslide/mass movement-related major disaster (DR) or emergency declarations (EM).

Table 12-1. Federal Landslide/Mass Movement Disaster Declarations

Disaster Number	Incident Period	Declaration Date	Description
DR-979	January 5, 1993 – March 20, 1993	February 3, 1993	Severe Winter Storm, Mudslides, Landslides, and Flooding
DR-1046	February 13, 1995 – April 19, 1995	March 12, 1995	Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, Landslides, and Mudflow
DR-1155	December 28, 1996 – April 1, 1997	January 4, 1997	Severe Storms, Flooding, Mudslides, and Landslides
DR-1628	December 17, 2005 – January 3, 2006	February 3, 2006	Severe Storms, Flooding, Mudslides, and Landslides
DR-4301	January 3, 2017 – January 12, 2017	February 14, 2017	Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, and Mudslides



Disaster Number	Incident Period	Declaration Date	Description
DR-4308	February 1, 2017 – February 23, 2017	April 1, 2017	Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, and Mudslides
DR-4699	February 21, 2023 – July 10, 2023	April 3, 2023	Severe Winter Storms, Straight-Line Winds, Flooding, Landslides, and Mudslides
EM-3592	March 9, 2023 – July 10, 2023	March 10, 2023	Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, Landslides, and Mudslides

Source: OpenFEMA Datasets ([OpenFEMA Data Sets | FEMA.gov](https://openfema.fema.gov/))

State Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, California declared no disasters related to landslide/mass movement in Sierra County.

USDA Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, the USDA declared no disasters related to landslide/mass movement in Sierra County.

Summary of Significant Events

In the near vicinity of Sierra County, Lassen Volcano was the second most recent eruption in the Western Region. Explosions recurred at irregular intervals on Lassen Peak for most of 1914. On May 19, 1915, a mass of lava rose in the summit crater and spilled 1,000 feet down the western side of the volcano. Extensive mudflows were created on the northeastern side as snow banks were melted. The resulting debris swept down the slope. Divided by Raker Peak, part of this mudflow raced down Lost Creek; the remaining flow passed over the 100-foot rise east of the park road and rushed down Hat Creek. A wide barren swath was torn through the forest.

Recent Events

Every year, rockslides and landslides occur, especially in the western part of Sierra County. Most of these are in remote areas and do not impact roads or structures, while some cause temporary road closures or traffic delays due to debris flows over roadways.

12.1.5 Future Conditions

Future hazard conditions, including frequency and severity of future events, is discussed in the sections below.

Probability

Mass movements are often triggered by other natural hazards such as earthquakes, heavy rain, floods, or wildfires, so their frequency is often related to the frequency of the precipitating hazards. The probability of future occurrences is unlikely in Sierra County given the location of the nearest volcano but may occur during and after severe storms, so the potential for landslides largely coincides with the potential for sequential severe storms that saturate steep, vulnerable soils. Most weather-induced



landslides occur in the winter after the water table has risen. Landslides that result from earthquakes can occur at any time.

Climate Change

Climate change may impact storm patterns, increasing the probability of more frequent, intense storms with varying duration. Increase in global temperature is likely to affect the snowpack and its ability to hold and store water. Warming temperatures also could increase the occurrence and duration of droughts, which would increase the probability of wildfire, reducing the vegetation that helps to support steep slopes. Each these factors would increase the probability of landslides.

Potential Future Impacts

According to the California Department of Finance, the population of Sierra County region is expected to continue a gradual decline over the next several decades. As one of the most rural counties in the state, Sierra County has limited potential and need for expansion. The County will be well-equipped to manage development with its building code, and the Safety Element of its General Plan.

12.2 VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT

Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(ii)



The plan must include a description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards of concern and include an overall summary of the hazard's impact on the community. The impacts need to include the types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the hazard areas, and estimate of potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures, and a description of land uses and development trends.

12.2.1 Summary of Vulnerability

A small part of the planning area is exposed to the landslide hazard. Summary findings of the risk assessment for landslide are shown below. (See Section 5.5 Data Limitations)

12.2.2 Impact on Life, Health, and Safety

Countywide, many community members reside in areas with only one means of ingress and egress, making them more vulnerable in the event of an evacuation. Populations with access and functional needs as well as elderly populations and the very young are more vulnerable to the landslide hazards as they may not be able to evacuate quickly enough to avoid the impacts of a landslide. Visitor populations in remote areas may not be able to evacuate if roads are impacted by landslides.

12.2.3 Impact on General Building Stock

Table 12-2 identifies loss estimates represented by a percentage of the replacement cost value of structures in Sierra County. Exposure estimates for the moderate, high, and very high landslide hazard areas are shown in Table 12-3.



Table 12-2. General Building Stock Replacement Cost Values

Planning Area	Total Building Value (Structure and contents)	10% of Total Building Value	30% of Total Building Value	50% of Total Building Value
Loyalton	\$227,354,323	\$22,735,432	\$68,206,297	\$113,677,162
Eastern Sierra County	\$469,207,778	\$46,920,778	\$140,762,333	\$234,603,889
Western Sierra County	\$463,318,188	\$46,331,819	\$138,995,456	\$231,659,094
Totals	\$1,159,880,290	\$115,988,029	\$347,964,087	\$579,940,145

Table 12-3. Exposure Estimates for Landslide Hazard Areas

Planning Area	Structure Value	Contents Value	Total Value	% of Total Value
Moderate Hazard Area				
Loyalton	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%
Eastern Sierra County	\$30,300,467	\$16,246,437	\$46,546,904	9.9%
Western Sierra County	\$20,410,393	\$10,540,838	\$30,951,231	6.7%
Total	\$50,710,860	\$26,787,275	\$77,498,134	6.7%
High Hazard Area				
Loyalton	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%
Eastern Sierra County	\$49,751,879	\$24,979,758	\$74,731,637	15.9%
Western Sierra County	\$54,499,836	\$33,281,095	\$87,780,931	18.9%
Total	\$104,251,715	\$58,260,853	\$162,512,568	14.0%
Very High Hazard Area				
Loyalton	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%
Eastern Sierra County	\$789,090	\$394,545	\$1,183,635	0.3%
Western Sierra County	\$3,803,729	\$1,901,864	\$5,705,593	1.2%
Total	\$4,592,819	\$2,296,409	\$6,889,228	0.6%

12.2.4 Impact on Community Lifelines

Several types of infrastructure are exposed to landslides, including transportation, water and sewer and power infrastructure. Highly susceptible areas of the county include mountain roads and transportation infrastructure.

Significant amounts of infrastructure can be exposed to landslides and mass movement. Access to major roads is crucial to life and safety. Landslides can block egress and ingress on roads, causing isolation for neighborhoods, traffic problems and delays for public and private transportation. Rural communities within Sierra County would be significantly impacted.

Power lines can be subjected to landslides. A landslide could trigger failure of soil underneath a tower, causing it to collapse and rip down the lines. Power and communication failures due to landslides can create problems for community members and businesses. Refer to Table 12-4 for a summary of community lifelines located in Sierra County that are in the moderate, high, and very high landslide hazard areas.



Table 12-4. Community Lifelines in Landslide Hazard Areas

Planning Area	Communications	Energy	Food, Hydration, Shelter	Hazardous Materials	Health and Medical	Safety and Security	Transportation	Water Systems	Total
Moderate Hazard Area									
Loyalton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0*	0
Eastern Sierra County	7	0	0	1	0	1	4	0*	13
Western Sierra County	2	0	0	0	0	2	8	0*	12
Total	9	0	0	1	0	3	12	0*	25
High Hazard Area									
Loyalton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0*	0
Eastern Sierra County	6	1	0	1	0	0	3	0*	11
Western Sierra County	16	0	2	3	0	3	8	0*	32
Total	22	1	2	4	0	3	11	0*	43
Very High Hazard Area									
Loyalton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0*	0
Eastern Sierra County	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0*	2
Western Sierra County	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0*	1
Total	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0*	3

Note: *Spatial data for analysis of Water Systems is not currently available for Sierra County

12.2.5 Impact on the Economy

Large landslides can cause impacts to the economy, including losses from severely damaged or destroyed property and infrastructure, and indirect impacts like disruptions to businesses and supply chains.

12.2.6 Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources

Landslides can cause damage to historical and cultural resources, ranging from structural damage to buildings and monuments to the destruction of artifacts and archaeological sites, impacting cultural heritage.

12.2.7 Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources

Landslides can destroy natural resources valuable to the community. Landslides that fall into streams may significantly impact fish and wildlife habitat, as well as affecting water quality. Hillsides that provide wildlife habitat can be lost due to landslides. Sensitive habitats may be located in areas prone to landslides, increasing the risk to biodiversity.

Agricultural and natural resources, including rangelands, timberlands, cultivated farmlands are also vulnerable. Particularly, timberlands are at a high risk due to their location on steep, remote slopes. Roads



accessing timberlands are often susceptible to slides and frequently are contributing factors to landslides. When landslides occur along these roads, they can render large areas inaccessible, removing them from productive use and increasing the cost and complexity of land management and recovery efforts.

12.2.8 Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP

The risk of landslides and mass movement remains largely unchanged. Sierra County’s rugged terrain and sparse population mean that few structures are located in high-risk zones. The increase in residential development on individual lots, if in hilly or mountainous terrain, could slightly raise vulnerability. Seasonal homes may be built in areas with limited geotechnical assessment, and climate change may lead to more intense rainfall events that trigger slope instability. Despite the declining population, the nature and location of new development are important factors to monitor. Since 2012, there has been minimal development in these areas, and the population has remained stable, resulting in no significant change in vulnerability.

12.3 MITIGATION OPPORTUNITIES

Table 12-5 presents a range of potential opportunities for mitigating the landslide/mass movement hazard.

Table 12-5. Potential Opportunities to Mitigate the Landslide/Mass Movement Hazard

Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
Manipulate the Hazard		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stabilize slope (dewater, armor toe) Reduce weight on top of slope Minimize vegetation removal and the addition of impervious surfaces 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stabilize slope (dewater, armor toe) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stabilize slope (dewater, armor toe) Reduce weight on top of slope
Reduce Exposure and Vulnerability		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Locate structures outside of hazard area (off unstable land and away from slide-run out area) Retrofit home 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Locate structures outside of hazard area (off unstable land and away from slide-run out area) Retrofit at-risk facilities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adopt higher regulatory standards for new development within unstable slope areas Armor/retrofit critical infrastructure against the impact of landslides
Build Local Capacity		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subscribe to warning systems, and develop evacuation plan Keep cash reserves for reconstruction Educate yourself on risk reduction techniques for landslide hazards 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Institute warning system, and develop evacuation plan Keep cash reserves for reconstruction Develop a continuity of operations plan Educate employees on the potential exposure to landslide hazards and emergency response protocol 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Produce better hazard maps Provide technical information and guidance Enact tools to help manage development in hazard areas: better land controls, tax incentives, information Develop strategy to take advantage of post-disaster opportunities



Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Warehouse critical infrastructure components • Develop and adopt a continuity of operations plan • Educate the public on the landslide hazard and appropriate risk reduction alternatives
Nature-based Opportunities		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Replace or restore native vegetation known to stabilize steep slopes • Hybrid solutions that combine engineering with a nature-based approach using appropriate vegetation 		

Figure 12-5. Rockfall on Highway 49



(Photo Credit: Steve Folsom)



VOLCANIC ACTIVITY



COMMUNITY LIFELINES EXPOSED



51



4



12



20




3




39




63



3,177
Population Exposed



Impacts to Livestock
& Crops



Impacts to Air Quality
& Ecosystems

LOW



Overall Risk Ranking



13. VOLCANIC ACTIVITY

13.1 HAZARD PROFILE



Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(i)

Include a description of the type, location, and extent for the identified hazards of concern and include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and the probability of future hazard events.

13.1.1 Description of the Hazard

Defining the Hazard

Volcanic eruptions are characterized by a number of different behaviors. Some eruptions involve the slow and non-violent release of molten lava from fissures in the ground over a hot spot in the earth's mantle. Other eruptions are more radical, resulting in the explosive release of molten rock (tephra), ash, and toxic gases. Additional eruptive traits include area seismic activity, lava bombs, landslides, subsidence, peculiar, localized weather phenomenon, and plume dominated columns that can project debris for hundreds of miles.

Cause of the Hazard

Volcanic activity is mainly caused by the movement of tectonic plates beneath the Earth's surface. The Earth's crust is made up of large plates that float on the semi-liquid mantle below. When these plates move, they can either pull apart, push together, or slide past each other. Volcanic eruptions often happen at plate boundaries. When plates collide, one plate may be forced under the other in a process called subduction, which melts rock and forms magma. This magma can rise through cracks in the crust and erupt as lava. Volcanic activity can also occur at "hotspots," where plumes of hot material rise from deep within the Earth.

Summary of Potential Impacts

Volcanic eruptions can have serious and wide-ranging effects. Locally, they can destroy homes, forests, and farmland with lava flows, ash, and pyroclastic flows (fast-moving clouds of hot gas and debris). Ash can cover large areas, damaging crops, contaminating water supplies, and collapsing roofs. Volcanic gases like sulfur dioxide can cause air pollution and health problems. On a larger scale, volcanic eruptions can affect the climate by sending ash and gases into the atmosphere, which can block sunlight and lower global temperatures. In some cases, eruptions can trigger other hazards like landslides, tsunamis, or flooding. Despite the dangers, volcanic soils are often very fertile, and many people live near volcanoes for farming and other resources.

Cascading Hazard Impacts

On the morning of June 24, 2024, two earthquakes were automatically located by the Northern California Seismic Network near Lassen Volcanic National Park. These earthquakes had estimated magnitudes of M1.9 and M2.5, which is larger than usual for the area, but not unprecedented. The California Volcano Observatory seismologists also found dozens of smaller earthquakes. This kind of activity with many



small earthquakes in a short period of time, often called a swarm, is common at volcanoes, even when they aren't erupting or getting ready to erupt. Lassen has a history of swarms that are due either to motion along mapped faults or to the circulation of fluids in hydrothermally active areas like Bumpass Hell. (USGS 2024).

Cascading impacts are the impacts that result when one type of hazard event triggers one or more other hazard events, which may in turn trigger still others. The following are notable cascading impacts associated with volcanoes:

- Mudflows, floods, landslides, and possibly seismic activity can occur in the region of the eruption.
- Tephra can damage vegetation by direct burial, heat, or breakage.
- Tephra modifies hydrology and lowers air quality, affecting human health both directly—through inhalation or the abrasion of skin and eyes—and indirectly—through impacts on terrestrial and aquatic environments.
- Post-eruptive processes extend the area of influence of a volcanic eruption some distance from the initial deposition area and can last for years.
- Volcanic eruptions can substantially disrupt hydrologic systems, most notably by altering stream flow and choking waterways with ash and volcanic debris.
- Volcanic events can severely impact ground transportation on roads and railways, disrupting daily activities, commerce, and response capabilities.
- Exposure of crops, pastures, and livestock to volcanic ash fall can be serious, even for a light dusting. Ash falls on forage most commonly results in digestive tract problems in livestock, including gastrointestinal tract obstruction, and it is common for cattle to go off feed.
- Volcanic eruptions can result in heightened health concerns, including infectious disease, respiratory illness, burns, injuries from falls, and motor vehicle crashes related to poor visibility.

13.1.2 Location

Though the County does not contain any active volcanos, it lies within a broader region of Northern California that is geologically influenced by past and potential volcanic activity. The County is relatively close to several volcanic centers identified by the USGS as having varying levels of threat, including the Lassen Volcanic Center.


13.1.3 Extent

Measuring Intensity

California is subject to volcanic threats from eight volcanic areas. Figure 13-1 shows the volcanic areas and classifications as moderate, high, and very high threat. These threat level classifications are defined and assigned in the USGS National Volcanic Threat Assessment. Lassen Volcanic Center is classified as a very high threat (USGS 2018).



Figure 13-1. Hazardous Volcanos in California



High to very high threat volcano
 Moderate threat volcano
 Volcano erupted within the last 3,000 yrs

0 25 50 MILES
 0 25 50 KILOMETERS

U.S. Department of the Interior
 U.S. Geological Survey
 Volcano Hazards Program

Shaded relief from 100-meter U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 2012




Warning Time

The National Volcano Warning System is a national-scale plan to ensure that volcanoes are monitored at levels commensurate to their threats. The plan was developed by the USGS Volcano Hazards Program (VHP) and its affiliated partners in state and academic institutions. VHP uses an alert-notification system to indicate the level of potential danger for volcanoes. Color-coded triangular signs shown on Figure 13-2 are posted along with hazard details. Description on the activation color codes (CalOES n.d.) are listed below:

- **Normal/Green:** The normal/green icon is used when background activity is within the range of typical non-eruptive phenomena seen at the volcano.
- **Advisory/Yellow:** The advisory/yellow icon is used when a volcano is exhibiting signs of elevated activity above normal ranges.
- **Watch/Orange:** The watch/orange icon is used when heightened or escalating unrest is exhibited with an increase in potential for eruption. In this stage the timeframe is unclear or an eruption is occurring posing limited hazard with no or minor emissions of volcanic ash.
- **Warning/Orange:** The warning/orange icon is used when a major eruption is suspected, underway, or imminent, but no or minor volcanic ash emissions to impact aviation (lava flows only).
- **Watch/Red:** The watch/red icon is used when an eruption occurs with limit hazard to ground based communities (little to no lava flow), but significant impacts to aviation with substantial emissions of ash in the atmosphere.
- **Warning/Red:** The warning/red icon is used when a major a major eruption is suspected, underway, or imminent, with significant hazards expected to both ground-based communities, and aviation.
- **Unassigned:** The unassigned icon is used when ground-based instruments are unable to determine that a volcano is within normal parameters.

Figure 13-2. Volcano Alert Levels





Worst-Case Scenario

A worst-case scenario would likely result from a major eruption at Lassen Volcanic Center. While Sierra County is not directly located atop a major volcano, its proximity to Lassen makes it vulnerable to significant secondary effects in the event of a large-scale eruption. A Plinian eruption, a large explosive event that form enormous dark columns of tephra and gas high into the stratosphere (USGS 2013), could send volcanic ash as high as 11 kilometers into the atmosphere. Prevailing winds could then carry the ash into Sierra County. Ashfall of sufficient depth could disrupt transportation, damage infrastructure, and pose health risks may occur. Air quality would deteriorate rapidly, and ash accumulation could lead to power outages and road closures. In such scenario, emergency services would need to activate evacuation protocols and coordinate shelter operations.

13.1.4 Previous Occurrences

The following sections provide a review of previous volcanic activities occurrences in Sierra County.

Declarations

Federal Declarations

Between 1954 and 2024, Sierra County experienced no volcanic activity-related disasters (DR) or emergencies (EM).

State Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, California declared no disasters related to volcanic activity in Sierra County.

USDA Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, the USDA declared no disasters related to volcanic activity in Sierra County.

Summary of Significant Events

California is susceptible to volcanic-related events, though they are infrequent. At least 76 volcanic vents have erupted, some repeatedly, during the last 10,000 years (CSSC 2022).

Lassen Volcanic Center has experienced hundreds of eruptions scattered over about 200 square miles during the last 825,000 years. The most recent three notable eruptions were: Chaos Crags (1,100 years ago), Cinder Cone (1666 A.D.), and Lassen Peak (A.D. 1914 to 1917) (USGS n.d.).

Recent Events

The most recent eruption of Lassen Peak occurred between 1914 and 1917, marking the last major volcanic activity in California before Mount St. Helens in Washington erupted in 1980. The eruption began on May 30, 1914, with a series of small steam-driven explosions near the summit. Activity escalated over the following year, culminating in a powerful explosive eruption on May 22, 1915, at Lassen Peak, the southernmost active volcano in the Cascade Range and the largest of a group of volcanic domes in Lassen Volcanic National Park (NPS 2015).



13.1.5 Future Conditions

Future hazard conditions, including frequency and severity of future events, is discussed in the sections below.

Probability

The probability of future occurrences is unlikely in Sierra County.

Climate Change

Climate change is not expected to increase the probability of volcanic events. However, when a volcanic eruption does occur, climate change could impact the consequences of volcanic events. As the atmosphere warms due to climate change, the plumes of ash and gas emitted by large volcanic eruptions will rise higher. Climate change will also accelerate the transport of volcanic material—in the form of small, shiny droplets called volcanic sulfate aerosols—from the tropics to higher latitudes. For large eruptions, the combined effect of these phenomena will cause the haze created by volcanic aerosols to block more sunlight from reaching Earth’s surface, ultimately amplifying the temporary cooling caused by volcanic eruptions (University of Cambridge 2021).

Potential Future Impacts

Future volcanic activity in this region could have several indirect but significant impacts on Sierra County. For instance, an eruption at Lassen Peak could produce ashfall that drifts eastward or southeastward depending on prevailing winds, potentially affecting air quality, agriculture, and water supplies in Sierra County. Ash can contaminate reservoirs, damage crops, and cause respiratory issues for residents and livestock. Additionally, volcanic eruptions can disrupt transportation and communication infrastructure, especially if ash affects regional airports or major highways that serve the county.

13.2 VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT

Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(ii)



The plan must include a description of the jurisdiction’s vulnerability to the hazards of concern and include an overall summary of the hazard’s impact on the community. The impacts need to include the types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the hazard areas, and estimate of potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures, and a description of land uses and development trends.

13.2.1 Summary of Vulnerability

While volcanic activity would not be considered significant, Sierra County remains vulnerable to secondary impacts from volcanic activity in nearby regions, particularly from tephra. The most significant risk comes from long-range ash dispersal from eruptions in the Cascade Range or Long Valley Caldera. The populations most vulnerable to these effects are equity priority community members, including those with limited access to healthcare, transportation, or emergency information. (See Section 5.5 Data Limitations)



13.2.2 Impact on Life, Health, and Safety

Volcanic activity poses serious health risks due to the release of harmful gases and ash into the air. These emissions can cause both short and long-term health effects, particularly for those who are located in areas without easy access to shelters with adequate air filtration. These include people with asthma, children, and those with chronic respiratory or cardiac conditions (CDC 2024). Volcanic ash can also contaminate water supplies and food sources, compounding health risks.

The communities and populations especially vulnerable to volcanic eruptions include isolated populations, communities of older adults, and those with respiratory and other health concerns. These populations may be more susceptible to transportation and communication challenges due to limited access in healthcare, transportation, or emergency information via cell or broadband service.

Visitors are also vulnerable and may be impacted by the effects of toxic volcanic ash and problems of the respiratory system, eyes, and skin if they are recreating or sleeping outdoors when an event occurs.

13.2.3 Impact on General Building Stock

While direct lava is not a concern for Sierra County, tephra fall can still damage buildings and infrastructure. Fine ash may accumulate on rooftops, increasing the risk of structural collapse, especially if it occurs under wet or snow conditions. Ash may also infiltrate buildings, damage electronics and HVAC systems. Drainage systems can be clogged, increasing flood risk during rainy seasons. Exposed machinery, such as farm equipment, may be damaged due to clogged filters and moving parts exposed to ash.

13.2.4 Impact on Community Lifelines

All community lifelines in Sierra County could be affected by volcanic ashfall, particularly if prevailing winds carry tephra into the region. Water systems are vulnerable to contamination and clogging, while power generation and distribution systems may be disrupted by ash accumulation on transformers. Transportation networks could be impaired by slippery road conditions, increasing the risk of accidents and delaying emergency response. Healthcare facilities may face increased patient loads and potential equipment damage, while communication systems could be disrupted by ash-related outages or infrastructure damage. For a summary of all community lifelines in Sierra County, see Table 3-4.

13.2.5 Impact on the Economy

Agriculture may suffer from crop damage, soil contamination, and equipment failure due to volcanic activity. Transportation and supply chains may be interrupted, leading to delays and increased costs. Businesses may face cleanup expenses, reduced productivity, and temporary closures. Tourism and outdoor recreation could decline due to poor air quality and visibility.

13.2.6 Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources

Sierra County is home to numerous historical and cultural resources. Ash can erode or discolor historic materials, infiltrate and damage artifacts, and increase the risk of structural damage due to added weight or moisture retention. Sites that are in remote areas or lack regular maintenance may be at a higher risk, as response and cleanup efforts could be delayed.



13.2.7 Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources

The environment is very vulnerable to the effects of a volcanic eruption, even if the eruption does not directly impact the planning area. This is highly dependent upon the amount of tephra accumulation. Rivers and streams can carry ash throughout the region, affecting aquatic life and water quality. The sulfuric acid contained in volcanic ash could be damaging to area vegetation, waters, wildlife and air quality. Air quality may decline significantly, impacting wildlife. Habitat disruption, particularly in riparian and alpine zones, can have lasting ecological consequences.

13.2.8 Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP

Sierra County's vulnerability to volcanic activity has not changed since the 2012 HMP. The County's remote location and low population density mean that few people or structures are at risk. There has been no notable development near volcanic hazard zones, and the population remains small and dispersed.

13.3 MITIGATION OPPORTUNITIES

Table 13-1 presents a range of potential opportunities for mitigating the volcanic activity hazard.

Table 13-1. Potential Opportunities to Mitigate the Volcanic Activity Hazard

Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
Manipulate the Hazard		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Reduce Exposure and Vulnerability		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Locate outside of hazard area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Locate outside of hazard area Protect corporate critical facilities from potential impacts of severe ash fall (air filtration capability) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Locate outside of hazard area Protect critical facilities and utilities from potential problems associated with ash fall Build redundancy for critical facilities and functions
Build Local Capacity		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop and practice a household evacuation plan 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop and practice a household evacuation plan inform employees through corporate sponsored outreach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public outreach awareness Tap into State volcano warning system to provide early warning to residents of potential ash fall problem
Nature-based Opportunities		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Volcanic ash could be used to supply nutrients and reduce carbon dioxide from the atmosphere 		



WILDFIRE

Moderate, High & Very High Zones



COMMUNITY LIFELINES EXPOSED



23



1



7



11




1



24



37



307

Population Exposed



1,535


Structures Exposed



\$865.4 M

Value of Structures & Contents Exposed

HIGH



Overall Risk Ranking



14. WILDFIRE

14.1 HAZARD PROFILE



Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(i)

Include a description of the type, location, and extent for the identified hazards of concern and include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and the probability of future hazard events.



Loyalton Fire (Photo Credit: LeTina Vanetti)

14.1.1 Description of the Hazard

Defining the Hazard

The National Wildfire Coordination Group (NWCG) defines wildfire as a wildland fire originating from an unplanned ignition, such as lightning, volcanos, unauthorized and accidental human caused fires, and prescribed fires that are declared wildfires (NWCG 2025). Wildfire can also be ignited by the transport of burning embers by high winds miles away from the initial ignition source. The potential for significant damage to life and property exists in or close proximity to areas designated as “wildland-urban interface areas,” where development is adjacent to densely vegetated areas.



The active spread phase is when the fire begins to grow rapidly, driven by dry vegetation, strong winds and terrain. Fires in California have been found to spread up to 14 times faster under high winds. High winds not only accelerate the fire's spread but also carry embers and firebrands over long distances, igniting new spot fires far ahead of the main fire. These flying embers can travel up to a mile or more, making it difficult to predict and contain the fire's advance. Firefighting and resource coordination during this phase is challenging as high winds can hinder the deployment of aerial resources, such as water-dropping helicopters and firefighting planes.

In the fully developed phase, the fire reaches its peak intensity, consuming significant fuel and spreading aggressively. Extreme heat from wildfires can create pyrocumulonimbus clouds, which can spark new fires miles away. These clouds can exacerbate fire spread by generating erratic winds and even sparking new fires through lightning strikes.

In the decay phase, fire intensity decreases as it runs out of fuel or is under control. However, lingering hotspots can reignite under the favoring conditions.

Cause of the Hazard

One of the most significant factors is climate change. Warmer temperatures, reduced precipitation or a changed rainfall season, and longer fire seasons have dried out California's landscapes, increasing the potential for ignition and rapid fire spread. Extended periods of drought further exacerbate the issue. Dry vegetation becomes ready to ignite with the slightest spark. These changes have contributed to an annual average burned area in 2020-2023 that is three times higher than in the 2010s.

While some fires are fuel-dominated due to century-long fire suppression and changes in land management, others are wind-dominated. Winds act as accelerants for wildfires. These strong, dry gusts push flames across vast distances, spreading fires at an alarming rate. Combined with already dry conditions, these winds make controlling wildfires exceptionally challenging.

Wildfire spreads include phases of ignition, active spread, fully developed, and decay. During the ignition phase, wildfires can be sparked by natural causes, such as lightning, or human activities like power line failures or campfires. Approximately 84% of wildfires in the United States are caused by human activities. Early detection of ignition would be the most critical but challenging thing to minimize the fire's impact.

Summary of Potential Impacts

California wildfires have devastating impacts, including loss of life, homes, and livelihoods, along with significant environmental and economic consequences, including air and water quality issues, and increased mental health challenges.

Human Impacts

- **Loss of Life and Property**—Wildfires can cause fatalities and destroy homes, businesses, and infrastructure.
- **Displacement and Evacuation**—Hundreds to thousands of people are forced to evacuate their homes, leading to temporary or long-term displacement.



- **Air Quality Issues**—Wildfire smoke contains harmful pollutants that can exacerbate respiratory and cardiovascular conditions, and even lead to premature death.
- **Mental Health Impacts**—The trauma and uncertainty associated with wildfires can lead to increased rates of depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress.
- **Economic Costs**—Wildfires cause significant economic losses, including property damage, business closures, and increased insurance premiums.

Environmental Impacts

- **Air Pollution**—Wildfire smoke releases pollutants into the atmosphere, impacting air quality and human health both locally and downwind.
- **Water Quality Issues**—Ash and debris from fires can contaminate water sources, and burned watersheds are prone to increased flooding and erosion.
- **Habitat Loss and Ecosystem Changes**—Wildfires can destroy forests and other habitats, leading to changes in vegetation and wildlife populations.
- **Marine Ecosystems**—Firefighting runoff and ash can enter coastal waters, potentially harming marine life and ecosystems.
- **Soil Erosion**—Burned areas are more vulnerable to erosion, which can lead to landslides and further damage to the environment.

Economic Impacts

- **Property and Capital Losses**—Wildfires can cause billions of dollars in property damage and economic losses.
- **Increased Insurance Premiums**—The cost of wildfires can lead to higher insurance premiums or even un-insurability for homeowners and businesses.
- **Tourism Impacts**—Wildfires can disrupt tourism and negatively impact local economies.
- **Supply Chain Disruptions**—Wildfires can disrupt transportation and supply chains, leading to shortages and price increases.
- **Agriculture**—The impacts of wildfire on agriculture and livestock production are diverse and far-reaching. Past fires have resulted in the direct loss of livestock, damage to critical infrastructure such as water distribution systems, bridges, and fencing, and long-term health effects on animals—including reduced conception rates and increased respiratory infections. Additionally, livestock producers lose forage for the remainder of the grazing season and depending on severity of fire, forage production may not return to normal growth levels for two to three years.

Cascading Hazard Impacts

Wildfires can generate a range of secondary effects, which in some cases may cause more widespread and prolonged damage than the fire itself. A major fire can lead to ancillary effects such as landslides or mass movements in steep ravine areas and flooding due to the effects of silt in local watersheds. Wildfires cause the contamination of reservoirs, destroy transmission lines and contribute to flooding. They strip slopes of vegetation, exposing them to greater amounts of runoff and ultimately debris flows. This in turn can weaken soils and cause failures on slopes, sometimes several years after a wildfire. Altering of the soil structure can make the ground less permeable. This change increases stormwater runoff and raises the likelihood of flooding. Post-fire flooding tends to be more destructive due to the




presence of ash and debris, which can mix with rainwater to form damaging mudflows that carry sediment and cause significant destruction.

Wildfire smoke can have a significant effect on air quality, especially with prolonged periods of burning combined with climatic conditions. Smoke generated by wildfires consists of visible and invisible emissions that contain particulate matter (soot, tar, water vapor, and minerals), gases (carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides), and toxics (formaldehyde, benzene). Emissions from wildfires depend on the type of fuel, the moisture content of the fuel, the efficiency (or temperature) of combustion, and the weather.

Public safety power shutoffs can leave communities without power for hours or days at a time, impacting residents who may lose perishable food, suffer health issues when power-reliant medical equipment fails, or when air conditioning systems cannot function due to power loss.

14.1.2 Location

Figure 14-1. Sierra County Fire Hazard Severity Zones





Every county in California is susceptible to wildfire. California’s seasonally dry climate lends itself to wildfires, and in an effort to better prepare, CAL FIRE is required to classify the severity of fire hazard in areas of California. The State Fire Marshal is mandated to classify lands within both State Responsibility Areas and Local Responsibility Areas into Fire Hazard Severity Zones (FHSZ). FHSZs fall into one of the following classifications: moderate, high, and very high.

The FHSZ maps are developed using a science-based and field-tested model that assigns a hazard score based on the factors that influence fire likelihood and fire behavior. Many factors are considered such as fire history, existing and potential fuel (natural vegetation), predicted flame length, blowing embers, terrain, and typical fire weather for the area.

The California laws that require FHSZs include California Public Resources Code 4201-4204, California Code of Regulations Title 14, Section 1280 and California Government Code 51175-89. Figure 14-1 shows the FHSZ mapped by CAL FIRE within Sierra County. The large expanse of federal forest lands in the County are not mapped for wildfire severity zones but can be considered similar severity as adjacent mapped zones.

Fuel-dominated wildfires are common in the timber-rich forests of the Sierra Nevada Mountain Range that contain large fuel loads due to successful fire suppression and timber harvesting. Counties west of the Sierra Nevada Mountains are more susceptible to wind-dominated wildfires.

Figure 14-2. Fern Regrowth in Old Burn Area



(Photo Credit: LeTina Vanetti)



14.1.3 Extent

Measuring Intensity

The National Fire Danger Rating System is a system that allows fire managers to estimate today's or tomorrow's fire danger for a given area. It combines the effects of existing and expected states of selected fire danger factors into one or more qualitative or numeric indices that reflect an area's fire protection needs. Based on the fire danger, managers may impose restrictions or closures to public lands, plan for or pre-position staff and equipment to fight new fires and make decisions whether to suppress or allow fires to burn under prescribed conditions (National Park Service 2023).

Five rating levels are used to describe danger levels in public information releases and fire prevention signing (USFS n.d.):

- **Fire Danger Level: Low**—When the fire danger is "low," it means that fuels do not ignite easily from small embers, but a more intense heat source, such as lightning, may start fires in duff or dry rotten wood. Fires in open, dry grasslands may burn easily a few hours after a rain, but most wood fires will spread slowly, creeping or smoldering. Control of fires is generally easy.
- **Fire Danger Level: Moderate**—When the fire danger is "moderate," it means that fires can start from most accidental causes, but the number of fire starts is usually pretty low. If a fire does start in an open, dry grassland, it will burn and spread quickly on windy days. Most wood fires will spread slowly to moderately. Average fire intensity will be moderate except in heavy concentrations of fuel, which may burn hot. Fires are still not likely to become serious and are often easy to control.
- **Fire Danger Level: High**—When the fire danger is "high," fires can start easily from most causes, and small fuels (such as grasses and needles) will ignite readily. Unattended campfires and brush fires are likely to escape. Fires will spread easily, with some areas of high-intensity burning on slopes or concentrated fuels. Fires can become serious and difficult to control unless they are put out while they are still small.
- **Fire Danger Level: Very High**—When the fire danger is "very high," fires will start easily from most causes. The fires will spread rapidly and have a quick increase in intensity, right after ignition. Small fires can quickly become large fires and exhibit extreme fire intensity, such as long-distance spotting and fire whirls. These fires can be difficult to control and will often become much larger and longer-lasting fires.
- **Fire Danger Level: Extreme**—When the fire danger is "extreme," fires of all types start quickly and burn intensely. All fires are potentially serious and can spread very quickly with intense burning. Small fires become big fires much faster than at the "very high" level. Spot fires are probable, with long-distance spotting likely. These fires are very difficult to fight and may become very dangerous and often last for several days.

Warning Time

There is no way to predict when a wildfire might break out. Since fireworks often cause brush fires, extra diligence is warranted around the Fourth of July when the use of fireworks is highest. Dry seasons and droughts are factors that greatly increase fire likelihood. Dry lightning may trigger wildfires. Severe weather can be predicted, so special attention can be paid during weather events that may include



lightning. Reliable NWS lightning warnings are available on average 24 to 48 hours prior to a significant electrical storm.

The most common and effective methods used currently to detect wildfires in California are remote sensing and satellite monitoring. Satellites like NASA’s MODIS and VIIRS provide real-time data on fire location, size, and intensity. These tools help identify new ignitions and monitor fire progression, even in remote areas. Thermal imaging from drones and ground-based radar complements satellite data, offering high-resolution insights for on-the-ground operations. California is also using an artificial intelligence (AI)-powered wildfire detection system using AI to train a forest-based camera network to recognize early signs of fire (<https://alertcalifornia.org/>).

There have been many controlled or prescribed burns implemented to reduce excess vegetation, like thinning dense forests and clearing underbrush, to mitigate fire severity. There have been robotics companies starting to try out these controlled burns with the help of robots, like the BurnBot. Autonomous helicopters, like the modified Black Hawk equipped with Sikorsky’s MATRIX autonomy, have demonstrated the ability to detect and suppress fires independently.

Worst-Case Scenario

In a worst-case scenario, an active fire season across the American West would have firefighting resources limited, leaving Sierra County vulnerable. With exhausted or unavailable firefighting teams focused on other fires across the West, the situation could worsen. Additionally, heavy rains following the fires could cause flooding and landslides in areas stripped of vegetation.

14.1.4 Previous Occurrences

The following sections provide a review of previous wildfire occurrences in Sierra County.

Declarations

Federal Declarations

Between 1954 and 2024, Sierra County experienced one wildfire-related major disaster (DR) or emergency declarations (EM).

Table 14-1. Federal Wildfire Disaster Declarations

Disaster Number	Incident Period	Declaration Date	Description
DR-4558	August 14, 2020 – September 26, 2020	August 22, 2020	Wildfire

Source: OpenFEMA Datasets ([OpenFEMA Data Sets | FEMA.gov](https://openfema.org/))

State Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, California declared that Sierra County experienced two wildfire disasters.

Table 14-2. State Wildfire Disaster Declarations

Disaster Number	Declaration Date	Description
2020-06	August 18, 2020	August 2020 Wildfires
N/A	November 1, 2024	Bear Fire in Sierra County burned over 3,300 acres before it was fully contained.

Source: (Cal OES 2025)



USDA Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, there were no USDA declarations for Sierra County relating to wildfire.

Summary of Significant Events

Wildfires of varying scales occur on an annual basis in Sierra County. In the last 40 years, the combination of firefighting technology and tactics, environmental restraints, and developmental trends has led to increasing fuel loads, greater occupancy of high threat areas and greater potential for catastrophic wildfire.

A proclamation of a State of Emergency was made November 1, 2024, for the Bear Fire in Sierra County. The fire began on September 2, 2024, burning over 3,300 acres before it was fully contained on September 20, 2024 (OES 2024). Prior to the Bear Fire, Sierra County wildfires have burned 232,000 acres in a county of approximately 1,482,000 acres.

Recent Events

The NOAA NCEI Storm Events Database reported 26 wildfire events impacting Sierra County zones from 1950 through 2024, including two deaths, 38 injuries, and \$100,000 in damages.

14.1.5 Future Conditions

Future hazard conditions, including frequency and severity of future events, is discussed in the sections below.

Probability

While wildfires can break out any time of year, the season when they are most likely to occur generally runs from late June through October. This is due to hot, dry conditions and an increase in visitor population throughout the County in the summer months.

Due to fuel buildup following a century of fire exclusion, a lengthened fire season predicted by many climate change models, forest management practices which removed many of the older, larger trees, and massive tree die-off following epidemic bark beetle infestations, fires in mixed-conifer forests are likely to continue to grow in both size and intensity (Viers, Safford and Steel 2015) (Safford and Wayman 2021).

Climate Change

According to California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment, if greenhouse gas emissions continue to rise, California is likely to see a 50% increase in fires larger than 25,000 acres as well as a 77% increase in average area burned by 2100. Numerous climactic drivers will influence wildfire risk differently between California regions:

- **Increasing Temperatures:** Wildfire risk in California is rising in tandem with increasing temperatures. In the Sacramento, Sierra Nevada, and North Coast regions, forests that experience drought are also more susceptible to wildfire. High heat not only influences fire risk directly, but can also produce indirect impacts.



- **Shifting Wind Patterns:** The Sundowner and Diablo winds will continue to shape wildfire activity across Central and Northern California, respectively. Modelers are still working to determine how these wind events will be impacted by climate change.
- **Shifting Water Patterns:** Climate change will cause shifting water patterns that can impact wildfire risk across the state.
- **Shifting Insect Habitat:** Bark beetle infestations are rising in response to the changing climate, increasing tree mortality and reducing carbon storage.
- **Human Impacts:** Across all of California's landscapes human factors, such as development patterns and risk mitigation strategies, will have a direct impact on communities' ability to mitigate and adapt to the impacts of climate change. Local decisions are a large factor in determining the future health of a community.

Potential Future Impacts

The future impacts of wildfires in Sierra County could be profound. Ecologically, more frequent fires threaten forest and grassland health, biodiversity, and watershed stability. Economically, wildfires can devastate local industries such as tourism and forestry, while also increasing insurance costs and straining emergency services. Socially, communities may face displacement, health risks from smoke exposure, and long-term recovery challenges. If current trends continue, Sierra County could see a wildfire season that starts earlier, lasts longer, and includes more extreme fire events, potentially overwhelming local resources and infrastructure.

14.2 VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT

Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(ii)



The plan must include a description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards of concern and include an overall summary of the hazard's impact on the community. The impacts need to include the types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the hazard areas, and estimate of potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures, and a description of land uses and development trends.

14.2.1 Summary of Vulnerability

For the vulnerability assessment of this hazard, the entire planning area has been considered to be exposed to wildfire impacts, either by direct impacts, or cascading impacts from wildfires within the region. This vulnerability has been associated with exposure to the hazard. (See Section 5.5 Data Limitations)

14.2.2 Impact on Life, Health, and Safety

Larger and more intense wildfires are creating the potential for greater smoke production and chronic exposures in the United States, particularly in the West. Wildfires increase air pollution in surrounding areas and can affect regional air quality. The effects of smoke from wildfires can range from eye and respiratory tract irritation to more serious disorders, including reduced lung function, bronchitis, exacerbation of asthma and heart failure, and premature death. Children, pregnant women, and the



elderly are especially vulnerable to smoke exposure. Emissions from wildfires are known to cause increased visits to hospitals and clinics by those exposed to smoke.

Community members who live in remote areas, may be severely impacted by wildfires, facing greater risks due to factors due to limited evacuations routes and heavily forested areas with lack of defensible space.

Visitor populations may be especially vulnerable if they do not know potential evacuation routes or if they are recreating in backcountry areas when a wildfire occurs. Limited cellular and broadband coverage can hinder timely emergency notifications.

14.2.3 Impact on General Building Stock

Fire Hazard Severity Zone Exposure

CAL FIRE released updated FHSZ mapping for California that included moderate, high, and very high severity zones within the County. Table 14-3 shows the exposure estimates in each FHSZ by planning area.

Table 14-3. Exposure Estimates for Wildfire Hazard Areas

Planning Area	Structure Value	Contents Value	Total Value	% of Total Value
Moderate FHSZ				
Loyalton	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%
Eastern Sierra County	\$34,554,229	\$29,861,440	\$64,415,669	13.7%
Western Sierra County	\$178,713	\$89,357	\$268,070	0.1%
Total	\$34,732,942	\$29,950,796	\$64,683,739	5.6%
High FHSZ				
Loyalton	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%
Eastern Sierra County	\$96,711,231	\$51,547,322	\$148,258,553	31.6%
Western Sierra County	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%
Total	\$96,711,231	\$51,547,322	\$148,258,553	12.8%
Very High FHSZ				
Loyalton	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%
Eastern Sierra County	\$127,193,570	\$68,777,988	\$195,971,558	41.8%
Western Sierra County	\$263,820,530	\$192,639,902	\$456,460,432	98.5%
Total	\$391,014,100	\$261,417,890	\$652,431,990	56.2%

All exposure in FHSZs within Sierra County lie outside of the City of Loyalton. A total of 1,525 structures with a total value of nearly \$800.7 million are considered to be exposed to some degree of wildfire risk based on this mapping. This represents approximately 75% of the total structure and contents value for the County.

Table 14-4. Loss Estimation for Wildfire Hazard Areas

Exposed Loss Value	Exposed Value Structure and Contents	Loss Value Structure and Contents	Loss as % of Total Planning Area Replacement Cost Value
Moderate FHSZ			
10%	\$64,683,739	\$6,468,373.87	0.6%
30%		\$19,405,121.62	1.7%
50%		\$32,341,869.37	2.8%



Exposed Loss Value	Exposed Value Structure and Contents	Loss Value Structure and Contents	Loss as % of Total Planning Area Replacement Cost Value
High FHSZ			
10%	\$148,258,553		
30%			
50%			
Very High FHSZ			
10%	\$652,431,990		
30%			
50%			

Table 14-5. Building Count and Type of Occupancy in Wildfire Hazard Areas

Planning Area	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Agriculture	Religion	Government	Education	Total
Moderate FHSZ								
Eastern Sierra County	34	3	0	1	0	2	1	41
Western Sierra County	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	35	3	0	1	0	2	1	42
High FHSZ								
Eastern Sierra County	293	1	0	1	1	2	0	298
Western Sierra County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	293	1	0	1	1	2	0	298
Very High FHSZ								
Eastern Sierra County	376	3	0	2	0	2	0	383
Western Sierra County	758	37	2	0	3	10	2	812
Total	1,134	40	2	2	3	12	2	1,195

Note: The City of Loyalton is not mapped by CAL FIRE for FHSZs

14.2.4 Impact on Community Lifelines

Wildfires frequently damage community infrastructure, including highways, communication facilities, power lines, and water delivery systems. Restoring basic services is a top priority, and many agencies and organizations incur significant restoration costs after a fire. State transportation departments' efforts to restore roads and highways include the costs of maintenance and damage assessment teams, field data collection, and replacement or repair of roads, guardrails, signage, electrical supply, culverts, and landscaping.

Direct impacts to water supply may occur through contamination of ash and debris during the fire, destruction of aboveground delivery lines, and soil erosion or debris deposits into waterways after the fire. Potable water managers must address water supply impacts, and the potential costs associated with changes in quantity and quality. Utilities and communications repairs are also necessary for



equipment damaged by a fire. This includes power lines, transformers, cell phone towers, and phone lines.

Refer to Table 14-6 for a summary of community lifelines located in Sierra County that are in the moderate, high, and very high fire severity zones.

Table 14-6. Community Lifelines in Wildfire Severity Zones

Planning Area	Communications	Energy	Food, Hydration, Shelter	Hazardous Materials	Health and Medical	Safety and Security	Transportation	Water Systems	Total
Moderate Fire Severity Zone									
Loyalton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0*	0
Eastern Sierra County	5	0	1	1	0	2	2	0*	11
Western Sierra County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0*	0
Total	5	0	1	1	0	2	2	0*	11
High Fire Severity Zone									
Loyalton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0*	0
Eastern Sierra County	8	0	0	3	0	3	5	0*	19
Western Sierra County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0*	0
Total	8	0	0	3	0	3	5	0*	19
Very High Fire Severity Zone									
Loyalton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0*	0
Eastern Sierra County	5	0	1	0	0	4	7	0*	17
Western Sierra County	5	1	5	7	1	15	23	0*	57
Total	10	1	6	7	1	19	30	0*	74

Note: *Spatial data for analysis of Water Systems is not currently available for Sierra County

14.2.5 Impact on the Economy

Wildfires can have both positive and negative effects on local economies. Positive effects come from economic activity generated in the community during fire suppression and post-fire rebuilding. These may include forestry support work, such as building fire lines and performing other defenses, or providing firefighting teams with food, ice, and amenities such as temporary shelters and washing machines. However, local economies only experience positive effects if fire suppression spending and contracting is done locally. In addition, future benefits are only possible if the fire stimulates, rather than stops, economic development efforts associated with recovery and forest restoration.

Tourism is a major economic force in Sierra County, supporting local employment, small businesses, and community development. Many communities are located within or near the Tahoe National Forest, making the preservation of forests, watersheds, wildlife, and recreational opportunities not only an environmental priority but also an economic necessity. The damage and potential destruction of scenic



areas, closures of trails and parks, and degradation of air and water quality can deter visitors and reduce the appeal of the region as a vacation spot. In the aftermath of major fires, recovery may take years, during which tourism-dependent businesses such as lodges, restaurants, and local shops may experience decline in revenue due to reduced foot traffic and visitor spending. Small businesses are particularly vulnerable, as they often lack the finance to withstand economic downturns. The cascading impacts can extend throughout the community, impacting employment, public services, and overall quality of life. For residents and visitors alike, the loss of access to outdoor spaces and recreational activities diminishes the livability of Sierra County. Depending on the severity and location of a wildfire, post-disaster recovery can come with a considerable price tag.

14.2.6 Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources

Sierra County has substantial cultural and natural resources located throughout. The impact to historic cultural resources from direct and indirect exposure to fire and fire-related activities may be swift and detrimental. Wildfires can rapidly engulf historic buildings, archaeological sites, and culturally significant landscapes, leading to irreversible loss of heritage. Many historic and cultural assets are irreplaceable, serving as a reminder of the gold rush era. The loss of such resources would not only erase tangible connections to the past but also diminish the cultural richness and historical continuity of Sierra County.

14.2.7 Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources

Wildfires present a serious threat to Sierra County because of its vast forested areas and dry grasslands, which become highly flammable during the hot, dry summer months. Dense vegetation in meadows and canyons can accelerate fire spread, intensifying the risk to wildlife habitats, and causing long-term ecological damage. These impacts include increased soil erosion, degradation of water quality, and disruption of natural regeneration processes.

In addition to ecological harm, wildfires jeopardize several critical natural resources. Watersheds are particularly vulnerable, with fires potentially reducing water supply reliability and compromising water quality through increased sedimentation and runoff. Air quality also deteriorates significantly during and after fire events, affecting both human health and environmental conditions.

The aesthetic and recreational value of Sierra County is another major concern. Large-scale fires can scar scenic landscapes, diminishing the visual appeal that draws tourists and outdoor enthusiasts. Key assets at risk include recreational areas, wildlife habitats, rangelands, and timber resources. The loss of these resources would have profound ecological and economic consequences.

14.2.8 Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP

Wildfire vulnerability may have increased slightly due to prolonged drought conditions and increased fuel loads including tree mortality, but not due to population or development changes. Sierra County's population has remained stable, and there has been little expansion into the wildland-urban interface. However, climate-driven changes in fire behavior could elevate risk despite the static human footprint. The expansion of seasonal and single-family homes into forested or wildland-urban interface areas increases the number of structures at risk. Climate change has intensified wildfire behavior, with longer fire seasons and more extreme fire weather. The high housing vacancy rate of over 52% seasonally, as



of 2020, means that many homes may be unoccupied during fire events, complicating evacuation and response efforts.

14.3 MITIGATION OPPORTUNITIES

Table 14-7 presents a range of potential opportunities for mitigating the wildfire hazard.

Table 14-7. Potential Opportunities to Mitigate the Wildfire Hazard

Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
Manipulate the Hazard		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear potential fuels on property such as dry overgrown underbrush and diseased trees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear potential fuels on property such as dry overgrown underbrush and diseased trees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear potential fuels on property such as dry overgrown underbrush and diseased trees Implement best management practices on public lands
Reduce Exposure and Vulnerability		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Create and maintain defensible space around structures and provide water on site Locate outside of hazard area Mow regularly Use fire-resistant building materials 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Create and maintain defensible space around structures and infrastructure and provide water on site Locate outside of hazard area Use fire-resistant building materials Use fire-resistant plantings in buffer areas of high wildfire threat 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Create and maintain defensible space around structures and infrastructure Locate outside of hazard area Enhance building code to include use of fire-resistant materials in high hazard area Use fire-resistant plantings in buffer areas of high wildfire threat Consider higher regulatory standards (such as Class A roofing) Establish biomass reclamation activities In high-risk areas, use heat-resistant materials like welded steel, and avoid heat-susceptible materials like polyvinyl chloride and high-density polyethylene
Build Local Capacity		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Employ techniques from the National Fire Protection Association’s Firewise Communities program to safeguard home Identify alternative water supplies for firefighting Install/replace roofing material with non-combustible roofing materials 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support Firewise community initiatives Create/establish stored water supplies to be utilized for firefighting 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> More public outreach and education efforts, including an active Firewise program Possible weapons of mass destruction funds available to enhance fire capability in high-risk areas Identify fire response and alternative evacuation routes Seek alternative water supplies Become a Firewise community Use academia to study impacts/solutions to wildfire risk Establish/maintain mutual aid agreements between fire service agencies Develop, adopt, and implement integrated plans for mitigating wildfire impacts in wildland areas bordering on development Consider the probable impacts of climate change on the risk associated with the wildfire hazard in future land use decisions



Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide incentives for existing structures to be hardened against wildfire • Use tools to detect, forecast, and take action ahead of wildfire
Nature-based Opportunities		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Manage invasive species that are susceptible to increased wildfire risk • Create riparian corridors in wildfire hazard areas as fire breaks • Incorporate nature-based wildfire risk reduction buffers into existing ecosystem-friendly land uses (e.g., green space, trails, or parks) 		

Figure 14-3. Loyalton Fire from Chilcoot



(Photo Credit: LeTina Vanetti)



WINTER STORM



COMMUNITY LIFELINES EXPOSED



51



4



12



20




3



39



63



3,171
Population Exposed



1,957
Structures Exposed

Other Impacts



Auto Accidents




Power Outages



Health & Safety

HIGH



Overall Risk Ranking



15. WINTER STORM

15.1 HAZARD PROFILE



Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(i)


Include a description of the type, location, and extent for the identified hazards of concern and include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and the probability of future hazard events.

15.1.1 Description of the Hazard

Winter weather consists of storm events in which the main types of precipitation are snow, sleet, or freezing rain. California experiences its rainiest season during the winter, making winter precipitation more likely to occur (Kenedy 2025).

Winter storm includes snow, ice, blizzard conditions, and extreme cold. Heavy snow can immobilize a region, stranding commuters, stopping the flow of supplies, and disrupting emergency and medical services. Accumulations of snow can collapse roofs and knock down trees and power lines. The cost of snow removal, damage repair, and business losses can have a tremendous impact on cities and towns.

Figure 15-1. Sierra Buttes in the Winter



(Photo Credit: Steve Folsom)



Defining the Hazard

The NOAA National Severe Storms Laboratory (NSSL) defines a winter storm as an event in which the main types of precipitation are snow, sleet, or freezing rain (NOAA NSSL n.d.). The main types of winter storms include blizzards, ice storms, and lake effect storms.

Blizzards are dangerous winter storms that are a combination of blowing snow and wind resulting in very low visibilities, typically categorized as strong winds (over 35 mph) with snow and blowing snow, reducing visibility to 1/4 mile or less for at least 3 hours. While heavy snowfalls and severe cold often accompany blizzards, they are not required. Sometimes strong winds pick up snow that has already fallen, creating a ground blizzard.

An ice storm is a storm which results in the accumulation of at least 0.25 inch of ice on exposed surfaces. They create hazardous driving and walking conditions. Tree branches and power lines can easily snap under the weight of the ice.

Lake effect storms are not low-pressure system storms. As a cold, dry air mass moves over the Great Lakes regions, the air picks up lots of moisture from the Great Lakes. This air, now full of water, dumps the water as snow in areas generally to the south and east of the lakes.

Cause of the Hazard

Winter storms are caused by a combination of atmospheric conditions coming together (NOAA NSSL n.d.): cold air, moisture, and lift. Cold air is necessary to ensure that precipitation falls as snow or ice rather than rain. Moisture, often sourced from large bodies of water like oceans or the Great Lakes, provides the water vapor needed to form clouds and precipitation. Lift is the mechanism that causes the moist air to rise and cool, leading to cloud formation and eventually precipitation. This lifting can be caused by weather fronts, low-pressure systems, or terrain such as mountains.

Summary of Potential Impacts

Winter storms can cause a wide range of impacts that disrupt daily life and pose serious risks to safety. Impacts include severe travel conditions due to snow and ice-covered roads, power outages from downed lines or ice accumulation, and limited access to emergency services. Schools and businesses may close, supply chains can be delayed, and community members may face heightened health risks like hypothermia and frostbite. Additionally, the weight of heavy snow can damage roofs and trees, while freezing rain can create dangerously slick surfaces and strain utility systems.

Cascading Hazard Impacts

Winter storms may trigger cascading hazard impacts that extend the duration and severity of the event. Prolonged power outages during extreme cold may lead to heating failures, increasing the risk of carbon monoxide poisoning from unsafe heating methods. Melting of snow and ice may overwhelm drainage systems, causing localized flooding, especially if the ground is still frozen.

15.1.2 Location

Depending on the elevation of a given area, winter storms are some of the most common weather events that occur in Sierra County due to its mountainous terrain and high elevations. Areas above 6,500 feet,



such as those near Yuba Pass and along the crest of the Sierra, frequently experience intense snowfall and blizzard conditions during the winter months.

15.1.3 Extent

All portions of the County are at risk to winter storms, with the upper elevation in the western part of the county frequently more impacted by weather and cold temperature extremes.

Measuring Intensity

NWS primarily uses the Winter Storm Severity Index (WSSI) to measure and communicate intensity of winter storms (NWS, Winter Storm Severity Index n.d.). The tool combines meteorological data with impact-based modeling to provide a more comprehensive picture of storm severity. Figure 15-2 outlines the scale for WSSI. Key components of the WSSI include snow amount, snow load, ice accumulation, blowing snow, ground blizzard, and flash freeze. The index does not depict official warnings; rather, it is used in context with official NWS forecast and warning.

Figure 15-2. Winter Storm Severity Index

Potential Winter Storm Impacts	
	<p>No Impacts Impacts not expected.</p>
	<p>Limited Impacts Rarely a direct threat to life and property. Typically results in little inconveniences.</p>
	<p>Minor Impacts Rarely a direct threat to life and property. Typically results in an inconvenience to daily life.</p>
	<p>Moderate Impacts Often threatening to life and property, some damage unavoidable. Typically results in disruptions to daily life.</p>
	<p>Major Impacts Extensive property damage likely, life saving actions needed. Will likely result in major disruptions to daily life.</p>
	<p>Extreme Impacts Extensive and widespread severe property damage, life saving actions will be needed. Results in extreme disruptions to daily life.</p>

Figure 15-3. Sperry-Piltz Ice Accumulation (SPIA) Index

ICE DAMAGE INDEX	DAMAGE AND IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS
0	Minimal risk of damage to exposed utility systems; no alerts or advisories needed for crews, few outages.
1	Some isolated or localized utility interruptions are possible, typically lasting only a few hours. Roads and bridges may become slick and hazardous.
2	Scattered utility interruptions expected, typically lasting 12 to 24 hours. Roads and travel conditions may be extremely hazardous due to ice accumulation.
3	Numerous utility interruptions with some damage to main feeder lines and equipment expected. Tree limb damage is excessive. Outages lasting 1 – 5 days.
4	Prolonged & widespread utility interruptions with extensive damage to main distribution feeder lines & some high voltage transmission lines/structures. Outages lasting 5 – 10 days.
5	Catastrophic damage to entire exposed utility systems, including both distribution and transmission networks. Outages could last several weeks in some areas. Shelters needed.

The Sperry-Piltz Ice Accumulation (SPIA) Index predicts the projected footprint, total ice accumulation, and resulting potential damage from incoming ice storms. The SPIA Index, shown on Figure 15-3, is based on three parameters: storm total rainfall, converted to ice accumulation; wind; and temperatures during the event period (SPIA Index n.d.).



Warning Time

Winter weather related warnings, watches and advisories are issued by local NWS offices. Descriptions of warnings, watches, and advisories are listed below (NWS 2025):

- **Blizzard Warnings:** issued for frequent gusts greater than or equal to 35 mph accompanied by falling and/or blowing snow, frequently reducing visibility to less than 1/4 mile for 3 hours or more. A Blizzard Warning means severe winter weather conditions are expected or occurring.
- **Winter Storm Warnings:** issued for a significant winter weather event including snow, ice, sleet or blowing snow or a combination of these hazards.
- **Ice Storm Warnings:** issued for ice accumulation of around 1/4 inch or more.
- **Wind Chill Warnings:** issued for a combination of very cold air and strong winds that will create dangerously low wind chill values. This level of wind chill will result in frostbite and lead to hypothermia if precautions are not taken.
- **Lake Effect Snow Warnings:** issued when widespread or localized lake induced snow squalls or heavy showers are expected to produce significant snowfall accumulation. Lake effect snow usually develops in narrow bands and impacts a limited area.
- **Winter Storm Watches:** issued when conditions are favorable for a significant winter storm event (heavy sleet, heavy snow, ice storm, heavy snow and blowing snow or a combination of events.)
- **Wind Chill Watches:** issued when there is the potential for a combination of extremely cold air and strong winds to create dangerously low wind chill values.
- **Winter Weather Advisories:** issued when snow, blowing snow, ice, sleet, or a combination of these wintry elements is expected but conditions should not be hazardous enough to meet warning criteria.
- **Wind Chill Advisories:** issued when low wind chill temperatures are expected but will not reach local warning criteria. Extremely cold air and strong winds will combine to generate low wind chill readings.
- **Lake Effect Snow Advisory:** issued for widespread or localized lake effect snowfall accumulation and blowing snow remaining below warning criteria. Expects lake effect snow showers and assume travel will be difficult in some areas.

Worst-Case Scenario

A worst-case winter storm scenario in Sierra County would lead to an Extreme Impact on the WSSI. A powerful and prolonged atmospheric river event slamming into the Sierra Nevada could result in extreme snowfall totals of 4 to 8 feet or more in higher elevations like the Sierra Buttes and Yuba Pass over a short span of time. Snowfall rates could exceed 2 inches per hour. Accompanying the heavy snow, blizzard conditions would likely develop, with sustained winds over 35 mph and gusts surpassing 60 mph, reducing visibility to less than 1/4 mile for several hours. In lower elevations, the storm could bring significant ice accumulation, impacting power lines, and roads, leading to widespread power outages and infrastructure damage.

As temperatures plummet behind the storm, a flash freeze could occur, turning slushy roads into sheets of black ice and compounding travel hazards. The immense weight of new snow on unstable snowpack would also elevate the risk of avalanches in steep terrain, threatening backcountry areas and mountain



communities. Such a storm would likely shut down major routes like Highways 49 and 89, isolate rural communities, and delay emergency services. The combination of extreme snowfall, high winds, ice, and avalanche danger would make this a paralyzing and potentially life-threatening event for Sierra County residents.

15.1.4 Previous Occurrences

The following sections provide a review of previous winter storm occurrences in Sierra County.

Declarations

Federal Declarations

Between 1954 and 2024, Sierra County experienced four winter storm-related major disaster (DR) or emergency declarations (EM).

Table 15-1. Federal Winter Storm Disaster Declarations

Disaster Number	Incident Period	Declaration Date	Description
DR-979	January 5, 1993 – March 20, 1993	February 3, 1993	Severe Winter Storms, Mudslides, Landslides, and Flooding
DR-1046	February 13, 1995 – April 19, 1995	March 12, 1995	Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, Landslides, and Mudflow
DR-4301	January 3, 2017 – January 12, 2017	February 14, 2017	Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, and Mudslides
DR-4699	February 21, 2023 – July 10, 2023	April 3, 2023	Severe Winter Storms, Straight-Line Winds, Flooding, Landslides, and Mudslides

Source: OpenFEMA Datasets ([OpenFEMA Data Sets | FEMA.gov](https://openfema.org/))

State Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, California declared that Sierra County experienced four disasters related to winter storms.

Table 15-2. State Winter Storm Disaster Declarations

Disaster Number	Declaration Date	Description
2017-01	January 23, 2017	January 2017 Storms
2017-03	March 7, 2017	February 2017 Storms
2022-03	December 30, 2021	December 2021 Storms
N/A	March 1, 2023	Late February – Early March 2023 Winter Storm

Source: (Cal OES 2025)

USDA Declarations

Between 2012 and 2024, the USDA declared that Sierra County experienced two disasters related to winter storms.



Table 15-3. USDA Winter Storm Disaster Declarations

Disaster Number	Incident Period	Description
S5229	February 21, 2022 – February 28, 2022	Freeze disaster approved for USDA declaration on July 1, 2022.
S5332	April 11, 2022 – April 12, 2022	Freeze disaster approved for USDA declaration on November 4, 2022.

Source: (USDA 2025)

Summary of Significant Events

Outside of the Federal, State, and USDA declarations, no other significant events were noted within Sierra County.

Recent Events

According to the NOAA Storm Events Database, Sierra County lies within the West Slope Northern Sierra Nevada and Lassen-Eastern Plumas-Eastern Sierra Counties zones. Between 1950 through 2024, 238 events were reported. Events describing communities in Sierra County are outlined in Table 15-4.

Table 15-4. Recent Winter Storm Hazard Events

Date (s) of Event	Disaster Declaration	County Included	Description
March 9, 2021	951381	Sierra County	The Bowman Lake, Calaveras Big Trees, Cherry Valley Dam, Downieville, Pacific House, and Strawberry Valley COOP sites respectively reported 16 inches, 11 inches, 10 inches, 6 inches, 8 inches, and 18 inches of storm total snow accumulation.
December 17, 2010	276514	Sierra County	Areas SW of Susanville received 10 to 20 inches below 7000 feet, with an estimated 2 to 4 feet (based on precipitation gauges and SNOTELS) above 7000 feet. Elsewhere, much less fell with only up to 6 inches reported. In addition to snow, gusty winds of 30 to 45 mph were widespread on the 19th. A local wind gust to 83 mph was reported just west of Loyalton.
December 7, 1997	5626778	Sierra County	14 inches of snow accumulated during a 24-hour period over Yuba Pass (Highway 49) in Sierra County.

15.1.5 Future Conditions

Future hazard conditions, including frequency and severity of future events, is discussed in the sections below.

Probability

Extreme cold and freeze are likely to continue to occur annually in the County, equating to a likelihood of future occurrences being considered highly likely. The probability of winter storms occurring in this region is relatively high during the colder months, typically from November through April.

Climate Change

Throughout the state of California, the fast transition from one extreme weather event to another, known as weather whiplash, is an increasing pattern (Sierra Nevada Conservancy 2024). These transitions are becoming more common due to a warming climate, which adds more moisture to the atmosphere and



disrupts traditional weather patterns. While Sierra County may still experience heavy winter storms, they are becoming less predictable and more extreme.

Potential Future Impacts

Potential future conditions are expected to increase and vary from heavier snowfall to more intense storms, increased risk of avalanches, and complication in snow removal. At the same time, warmer temperatures during some storms could lead to rain-on-snow events, which rapidly melt snowpack and heighten the risk of flash flooding and landslides.

15.2 VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT

Local Plan Requirement B1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(2)(ii)



The plan must include a description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards of concern and include an overall summary of the hazard's impact on the community. The impacts need to include the types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the hazard areas, and estimate of potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures, and a description of land uses and development trends.

15.2.1 Summary of Vulnerability

Winter storms are the most frequent severe weather event in Sierra County. All areas in the County are susceptible to impacts from winter storms. The western part of the County is much more frequently impacted due to the higher elevations, as evidenced by record and average temperatures. The County's mountainous terrain and rural character further compound vulnerability by limiting access and slowing emergency response during storm events. (See Section 5.5 Data Limitations)

15.2.2 Impact on Life, Health, and Safety

Prolonged exposure to cold temperatures can lead to hypothermia, frostbite, and cold-related fatalities, especially among individuals without adequate shelter or heating. Storm-related traffic accidents, slips and falls on icy surfaces, and carbon monoxide poisoning from improper heating methods are also common health risks.

Populations with limited resources and who are isolated—such as the elderly, individuals with disabilities, low-income households, and those living in remote or poorly insulated housing—are at heightened risk. These groups may lack access to reliable heating, transportation, or emergency services. Power outages and road closures in areas with limited ingress/egress can further isolate community members, making it difficult to obtain food, medicine, or medical care.

Visitor populations are less likely to be vulnerable to winter storms since the number of visitors is drastically reduced in winter months. However, those who come to recreate in the snow may be vulnerable to road closures and lack of emergency communication due to limited cellular and broadband coverage across the County.



15.2.3 Impact on General Building Stock

Winter storms can cause direct and indirect damage to buildings. Heavy snow loads may exceed structural limits, leading to roof collapses, especially on older or poorly maintained buildings. Ice dams can form on roofs, causing water intrusion and interior damage. Frozen pipes can burst, resulting in water damage and repairs. Prolonged power outages may also compromise heating systems. While modern structures built to code are generally resilient, older homes and unreinforced buildings are more susceptible to damage.

15.2.4 Impact on Community Lifelines

All community lifelines within the County are exposed to direct and cascading impacts from winter storms, particularly transportation, emergency services, and utility infrastructure. Heavy snowfall, ice accumulation, and freezing temperatures can render roads impassable, delay emergency response, and disrupt access to essential services such as healthcare, food distribution, and fuel supply.

Transportation systems are especially vulnerable. Snow and ice can lead to hazardous driving conditions, road closures, and increased accident rates. Long winter storms may overwhelm snow removal operations, further delaying recovery and mobility. Public transit systems may also be suspended or limited, isolating residents.

Emergency services face increased demand during winter storms due to weather-related accidents, hypothermia cases, and power outages. Their ability to respond may also be hindered by blocked roads or downed communication lines.

Utility systems are also vulnerable. Ice accumulation can damage power lines and transformers, leading to extended outages. Frozen pipes can disrupt water supply and cause costly damage to buildings and public facilities.

15.2.5 Impact on the Economy

Transportation delays affect the movement of goods and services, increasing costs for businesses and consumers. Tourism may suffer from road closures, hazardous conditions, and cancellations. Local governments may exhaust spendings for snow removal, emergency response, and repair. Long power outages and business closures can lead to lost revenue and productivity, particularly for small businesses and seasonal operations that rely on foot traffic.

15.2.6 Impact on Historic and Cultural Resources

Historic buildings and cultural resources are particularly vulnerable to winter storm damage. Heavy snow loads and ice accumulation can compromise the structural integrity of older buildings, especially buildings designed for extreme winter weather conditions. Burst pipes in buildings can damage irreplaceable materials and artifacts, if not managed well. Access to remote historic sites may be restricted during storms, delaying preservation efforts and increasing the risk of long-term deterioration.



15.2.7 Impact on Ecosystems and Natural Resources

Winter storms play a natural role in shaping Sierra County’s ecosystems. Snowpack accumulation is critical for water supply, soil moisture, and wildlife habitat. However, extreme storms can stress flora and fauna. Heavy snow and ice can damage trees, disrupt animal migration patterns, and limit food availability for wildlife. Additionally, increased use of road salt and chemicals to de-ice can lead to soil and water contamination, affecting aquatic ecosystems and drinking water sources. Forested areas may also experience increased risk of treefall and erosion following intense winter storm conditions.

15.2.8 Change in Vulnerability Since 2012 HMP

Vulnerability to winter storms remain consistent since 2012. The County’s population has not grown, and infrastructure remains largely unchanged. While winter storms continue to pose challenges, especially in remote areas, the lack of significant development or demographic shifts means that overall vulnerability has not increased. While the permanent population is declining, the county’s aging infrastructure and changing climate patterns, such as more intense snowstorms or ice events, could slightly elevate vulnerability.

15.3 MITIGATION OPPORTUNITIES

Table 15-5 presents a range of potential opportunities for mitigating the winter storm hazard.

Table 15-5. Potential Opportunities to Mitigate the Winter Storm Hazard

Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
Manipulate the Hazard		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None
Reduce Exposure and Vulnerability		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insulate residential and non-residential structures • Provide redundant heat and power • Plant appropriate trees near home and power lines (“Right tree, right place” National Arbor Day Foundation Program) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relocate critical infrastructure (such as power lines) underground • Reinforce or relocate critical infrastructure such as power lines to meet performance expectations • Install tree wire 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Harden infrastructure such as locating utilities underground • Trim trees back from power lines • Designate snow routes and strengthen critical roads and bridges • Use the best available technology to enhance the warning systems for all severe weather events
Build Local Capacity		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop and practice a household evacuation plan • Trim or remove trees that could affect power lines • Promote 72-hour self-sufficiency • Obtain a NOAA weather radio 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop and practice a corporate evacuation plan • Inform employees through corporate sponsored outreach • Trim or remove trees that could affect power lines • Create redundancy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support programs such as “Tree Watch” that proactively manage problem areas through the use of selective removal of hazardous trees, tree replacement, etc. • Establish and enforce building codes that require all roofs to withstand snow loads • Increase communication alternatives • Enhance public awareness campaigns to address actions to take during severe weather events



Community Scale	Organizational Scale	Government Scale
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Obtain an emergency generator 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Equip facilities with a NOAA weather radio Equip vital facilities with emergency power sources 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Coordinate winter storm warning capabilities and the dissemination of warning among agencies with the most capability Modify land use and environmental regulations to support vegetation management activities that improve reliability in utility corridors Modify landscape and other ordinances to encourage appropriate planting near overhead power, cable, and phone lines Provide NOAA weather radios to the public Consider the probable impacts of climate change on risk associated with the winter storm hazard Evaluate and revise, as needed, building codes to address winter weather impacts on residents
Nature-based Opportunities		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None identified 		

Figure 15-4. Winter Snowfall, Sierra Valley



(Photo Credit: LeTina Vanetti)



16. OTHER HAZARDS OF INTEREST

After reviewing the previous plan and considering options for other hazards of interest to address, the SC selected a limited number of hazards of interest to include in this update of the Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan. The sections below provide short profiles of each hazard of interest, including a qualitative discussion of their potential impact in the County. No formal risk assessment was performed, no mitigation actions have been developed to address them, and the hazards are not included in the risk ranking. However, all Planning Partners for this plan should be aware of these hazards and take steps to reduce the risks they present whenever it is practical to do so.

16.1 CYBERSECURITY

16.1.1 Overview

A cyberattack is an intentional and malicious crime that compromises the digital infrastructure of a person or organization. Generally, attacks last minutes to days, but large-scale events and their impacts can last much longer. As information technology continues to grow in capability and interconnectivity, cyberattacks become increasingly frequent and destructive.

Cyberattacks can lead to loss of money, theft of personal information, and damage to personal reputation and safety. Motives range from the pursuit of financial gain to political or social aims. Attack types include using viruses to erase entire systems, breaking into systems and altering files, using someone's personal computer to attack others, or stealing confidential information. Such threats having a wide range of effects on individuals, communities, and organizations.

Computer systems can experience a variety of cyberattacks, from blanket malware infection to targeted attacks on system capabilities. Cyberattacks seek to breach information technology security measures designed to protect an individual or organization. Organizations are prone to different types of attacks that can be either automated or targeted.

16.1.2 Identified Cybersecurity Hazards

Table 16-1 describes the most common cyberattack mechanisms faced by organizations today.

Table 16-1. Common Types of Cybersecurity Attacks

Type	Description
Cross-Site Scripting	An attack that sends malicious scripts into content from reliable websites.
Denial of Service Attack	An attack that focuses on disrupting service to a network in which attackers send high volumes of data until the network becomes overloaded and can no longer function.
Internet of Things Attacks	Internet connectivity across commonly used devices presents a growing number of access points for attackers to exploit. The interconnectedness of things makes it possible for attackers to breach an entry point and use it as a gate to exploit other devices in the network.
Malware	"Malware" refers to various types of attacks, including spyware, viruses, and worms. Malware uses a vulnerability to breach a network when a user clicks a planted dangerous link or email attachment, which is used to install malicious software inside the system.



Type	Description
Man in the Middle	Man-in-the-middle attacks mirror victims and endpoints for online information exchange. In this type of attack, the attacker communicates with the victims, who believe they are interacting with a legitimate endpoint website. The attacker is also communicating with the actual endpoint website by impersonating the victim. As the process goes through, the attacker obtains entered and received information from both the victim and endpoint.
Password Attacks	Passwords are the most widespread method of authenticating access to a secure information system, making them an attractive target for cyber attackers. By accessing a person's password, an attacker can gain entry to confidential or critical data and systems, including the ability to manipulate and control them.
Phishing	Malicious email messages that ask users to click a link or download a program. Phishing attacks may appear as legitimate emails from trusted third parties.
Rootkits	Rootkits are installed inside legitimate software, where they can gain remote control and administration-level access over a system. The attacker then uses the rootkit to steal passwords, keys, and credentials and retrieve critical data.
SQL Injection	This occurs when an attacker inserts malicious code into a server using server query language (SQL), forcing the server to deliver protected information. This type of attack usually involves submitting malicious code into an unprotected website comment or search box.
Zero-day Exploit	A zero-day exploit refers to exploiting a network vulnerability when it is new and recently announced—before a patch is released and/or implemented.

16.1.3 Past Events

Sierra County has not experienced a large-scale cybersecurity disruption, but small-scale attacks have been experienced by individuals residing in the County.

16.1.4 Location and Extent

This hazard is not geography-based. Attacks can originate from any computer to affect any other computer in the world. If a system is connected to the Internet or operating on a wireless frequency, it is susceptible to exploitation. Cybersecurity concerns have risen in recent years with the large number of people working remotely. Targets of cyberattacks can be individual computers, networks, organizations, business sectors, or governments. Financial institutions and retailers are often targeted to extract personal and financial data that can be used to steal money from individuals and banks. The most affected sectors are finance, energy and utilities, and defense and aerospace, as well as communication, retail, and health care. Both public and private operations are threatened on a near-daily basis by the engineered cyberattacks developed to automatically seek technological vulnerabilities.

Cybercrimes inflict damages in the trillions of dollars each year globally, which is much larger than the annual damage from natural disasters.



16.2 MASS GATHERINGS

16.2.1 Overview

A mass gathering is an event, where a large number of people assemble, which can strain the resources and infrastructure of the community. These events can include concerts, sporting events, religious gatherings, and political rallies.

16.2.2 Identified Mass Gathering Hazards

Mass gatherings can overwhelm local emergency medical services and law enforcement. Although Sierra County is rural and isolated, annual recreation and sporting events more than double the population of the entire County during the summer months and during special events. Sierra County publishes and distributes emergency information for visitors to assist with safety and mitigate some of the challenges of the large visitor influx in the summer (Figure 16-1). Emergency responders in Sierra County are often volunteers and may become overwhelmed by the increase in calls due to sports injuries or other medical situations.

Vehicle accidents may increase as the number of vehicles on Sierra County roadways can exceed 4,000 per day in the summer months. Medical care is very limited in Sierra County. As a result, most individuals with emergencies require an air lift to a neighboring county medical facility.

16.2.3 Location and Extent

Downieville hosts the largest single event in the County each year, the Downieville Classic Mountain Bike Race and Festival. Thousands of riders and spectators from around the world converge on the small town one weekend each August to race and to party. Parking congestion in Downieville during this event often disrupts community access. Throughout the summer and fall months, thousands of visitors looking

Figure 16-1. Visitor Emergency Information Flyer

Welcome to Sierra County, CA

As remote and sparsely populated as Sierra County is, we have a great volunteer base. Volunteer Fire Departments are located in Alleghany, Pike, Downieville, Sierra City, Sattley, Sierra Brooks, Calpine, Sierraville & Loyalton. Fire departments have ongoing training for firefighters and EMTs to keep current on their skills & certifications. At the sound of the siren, personnel leave their regular jobs and show up, seemingly out of nowhere, to take care of you. So while vacationing or visiting the area, play safe, but if faced with an emergency, rest assured, help is right around the bend. Use caution while navigating our narrow curvy scenic highways. **Remember when you see those emergency lights coming, get as far off the path as safely possible.**

Personal Preparedness goes a long way in avoiding meeting our trusty search and rescue volunteers. Let somebody know where you are going and when you expect to return. Do not count on your cell phone working. Carry basic first aid supplies, any required prescription medications, flashlight, jacket, blankets, bug repellent, sun-screen, snacks and water. Do not count on your GPS device working or being entirely accurate. **NOTE: Local stores will not be open in the evening.**

Should you need advanced medical attention while in Sierra County there is a high likelihood you will be transported by air. Many have discovered after receiving air transport, this service is not always covered by regular insurance. **Costs start at \$20,000.00 per trip.** Purchasing membership with a medical transport company in advance is a prudent option. For an annual fee averaging \$45- \$85 you can have peace of mind for you and your household. Some membership options:

- AirMedCareNetwork (Reach for life & Calstar) 1-800-793-0010 www.airmedcarenetwork.com
- Enloe Flight Care 530-332-7300 <http://www.enloe.org/>
- Care Flight 775-858-5745 <https://www.remsahealth.com/>

A few common hazards to be on the alert for are mountain lions, rapidly changing weather extremes, bears, rattle snakes, aggressive ground wasps, wildland fires, ticks and mosquitoes which can carry disease.

When swimming, fishing, or engaging in water sports, being a strong swimmer is not a good rationale for not wearing a life jacket. Besides buoyancy, a life jacket has additional safety purposes to consider. A life jacket will help protect a person against hypothermia, an important threat when a rescue might be slow in coming. Most life jackets are brightly colored assisting in rescue efforts. They can also absorb some of the impact of a fall and minimize injuries. Seeing adults wear a life jacket sets a positive example for children. **FREE Childrens Life Jackets @ Family Resource Center: Monday-Friday, 530-993-1110**

911 calls made from cell phones are often routed to larger dispatch centers then transferred back locally. This can cause a delay in getting assistance. Specify you are in Sierra County. In order to expedite the handling of an emergency call from your cellular phone you can program the direct dial number for Sheriff's Office Dispatch into your phone and use it instead of 911 while in Sierra County. **Dispatch: 530-289-3700**



for remote recreation opportunities come to Sierra County to ride mountain bike trails, participate in river sports, fish, hike, birdwatch, and camp (Figure 16-2).

Figure 16-2. Downieville Mountain Bike Trails and Events Draw Riders from Around the World



(Photo Credit: Brian Walker)



(Photo Credit: Big Boulder Adventures)

16.3 TRANSPORTATION HAZARDS

16.3.1 Overview

Winter driving conditions in Sierra County present transportation hazards due to excessive rain, snow, and ice on narrow, winding roads. Snow accumulation increases stopping distances and black ice can cause vehicles to lose control. Due to the remote nature of the roadways intersecting Sierra County and the County's capacity to clear roads quickly, these hazards become more significant than they would be in a more heavily developed area. The County maintains about 400 miles of paved roads, and there are another 100 miles of remote gravel and dirt roads throughout the County, including many US Forest Service roads. Limited broadband and cellular coverage can hinder real-time transit information and mapping (Sierra County Transportation Commission 2025).

16.3.2 Identified Transportation Hazards

Transportation hazards can be identified in the following three main categories:

- When in an accident due to hazardous road conditions, drivers of commercial and private vehicles cause road blockages and have to rely on limited emergency response capabilities.



- Backwoods recreational users are difficult to reach in an emergency when transportation routes are impacted by storms and snow accumulation.
- Visitors unfamiliar with the County rely on inaccurate GPS directions which often lead drivers on roads that are unsafe or impassible because they are not plowed in the winter.

Figure 16-3. Backwoods Recreation in Winter Months



(Photo Credit: Mary Davey)

16.3.3 Location and Extent

Transportation hazards are most common in the western part of Sierra County, but they can occur across the County when the weather causes dangerous driving conditions. Dozens of search and rescue efforts are conducted each year on roads that are recommended by GPS directions. Motorists have been stranded for up to a week before being rescued by helicopter (Associated Press 2021).

16.4 WILDLIFE & HUMAN INTERACTIONS

16.4.1 Overview

California is home to a large diversity of wildlife. Human-wildlife conflict can occur when humans and wild animals interact in an unwanted or unsafe way. In California, habitat loss and a changing climate have increased the frequency and type of wildlife incidents reported to the California Department of Fish and Wildlife. It has become more common for people to observe, encounter, and interact with wildlife



while recreating outdoors or living in a wildland-urban interface as wild animals search for food and water (California Department of Fish and Wildlife 2025).

16.4.2 Identified Wildlife and Human Interaction Hazards

Wildlife and human interactions can present the following hazards in Sierra County:

Wildlife-Vehicle Collisions

Vehicle collisions with wildlife are an ongoing concern across Sierra County. Large animals such as deer, bears, mountain lions, and wolves cross rural roads, often at dawn and dusk (Sierra County Transportation Commission 2025). Vehicle drivers on narrow winding roads may encounter wildlife with little to no time to avoid an accident.


Figure 16-4. Wildlife Signage on Highway 49 Increases Driver Awareness



(Photo Credit: Megan Brotherton)

Wolf Attacks

Sierra County has issued emergency declarations for the devastating economic toll wolves are having on ranchers in the eastern part of the County. Wolves have demonstrated abnormal behavior, often attacking livestock without feeding on them. Between January and May 2025, the Sierra County Sheriff's Office has reported more than 21 wolf attacks in the County (Kennedy 2025). Ranchers are not allowed to shoot at or around the wolves because of they are federally protected under the Endangered Species Act. Deterrent measures such as electric fencing and full-time riders in the herds have not been proven to be feasible or cost-effective.



Sierra County Livestock (Photo Credit: Mary Davey)

Bear Attacks

In 2023, the first documented case of a deadly black bear attack on a human in California occurred in Downieville. Records indicate that a bear entered the home of a 71-year-old woman in downtown Downieville, mauled her to death, and fed on her remains (CNN 2024). According to the Sierra County Sheriff's Office, bears are a weekly nuisance in the spring, summer, and fall, but they are typically not a public safety issue. Visitors and residents can mitigate the hazard by properly storing food and trash so as not to attract bears.

16.4.3 Location and Extent

Wildlife and human interactions can take place anywhere in the County. The extent of these interactions ranges from peaceable viewing at a distance, but can also include deadly encounters for pets, livestock, and people.



Figure 16-5. Mule Deer in Sierra Valley



(Photo Credit: LeTina Vanetti)



17. HAZARD RANKING

The prioritization and categorization of identified hazards for Sierra County is based principally on the Priority Risk Index (PRI), a tool used to measure the degree of risk for identified hazards in a particular planning area. The PRI was used to assist the Planning Partnership in identifying hazards that pose the most significant threat to the County.

The PRI results provide a numerical value for each hazard, allowing hazards to be ranked against one another (i.e., the higher the PRI value, the greater the hazard risk). PRI values are obtained by assigning varying degrees of risk to five categories for each hazard: probability, impact, spatial extent, warning time, and climate change.

Each degree of risk has been assigned a value (1 to 4) and a weighting factor.

To calculate the PRI value for a given hazard, the assigned risk value for each category is multiplied by the weighting factor. The sum of all five categories equals the final PRI value, as demonstrated in the example equation below:

$$PRI\ VALUE = [(PROBABILITY \times .30) + (IMPACT \times .30) + (SPATIAL\ EXTENT \times .20) + (WARNING\ TIME \times .10) + (CLIMATE\ CHANGE \times .10)]$$

According to the weighting scheme applied, the highest possible PRI value is 4.0. Table 17-1 shows the weighting schemes for each category. The assigned weighting factors are typical for hazard mitigation planning efforts. The Planning Partners had the option to amend these values if desired if additional factors should be considered for their individual hazard rankings. The final rankings for each Planning Partner are included in the jurisdictional annexes in Volume 2 of this plan. By determining a value for each hazard that can be compared to other hazards threatening the planning area, hazards can be ranked with greater ease.

Many of the PRI categories are described within the natural hazard profiles. A hazard risk ranking for each hazard of concern was assigned to the range of PRI values as follows:

- PRI Value 1 to 1.9 = Low Hazard Risk Ranking
- PRI Value 2.0 to 2.9 = Medium Hazard Risk Ranking
- PRI Value 3.0 to 4.0 = High Hazard Risk Ranking

The final PRI results, including the calculated values for each hazard in the Countywide planning area are found in Table 17-2.

Table 17-1. Priority Risk Index Scoring Criteria

PRI Category	Degree of Risk			Assigned Weighting Factor
	Level	Criteria	Index Value	
Probability	Unlikely	Less than 1% annual probability	1	30%
	Possible	Between 1% and 10% annual probability	2	
	Likely	Between 10% and 90% annual probability	3	



PRI Category	Degree of Risk			Assigned Weighting Factor
	Level	Criteria	Index Value	
	Highly Likely	90%+ annual probability	4	
Impact	Minor	Only minor property damage and minimal disruption to government functions and services. No shutdown of critical facilities.	1	30%
	Limited	Minor injuries to the total population and equity priority community are possible, more than 10% of buildings damaged or destroyed, temporary shutdown of critical facilities (less than 1 week).	2	
	Critical	Multiple deaths/injuries to the total population and equity priority community are possible, more than 25% of buildings damaged or destroyed, complete shutdown of critical facilities for more than 1 week.	3	
	Catastrophic	High number of deaths/injuries to the total population and equity priority community are possible, more than 50% of buildings damaged or destroyed, complete shutdown of critical facilities for 30 days or more.	4	
Spatial Extent	Negligible	Limited to one specific area.	1	20%
	Small	Small areas affected.	2	
	Moderate	Large areas affected.	3	
	Large	All areas affected.	4	
Warning Time	More than 24 hours	Self-explanatory	1	10%
	12 to 24 hours	Self-explanatory	2	
	6 to 12 hours	Self-explanatory	3	
	Less than 6 hours	Self-explanatory	4	
Climate Change	Decreasing Risk	Climate change is likely to result in a decrease in the frequency and/or severity of hazard events.	1	10%
	No Impact	Climate change is not expected to impact frequency and/or severity of hazard events.	2	
	Risk Unknown	Not enough data currently exists to make accurate projections on the impact of climate change on hazard events.	3	
	Increasing Risk	Climate change is likely to result in an increase in the frequency/severity of hazard events.	4	

Table 17-2. Countywide Natural Hazard Risk Ranking Summary

Hazard	Probability	Impact	Spatial Extent	Warning Time	Duration	PRI	Risk Ranking
Avalanche	.60	.60	.40	.40	.30	2.3	Medium
Dam Failure	.10	.30	.40	.40	.30	1.51.5	Low
Drought	1.2	.30	.80	.10	.30	2.7	Medium
Earthquake	.30	.60	.80	.40	.20	2.3	Medium
Extreme Heat	1.2	.60	.80	.10	.30	3.0	High
Flood	1.2	.60	.80	.10	.30	3.0	High



Hazard	Probability	Impact	Spatial Extent	Warning Time	Duration	PRI	Risk Ranking
Landslide/Mass Movement	1.2	.60	.60	.40	.30	3.13.1	High
Volcanic Activity	.30	.30	.80	.10	.20	1.7	Low
Wildfire	1.2	.90	.80	.40	.30	3.6	High
Winter Storms	1.2	.60	.80	.10	.30	3.0	High



Big Springs, Highway 49 (Photo Credit: Megan Brotherton)

Part 3

Mitigation Strategy





18. MITIGATION STRATEGY

18.1 REVIEW OF PREVIOUS MITIGATION ACTIONS



Local Plan Requirement E2 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(d)(3)

The plan must document how the plan was reviewed and revised to document changes in development, progress in mitigation efforts, and changes in priorities.

The 2012 HMP identified 38 recommended mitigation actions. For the Sierra County MJHMP Update, the actions were reviewed by the County, and the County provided a status update using the guidance below:

- No Progress – The mitigation action has not been completed.
- In Progress – Implementation of the mitigation action has begun but has not been completed.
- Ongoing Capability – The mitigation action has been implemented and will be completed on an annual or regular basis (for example, maintenance activities, annual outreach, etc.). These actions were removed from the updated mitigation strategy and included as capabilities in the Sierra County HMP Update.
- Completed – The mitigation action has been fully implemented and was removed from the updated mitigation strategy.

Actions that were in progress or had no progress were evaluated to determine if they should be discontinued or included in the Sierra County HMP update. Reasons for discontinuing an action include that the action has been evaluated as being duplicative, impractical, unfeasible, or undesirable, or if the problem that the action was originally developed for is no longer present. Actions that were identified for inclusion in the updated mitigation strategy received additional evaluation to determine if the action should be revised to reflect any new information obtained as part of the plan update process (for example, changes in risk, capabilities, lead agency, or available funding sources).

18.1.1 Mitigation Accomplishments Since 2012

- Road crews in the County have received flood fighting training which includes performing routine inspections and maintenance of levees (certified levee in Downieville) and conducting erosion control.
- The County installs and maintains debris deflectors and debris screens on culverts to prevent debris from entering the culverts. This helps keep the culverts clear which allows them to function properly during periods of heavy rain and reduce flooding because of clogged culverts.
- The County has access to federal and state weather sensors (e.g., stream, river, etc.) and uses those when preparing for hazard events and issuing emergency alerts.



18.2 MITIGATION GOALS



Local Plan Requirement C3 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(3)(i)

The mitigation strategy must include a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to identified hazards.

Mitigation goals represent broad statements that are consistent with the hazards identified in the HMP and achieved through the implementation of specific mitigation actions. The Steering Committee established a set of goals for the Sierra County HMP Update that were based on the effectiveness of the goals from the previous plan. The goals from the 2012 HMP are as follows:

- Goal 1: Promote a flood safer community.
 - Objective 1.1: Develop and improve the countywide flood surveillance and early warning system.
 - Objective 1.2: Support the completion of the flood control project.
- Goal 2: Promote an earthquake safer community.
 - Objective 2.1: Train communities to be earthquake ready.
 - Objective 2.2: Ensure the ability of emergency response unites to communicate in a post-earthquake environment.
 - Objective 2.3: Improve post-earthquake survivability of public and private assets.
- Goal 3: Promote a fire safer community.
 - Objective 3.1: Develop a defensible space program to minimize impact of wildland-urban interface fires.
 - Objective 3.2: Cerate a sustainable public private partnership on building a safer community in the interface zone.
 - Objective 3.3: Develop a program to reduce shared threat in the interface zone.
 - Objective 3.4: Maintain quality of watershed by managing fuel and fire as part of the water quality environment.
- Goal 4: Promote an all-hazards safe community.
 - Objective 4.1: Increase survivability from technological hazards including terrorism.
 - Objective 4.2: Train and educate the public to increase individual and household readiness.

The Steering Committee reviewed the goals from the previous HMP and identified the following opportunities for modifications. The goals for the 2025 MJHMP update are as follows:

- **Goal 1.** Protect lives and reduce hazard-related injuries.
- **Goal 2.** Minimize or reduce current and future changing conditions that may cause damage from natural hazards to property, including critical facilities and the environment.
- **Goal 3.** Develop and implement long-term, cost-effective mitigation projects that foster resilience for the whole community.
- **Goal 4.** Maintain, enhance, and restore the natural environment’s capacity to deal with the impacts of natural hazard events.



- **Goal 5.** Improve emergency management preparedness, collaboration, and outreach within the planning area.

Goals are directly linked to the mitigation actions identified in each jurisdictional annex in Volume 2 of this plan.

18.3 MITIGATION ALTERNATIVES

Local Plan Requirement C3 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(3)(ii)



The mitigation strategy shall include a section that identifies and analyzes a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effect of each hazard, with particular emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure.

A range of potential mitigation opportunities is included in each hazard risk assessment in Chapter 6 through Chapter 15. The potential actions are categorized by the following:

- Who may implement the action:
 - Community scale (individuals or groups)
 - Organizational scale (businesses, non-profits, community-based organizations)
 - Government scale (any government agency that has permit authorities and police powers within the planning area)
- What the alternative would do:
 - Manipulate the hazard (actions to prevent hazard events from occurring)
 - Reduce exposure and vulnerability (actions to safeguard people, property, and the environment from the impacts of the hazard)
 - Build local capacity (actions to improve abilities to mitigate and respond to hazard events)
 - Use nature-based solutions (actions that use green solutions to mitigate the hazard and provide additional environmental services)

18.4 DEVELOPING MITIGATION ACTIONS

Local Plan Requirement C3 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(3)(ii)



The mitigation strategy shall include a section that identifies and analyzes a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effect of each hazard, with particular emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure.

Local Plan Requirement C3 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(3)(iii)

The hazard mitigation strategy shall include an action plan, describing how the action identified in paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section will be prioritized, implemented, and administered by the local jurisdiction.

Each jurisdiction reviewed the results of the risk and capability assessments, previous mitigation strategy, mitigation goals, catalogs of mitigation alternatives and selected actions to be included in their mitigation strategy in their jurisdictional annexes in Volume 2 of this plan.

Additionally, Countywide mitigation actions are listed in Table 18-1.



Table 18-1. Countywide Hazard Mitigation Action Plan

Action Number	Action Description	Goals Met	Lead and Support Implementers	Potential Funding Sources	Timeline
CW-1	Continue to maintain a website that will house the multi-jurisdictional hazard mitigation plan and any amendments to it adopted during the next 5-year period to provide the Planning Partners and the public with ongoing access to the plan and its implementation.	1, 3, and 5	Lead: OES	Staff Time, General Funds	Short-Term (less than 5 years)
CW-2	Continue to leverage/support/enhance ongoing, regional public education and awareness programs, such as Firewise Communities, Fire Safe Council, Heath Fairs, Everbridge, Genasys, and other community notifications and events, as methods to educate the public on risk, risk reduction, and community resilience.	1, 3, and 5	Lead: OES Support: Fire Safe Council	Staff Time, General Funds	Short-Term (less than 5 years)
CW-3	Provide notification through links on the website or email distribution for available grant funding opportunities to the Planning Partnership.	1, 3, and 5	Lead: OES	Staff Time, General Funds	Short-Term (less than 5 years)

18.5 ACTION PLAN PRIORITIZATION

	Local Plan Requirement E2 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(d)(3)	Local Plan Requirement C3 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(3)(iii)
	<i>The plan must document how the plan was reviewed and revised to document changes in development, progress in mitigation efforts, and changes in priorities.</i>	<i>The hazard mitigation strategy shall include an action plan, describing how the action identified in paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section will be prioritized, implemented, and administered by the local jurisdiction.</i>

For this MJHMP, Sierra County followed the same prioritization method used in the 2023 California State HMP. Each action is reviewed and scored based on 15 questions, as presented in Table 18-2. Please note that an entirely new prioritization schema was applied to this plan update to better align with the 2023 CA SHMP. This new approach expands on the prioritization schema applied to the 2012 plan and aligns with the priorities of the planning partnership.

Table 18-2. Mitigation Action Prioritization Categories

Category	Question
Life Safety	Will the action result in life safety?
Property Protection	Will the action result in property protection?
Cost-Effective	Will the action be cost-effective (future benefits exceed cost)?
Technically Feasible	Is the action technically feasible?
Legal Authority	Does the jurisdiction have the legal authority to implement?
Funding Available	Is funding available for the action?
Environmental	Will the action have a positive impact on the natural environment?
Climate Change	Will the action mitigate impacts from climate change?
Equity Priority Community	Does the action benefit equity priority communities?
Administrative Capacity	Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capability to execute the action?
Multi-Hazard	Will the action reduce risk to more than one hazard?
Timeline	Can the action be completed in less than 5 years?
Stakeholder Support	Is there stakeholder (outside of jurisdiction staff) support for the action?
Other Local Objective	Will the action meet other local objectives (such as capital improvements, economic development, environmental quality, or open space preservation?)
Support Policies	Does the action support the policies of other plans and programs?



The answers to each of these questions are weighted as follows:

- Yes = 3 points
- Not sure/could be either yes or no/question is difficult to quantify = 1 point
- No = 0 points

Following the scoring of each action, priorities are assigned based on the following:

- 31 or more = High Priority
- 15 to 30 = Medium Priority
- 0 to 14 = Low Priority

Table 18-3 lists the prioritization for each Countywide mitigation action.

Table 18-3. Countywide Mitigation Action Prioritization

Action Number	Life Safety	Property Protection	Cost-Effectiveness	Technically Feasible	Legal Authority	Funding Available	Environmental	Climate Change	Equity Priority Community	Administrative Capacity	Multi-Hazard	Timeline	Stakeholder Support	Other Local Objective	Support Policies	Total Score	Priority
CW-1	0	0	3	3	3	3	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	33	High
CW-2	1	0	3	3	3	3	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	34	High
CW-3	0	0	3	3	3	3	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	33	High

Individual annexes in Volume 2 of this plan include a table prioritizing each jurisdiction’s mitigation actions.

18.6 MITIGATION ACTION CATEGORIZATION

The identified mitigation actions are classified by the following action types.

18.6.1 Local Plans and Regulations

These activities are intended to keep hazard problems from getting worse and are typically administered through programs or regulatory actions that influence the way land is developed and assets are built. In the context of this plan, these measures also include security initiatives. Planning and regulatory measures are particularly effective in reducing a jurisdiction’s future vulnerability, especially in areas where development has not occurred, or capital improvements have not been substantial. Examples of these activities include the following:

- Planning and zoning
- Open space preservation
- Floodplain regulations
- Stormwater management regulations



- Drainage system maintenance
- Capital improvement programs
- Riverine / fault zone setbacks
- Security measures

18.6.2 Structure and Infrastructure Projects

These activities involve the modification of existing buildings, assets, and structures to help them better withstand the forces of a hazard, or removal of the structures from hazardous locations. Examples include the following:

- Acquisition
- Relocation
- Asset and building elevation
- Structural retrofitting
- Safe rooms, shutters, shatter-resistant glass
- Road and bridge infrastructure improvements
- Reservoirs
- Dams, levees, dikes, and floodwalls
- Diversions, detention, retention
- Stormwater infrastructure expansion
- Water, sewer, or other utility infrastructure improvements

18.6.3 Education and Awareness Programs

Education and awareness activities are used to advise residents, elected officials, business owners, potential property buyers, and visitors about hazards, hazardous areas, and mitigation techniques they can use to protect themselves and their property. Examples of measures to educate and inform the public include the following:

- Outreach projects
- Speaker series and demonstration events
- Hazard map information
- Library materials
- School-age educational programs
- Hazard expositions
- Social media campaigns
- Warning and communication systems

18.6.4 Natural Systems Protection

Natural systems protection activities reduce the impact of natural hazards by preserving or restoring natural areas and their protective functions. Such areas include floodplains, wetlands, and steep slopes, in addition to the following:

- Floodplain protection
- Watershed management
- Riparian buffers
- Habitat preservation
- Erosion and sediment control
- Wetland preservation and restoration
- Slope stabilization
- Forest and vegetation management (e.g., fire resistant landscaping, fuel breaks, etc.)
- Green infrastructure

18.6.5 Climate Resiliency

Climate resiliency actions incorporate methods to mitigate or adapt to the impacts of the changing climate. Examples include the following:



- Aquifer storage and recovery
- Incorporating future conditions projections in planning and project design
- Actions that specifically address climate change risks such as drought and extreme heat

18.6.6 Community Capacity Building


These actions increase local capabilities to adjust to potential damage, to take advantage of opportunities, or to respond to consequences of hazards. Examples include the following:

- Staff training
- Memorandums of understanding
- Development of plans and studies
- Monitoring programs

Table 18-4. Countywide Mitigation Action Classification and Hazards Mitigated

Action	Mitigation Classification						Natural Hazards									
	Local Plans and Regulations	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	Education and Awareness Programs	Natural Systems Protection	Climate Resiliency	Community Capacity Building	Avalanche	Dam Failure	Drought	Earthquake	Extreme Heat	Flood	Landslide/Mass Movement	Volcanic Activity	Wildfire	Winter Storms
#1			■			■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■
#2	■		■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■
#3			■			■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■

Individual annexes in Volume 2 of this plan include a table classifying each jurisdiction’s mitigation actions.



Sierra Valley Lily Pads (Photo Credit: Mary Davey)

Part 4

Maintaining the Plan





19. ADOPTING AND MAINTAINING THE MITIGATION PLAN

19.1 PLAN ADOPTION



Local Plan Requirement F1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(5)

The plan shall include documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan.

DMA compliance and its benefits can only be achieved after the Plan is adopted. For multi-jurisdictional plans, each jurisdiction requesting approval must document that it has been formally adopted. This plan will be submitted for a pre-adoption review to Cal OES and FEMA Region 9 prior to adoption. Once pre-adoption approval has been provided, all Planning Partners will formally adopt the plan. Copies of the FEMA approval and Planning Partner resolutions adopting this plan can be found in Appendix F of this volume.

19.2 PLAN MAINTENANCE

This section describes a formal plan maintenance process to ensure that the hazard mitigation plan remains an active and relevant document. Sierra County OES will take the lead on monitoring, evaluating, and updating the MJHMP over the 5-year performance period. Table 19-1 summarizes this plan maintenance strategy. The sections below further describe each element.

Table 19-1. Plan Maintenance Matrix

Approach	Timeline	Lead Responsibility
Plan Monitoring		
Track the implementation of plan actions	Continuous	All Planning Partners will report annually or in alignment with potential grant opportunities to Sierra County OES on action implementation. Points of contact are listed in Volume 2.
Plan Evaluation		
Review the status of previous actions; assess changes in risk; evaluate success of integration	Upon initiation of hazard mitigation plan update, comprehensive General Plan update, or major disaster	All Planning Partners
Grant Notification		
The County will notify Planning Partners of grant funding opportunities to fund actions identified in this plan	Continuous, as grants become available	Sierra County OES



Approach	Timeline	Lead Responsibility
Plan Update		
Initiate the process to comprehensively update the plan at least every 5 years.	At the end of year 3, coordinate with the Planning Partners, and work to identify grant funding opportunity for update. Obtain grant funding by the end of year 4.	Sierra County OES will lead the plan update. All Planning Partners will support the effort.
Integration into Other Planning Mechanisms		
Create a linkage between the hazard mitigation plan and individual jurisdictions' general plans the Community Wildfire Protection Plan or similar plans identified in the core capability assessments	Continuous	All Planning Partners
Continuing Public Involvement		
Maintain and update the County's website with relevant hazard mitigation information and public participation opportunities.	Continuous	Sierra County OES will lead continuing public participation. All Planning Partners will support the effort.

19.2.1 Integrating the Plan

Local Plan Requirement D3 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(4)(ii)

The plan maintenance process shall include a process by which local governments incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate.

The Planning Partners will integrate this MJHMP into relevant decision-making processes, plans, or mechanisms, where feasible. This includes integrating the requirements of the MJHMP into other planning documents, processes, or mechanisms, such as strategic planning initiatives, general plans, local capital improvement plans, stormwater plans, emergency plans, and other future plans. Opportunities to integrate the requirements of this plan into other planning mechanisms shall continue to be identified through future planning efforts. The Planning Partnership outlined the following mechanisms underway and under consideration:

- Ensuring that the goals and mitigation actions of new and updated local planning documents are consistent, or do not conflict with, the goals and actions of the HMP, and will not contribute to increased hazard vulnerability in the planning area.
- Integration of the MJHMP will be considered on a case-by-case basis and identified at the onset of plan development.
- Integration of the MJHMP into the capital improvement program scoring criteria (e.g., does the project advance mitigation) will be implemented if deemed feasible.

19.2.2 Implementing the Hazard Mitigation Plan Through Existing Programs

To successfully reduce future losses, implementing the actions within this plan is highly recommended. The Planning Partners involved a wide range of staff in the plan development process and many departments, divisions, or other partners participating in the Plan are responsible for implementing



specific mitigation actions identified by each jurisdiction. Every proposed action listed in the mitigation action plan is assigned to a specific “lead” department or partner in order to assign responsibility and accountability and increase the likelihood of implementation.

In addition to the assignment of a local lead department or partner, an implementation time period or a specific implementation date has been assigned in order to assess whether actions are being implemented in a timely fashion. The Planning Partners will seek outside funding sources to implement mitigation projects in both the pre-disaster and post-disaster environments. When applicable, specific potential funding sources have been identified for proposed actions listed in the mitigation action plan.

The Planning Partnership will meet once annually during the 5-year performance period of this Plan. This frequency of meeting will also assist in implementation, as meetings will be coordinated with the strategic planning process. A key agenda item will be to determine which actions are being implemented by members of the Planning Partnership

19.2.3 Ongoing Public Involvement



Local Plan Requirement D1 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(4)(iii)

The plan maintenance process shall include a discussion on how the community will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process.

Public participation is an integral component to the mitigation planning process and will continue to be essential as this plan evolves over time. Public involvement procedures were reviewed as part of the 2025 MJHMP development process. Significant changes or amendments to the plan shall require an opportunity for public comment prior to any adoption procedures by the Planning Partners. The County also maintains a hazard mitigation planning website that can be used to provide updates and post the most current version of the plan:

<https://www.sierracounty.ca.gov/818/Hazard-Assessment-Plans>

By keeping the plan available on the County website with an invitation and instructions on providing feedback, public awareness will be maintained on a continuous basis. Public comment opportunities will be provided during any process to revise or update the plan, prior to jurisdictional approval and/or adoption. Other efforts to involve the public in the maintenance, monitoring, evaluation, and revision process will be made as necessary. These efforts may include the following:

- Posting minutes from Planning Partnership meetings to the County hazard mitigation website.
- Utilizing available Planning Partner communication channels to update the public on any maintenance and/or periodic review activities taking place.
- Keeping a current version on the HMP at Planning Partner facilities and on the County web page.

Additionally, continued public involvement will be accomplished through implementation of mitigation actions.



19.2.4 Monitoring the Plan



Local Plan Requirement D2 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(4)(i)

The plan shall include a plan maintenance process that includes a section describing the method and schedule of monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year-cycle.

Periodic revisions and updates of the Plan are required to ensure that the goals of the Plan are kept current, taking into account potential changes in hazard vulnerability and mitigation priorities. In addition, revisions may be necessary to ensure that the Plan is in full compliance with applicable federal and state regulations. Periodic monitoring and evaluation of the plan will also ensure that specific mitigation actions are being reviewed and carried out according to the mitigation action plan. Monitoring refers to tracking the implementation of the plan over time. Evaluating refers to assessing the overall effectiveness of the plan intent and goals.

The Planning Partnership shall meet once annually to monitor and evaluate the progress attained and to revise, where needed, the activities set forth in the plan. The Sierra County OES Coordinator will be responsible for reconvening the Planning Partnership for these reviews. Plan maintenance meeting agenda templates are included in Appendix E.

The annual meetings provide the Planning Partnership with an opportunity to perform the following:

- Review plan goals.
- Document hazard occurrences that occurred during the prior year and their impacts on the planning area.
- Document mitigation action implementation or status.
- Evaluate the mitigation actions that have been successful.
- Discuss why mitigation actions were not completed.
- Revise the action plan if new timelines need to be established for projects (e.g., changing a long-term project to a short-term project due to funding availability).
- Consider recommendations for new mitigation projects.
- Review new funding options, including grant opportunities, and determine if contract grant-writing support is needed to pursue the opportunities.
- Document potential losses avoided due to the implementation of specific mitigation measures or other planning programs, if feasible.
- Identify any new or additional vulnerabilities that may be faced by the County and may need to be addressed in a future update of this plan.
- Update the hazard mapping and impact tool to reflect new or revised hazard data.

Any findings or recommendations made during the annual review shall be documented in the form of a memo that can be shared with the governing bodies of the participating jurisdictions and interested stakeholders, including the public, through the County's website. Further, mitigation action progress can be monitored (i.e., tracked) in an Excel version of the mitigation action plan. The Planning Partnership will also meet following any disaster event warranting a reexamination of the mitigation actions being



implemented or proposed for future implementation. This will ensure that the plan is continuously updated to reflect changing conditions and needs within the County.

19.2.5 Updating the Plan

Updating



Local Plan Requirement D2 – 44 CFR Part 201.6(c)(4)(i)

The plan maintenance process shall include a section describing the method and schedule of monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle.

The Sierra County HMP will be thoroughly reviewed by the Planning Partnership every 5 years in alignment with federal regulations to ensure its consistency with these requirements. This update is also used to determine whether there have been any significant changes in the planning area that may, in turn, necessitate changes in the types of mitigation actions proposed, goals, or priorities. New development in identified hazard areas, an increased exposure to hazards, an increase or decrease in capability to address hazards, and changes to federal or state legislation are examples of factors that may affect the necessary content of the plan. The Sierra County OES Coordinator will be responsible for reconvening the Planning Partnership and conducting the 5-year review. In general, the plan update development process begins approximately 2 years prior to plan expiration. First, resources to develop the plan must be obtained, such as obtaining a planning grant. This will be followed by the plan update process, led by the Core Planning Team and Steering Committee. Upon completion of the review and update/amendment process, the Sierra County Hazard Mitigation Plan will be submitted to the State Hazard Mitigation Officer at Cal OES for a compliance review in accordance with 44 CFR 201. The plan will then be reviewed by FEMA Region 9. Once an “approved pending adoption” status has been issued by FEMA Region 9, the Planning Partnership can then review, approve, and adopt the plan. The Planning Partnership review consists of final approval by the Sierra County Board of Supervisors during a public meeting.

Disaster Declaration

Following a federal disaster declaration, the Sierra County Hazard Mitigation Plan may be revised as necessary to reflect lessons learned, or to address specific issues and circumstances arising from the event. It will be the responsibility of the Sierra County OES Coordinator to reconvene the Planning Partnership and ensure the appropriate stakeholders are invited to participate in the plan revision and update process following declared disaster events.

Plan Amendment Process

Unique circumstances, such as availability of critical data or an omission, may necessitate a plan amendment. Upon the initiation of the amendment process, Sierra County will forward information on the proposed change(s) to all interested parties including, but not limited to, all directly affected departments, community partners, and customers. Information will also be forwarded to Cal OES and FEMA. This information will be disseminated in order to seek input on the proposed amendment(s) for no less than a 45-day review and comment period (unless circumstances necessitate a shorter review). At the end of the 45-day review and comment period, the proposed amendment(s) and all comments will



be forwarded to the Planning Partnership for final consideration. The Planning Partnership will review the proposed amendment along with the comments received from other parties, and if acceptable, the Planning Partnership will submit a recommendation for the approval and adoption of changes to the plan. In determining whether to recommend approval or denial of a plan amendment request, the following factors may be considered by the Planning Partnership:

- There are major errors, inaccuracies, or omissions made in the identification of issues or needs in the Plan.
- New or previously unknown issues or needs have been identified which are not adequately addressed in the Plan.
- There has been a change in information, data, or assumptions from those on which the Plan is based.

If the Planning Partnership opts to move forward with the amendment, the revised plan must be reviewed and approved by Cal OES and FEMA. The Planning Partners will also need to approve the revised plan. Prior to adoption, the County shall post the updated plan to the County website for public comment. Each participating jurisdiction will review the recommendation from the Planning Partnership (including the factors listed above) and comments received from the public. Following that review, the governing bodies will take one of the following actions:

- Adopt the proposed amendments as presented.
- Adopt the proposed amendments with modifications.
- Refer the amendments request back to the Planning Partnership for further revision.
- Defer the amendment request back to the Planning Partnership for further consideration and/or additional hearings.




Downie River and North Yuba River Confluence in Downieville (Photo Credit: Brian Walker)



APPENDIX A. PUBLIC OUTREACH

Q1 Which of these natural hazards have you experienced in Sierra County? Choose all that apply.

Answered: 160 Skipped: 4




Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hazard Awareness Survey

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Avalanche	3.75% 6
Dam Failure	0.63% 1
Drought	56.88% 91
Earthquake	37.50% 60
Extreme Heat	45.63% 73
Flood	51.25% 82
Landslide/Mass Movement (falling rocks or mud)	24.38% 39
Volcanic Activity	0.63% 1
Wildfire	78.75% 126
Winter Storms	83.75% 134
Other (please say)	8.75% 14
Total Respondents: 160	

#	OTHER (PLEASE SAY)	DATE
1	Failure to clean culverts on County roads has caused our basement to flood. Other houses also flooded 2017.	10/20/2025 12:27 PM
2	Smoke	7/31/2025 5:16 AM
3	Extreme wind	5/12/2025 10:14 AM
4	High winds	1/15/2025 2:50 PM
5	Prolonged Power Outage	1/2/2025 12:10 PM
6	Levee failure	11/15/2024 2:09 PM
7	Levee Failure	11/15/2024 2:06 PM
8	Severe wind	11/6/2024 1:20 PM
9	Extreme cold	11/5/2024 11:51 AM
10	Movement of soil and rocks making steeper road and driveway dangerous and unstable, leading to flooding in basement too	11/5/2024 8:24 AM
11	Bad Roads Everywhere	11/1/2024 8:13 AM
12	Wind Damage	10/31/2024 5:28 PM
13	Wildlife issues	10/28/2024 2:36 PM
14	I haven't experienced an avalanche but the town has historically.	10/28/2024 1:12 PM

Q2 Which of these other hazards have you experienced in Sierra County? Choose all that apply.

Answered: 139 Skipped: 25



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Cybersecurity	23.02% 32
Mass Gatherings (large increase in population for an event)	26.62% 37
Transportation Hazards (access routes closed due to vehicle accidents)	49.64% 69
Wildlife & Human Interactions (bears, mountain lions, etc.)	80.58% 112
Other (please say)	11.51% 16
Total Respondents: 139	


#	OTHER (PLEASE SAY)	DATE
1	Frequent power outages. Occasional phone, landline and internet outages.	10/20/2025 12:27 PM
2	None	7/20/2025 9:49 PM
3	Frequent power outages INCLUDING, land line phones and internet. The County was aware of the internet and phone outages but failed to activate the Everbridge Alert System prior to the outage which lasted 12 hours. Yet, they took the time to post the impending outage on social media. What would have happened in the case of an emergency? Fire, medical, accident? How would we reach emergency services?	6/14/2025 8:09 AM
4	None	1/15/2025 3:23 PM
5	Abandon houses falling down	1/15/2025 3:15 PM
6	Chemical poisoning: air, soil & water	1/15/2025 2:35 PM
7	Bears	11/15/2024 2:02 PM
8	Lack of safe evacuation center during evacuation	11/15/2024 1:02 PM


Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hazard Awareness Survey


9	https://www.cbsnews.com/sanfrancisco/news/suspect-in-bizarre-shooting-spree-that-killed-east-bay-doctor-terrorized-nearby-campsite/	11/6/2024 1:20 PM
10	Water issues, power outages	11/5/2024 3:18 PM
11	Hunter missfire in proximity to my children	11/4/2024 1:38 PM
12	None	11/4/2024 5:30 AM
13	Potholes	11/1/2024 8:13 AM
14	Transportation Hazards due to Landslides, Tree Falling, Boulders.	10/31/2024 6:16 PM
15	Trump supporters	10/28/2024 11:53 AM
16	None	10/28/2024 11:36 AM


Q3 How worried are you about these natural hazards in Sierra County?

Answered: 162 Skipped: 2










Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hazard Awareness Survey

	NOT CONCERNED	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	CONCERNED	VERY CONCERNED	EXTREMELY CONCERNED	N/A	OTHER	TOTAL
Avalanche	60.00% 90	24.00% 36	10.67% 16	2.00% 3	1.33% 2	2.00% 3	0.00% 0	150
Dam Failure	80.00% 116	13.10% 19	4.14% 6	0.69% 1	0.00% 0	2.07% 3	0.00% 0	145
Drought	14.84% 23	25.16% 39	25.81% 40	20.00% 31	14.19% 22	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	155
Earthquake	27.81% 42	37.09% 56	27.15% 41	4.64% 7	2.65% 4	0.66% 1	0.00% 0	151
Extreme Heat	24.50% 37	28.48% 43	22.52% 34	14.57% 22	9.27% 14	0.66% 1	0.00% 0	151
Flood	15.69% 24	31.37% 48	26.80% 41	16.99% 26	9.15% 14	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	153
Landslide/Mass Movement (falling rocks or mud)	31.54% 47	28.86% 43	20.81% 31	12.75% 19	5.37% 8	0.67% 1	0.00% 0	149
Volcanic Activity	80.41% 119	10.14% 15	4.05% 6	0.68% 1	1.35% 2	3.38% 5	0.00% 0	148
Wildfire	1.26% 2	9.43% 15	13.84% 22	18.24% 29	56.60% 90	0.00% 0	0.63% 1	159
Winter Storms	5.81% 9	19.35% 30	34.19% 53	23.23% 36	16.13% 25	0.00% 0	1.29% 2	155


#	IF YOU SELECTED 'OTHER' ABOVE, PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ANSWER.	DATE
1	With no notification system in place to let residents know of a fire emergency, we are on our own regarding evacuations. We have a population of elderly people, some are legally blind, some do not drive, others are physically unable to evacuate in an emergency even if they were notified.	6/14/2025 8:09 AM
2	I am concerned. I no longer have an all-wheel drive vehicle to drive in the snow if necessary.	1/15/2025 2:42 PM
3	Being able to control heat/cold, refrigerator/freezer temperatures, charging of electronics and/or medical equipment	1/2/2025 12:10 PM
4	Risky electric vehicles (EV) entering forestry rural highways/roads can burst huge flames spreading wildfires. Ban all electric vehicles, including commercial electric rigs/trucks in any rural highways/roads!	11/15/2024 2:20 PM
5	Levee failure	11/15/2024 2:06 PM
6	Am not in residence during the winter. For actual stirm. Not concerned. Aftermath, could be a great concern	11/2/2024 12:54 PM
7	Concerned that wind event could have a major impact to the road system in the red fir zone given intense mortality of the fir, lack of salvage on public lands, and lack of wind resistance in residual older forest	10/31/2024 5:28 PM

Q4 How worried are you about these other hazards in Sierra County?

Answered: 161 Skipped: 3



Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hazard Awareness Survey



	NOT CONCERNED	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	CONCERNED	VERY CONCERNED	EXTREMELY CONCERNED	N/A	OTHER	TOTAL
Cybersecurity	28.19% 42	35.57% 53	22.15% 33	6.04% 9	7.38% 11	0.67% 1	0.00% 0	149
Mass Gatherings (large increase in population for an event)	56.00% 84	22.67% 34	14.00% 21	4.00% 6	2.00% 3	1.33% 2	0.00% 0	150
Transportation Hazards (access roads closed due to vehicle accidents)	24.68% 38	31.82% 49	25.32% 39	7.14% 11	10.39% 16	0.65% 1	0.00% 0	154
Human & Wildlife Interactions (bears, mountain lions, etc.)	12.10% 19	34.39% 54	34.39% 54	12.10% 19	5.73% 9	0.64% 1	0.64% 1	157


#	IF YOU SELECTED 'OTHER' ABOVE, PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ANSWER.	DATE
---	--	------

Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hazard Awareness Survey

1	Wolves seem to be a clear problem, dosent affect me really at all but hear about it almost daily now.	8/5/2025 3:29 PM
2	The growing wolf population in the county is dangerous to animals, livestock and potentially humans.	6/14/2025 8:09 AM
3	How do these fit under "Natural Hazards"? Yes these can all be mitigated, why not include terrorism also?	3/26/2025 12:16 PM
4	Risky electric vehicles (EV) entering forestry rural highways/roads can burst huge flames spreading wildfires. Ban all electric vehicles, including commercial electric rigs/trucks in any rural highways/roads!	11/15/2024 2:20 PM

Q5 What has your family or household done to prepare for a disaster? Check all that apply.

Answered: 163 Skipped: 1




Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hazard Awareness Survey

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Received First Aid/CPR Training	56.44%	92
Made a fire escape plan	56.44%	92
Designated an evacuation meeting place	33.74%	55
Found the shutoff locations for water, gas, or other utilities.	69.94%	114
Keep an emergency supply kit (batteries, flashlights, battery-powered radio, food/water)	68.71%	112
Installed smoke detectors on each level of the house	88.96%	145
Have a fire extinguisher at home	82.82%	135
Keep a "go bag" for each member of the household	49.08%	80
Stored medical supplies (first aid kit, medications)	60.74%	99
Made a plan to evacuate pets and/or livestock	40.49%	66
Signed up for emergency alerts	74.23%	121
Bought extra insurance	11.04%	18
Other (please say)	4.91%	8
Total Respondents: 163		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SAY)	DATE
1	Water tank and home fire fighting equipment	7/31/2025 3:49 PM
2	Defensible space clearing	7/20/2025 9:49 PM
3	We signed up for the emergency alerts but have never received one, even as a test message which I would suggest should be done quarterly	6/14/2025 8:09 AM
4	None of the above	1/15/2025 2:42 PM
5	I have prepared educated from my parent. I retired from high government official still bring me the world alerts only. Extra insurance is California Plan and Different in Condition Insurance (Terrible expensive both premiums.)	11/15/2024 2:20 PM
6	purchased a large generator	11/15/2024 1:21 PM
7	We keep putting off making our evacuation plan and go bags	11/15/2024 1:03 PM
8	Fire hardened my entire house with metal siding, and a 3000 gallon tank, water pump hoses and foam system	10/28/2024 11:53 AM

Q6 How prepared is your household to live without running water or electricity for one to five days?


Answered: 161 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Not at all prepared	11.18%	18
Somewhat prepared	50.31%	81
Very well prepared	38.51%	62
TOTAL		161

Q7 Is your home in any of these hazard areas? (Check all that apply)

Answered: 158 Skipped: 6



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Wildfire high-hazard area	85.44%	135
Earthquake risk area	25.95%	41
Flood hazard area	26.58%	42
High Liquefaction zone (soft soil shaking during earthquakes)	2.53%	4
Landslide area	8.23%	13
Avalanche area	7.59%	12
Dam Failure area	1.27%	2
Do not know	11.39%	18
Other (please say)	6.33%	10
Total Respondents: 158		


#	OTHER (PLEASE SAY)	DATE
1	Forests should be better managed. What has been done is excellent!	1/15/2025 2:35 PM

Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hazard Awareness Survey

2	See more note at end surveys.	11/15/2024 2:20 PM
3	Levee failure	11/15/2024 2:09 PM
4	Levee failure	11/15/2024 2:06 PM
5	Unsure about earthquake, high liquefaction, and landslide areas	11/15/2024 2:02 PM
6	Bears, mountain lions	11/6/2024 10:05 AM
7	Extreme snow storm	11/1/2024 1:49 PM
8	Doubtful Calpine is in any of the listed areas	11/1/2024 8:13 AM
9	severe winter storms.	10/31/2024 5:44 AM
10	Wildfire high-hazard area according to our insurance company only :-)	10/31/2024 5:33 AM

Q8 If a natural disaster such as a large wildfire or earthquake were to strike tomorrow... (Check all that apply)

Answered: 161 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
I know how to protect myself	72.67% 117
I am not sure how to protect myself	9.32% 15
I know how to take care of my pets and/or livestock	55.90% 90
I am not sure how to take care of my pets and/or livestock	2.48% 4
I have practiced an evacuation plan and/or know where my family would go if we had to leave home	44.10% 71
I am not sure where I would go if I needed to evacuate my home	17.39% 28
Other (please say)	6.83% 11
Total Respondents: 161	


#	OTHER (PLEASE SAY)	DATE
1	We do not rely on emergency services to notify us or assist us in evacuating. This is from previous emergency situations which there was no communication or assistance offered. Floods or nearby fires.	6/14/2025 8:09 AM
2	Depends on the nature and scope of the event from going to stay at GSR to evacuate to family in LA, Central CA or Northern CA.	1/15/2025 3:21 PM
3	I am living myself during a brave person. My family live a super distance.	11/15/2024 2:20 PM
4	Rely on family and friends	11/15/2024 1:21 PM

Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hazard Awareness Survey

5	I would be committed to the first responder group[10/31/2024 5:28 PM
6	I feel somewhat prepared but there is always more to learn. Never practiced a plan.	10/31/2024 9:06 AM
7	Evacuation location for myself and animals would depend on the disaster and area of threat.	10/31/2024 5:33 AM
8	It really depends on the event as to evacuate or shelter in place there's not a whole lot of ways to evacuate in Sierra County...north south east west?	10/28/2024 6:06 PM
9	Prepared for wildfire. However, earthquake is a different matter as it hits without warning. This question should be separated for each hazard.	10/28/2024 4:30 PM
10	While I have done all that I know to do, the unpredictability of such a disaster could make it impossible that I could know what to do. We need to have places set up to go should the need arise.	10/28/2024 4:26 PM
11	I'm concerned for the people who have moved her recently and the elderly who don't have somewhere to go.	10/28/2024 2:36 PM

Q9 Would knowing about natural hazards—such as their likelihood and how bad they can be—affect your decision to buy or rent a home today?

Answered: 159 Skipped: 5




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	46.54%	74
No	29.56%	47
Unsure	18.87%	30
Other (please say)	5.03%	8
TOTAL		159

#	OTHER (PLEASE SAY)	DATE
1	N/A	1/15/2025 3:21 PM
2	n/a	1/3/2025 6:11 AM
3	Insurance cost is the factor when purchasing	12/30/2024 8:24 AM
4	Yes. My home built in 1986 is up to dated.	11/15/2024 2:20 PM
5	Somewhat	11/2/2024 12:54 PM
6	Just as hard to get renter's insurance now as it is to get homeowner's where we live.	10/31/2024 9:06 AM
7	I don't know...maybe..depends on what it is...	10/28/2024 6:06 PM
8	Too many variables...	10/28/2024 1:49 PM

Q10 Which incentives would encourage you to retrofit upgrade or retrofit your home to protect against natural disasters? Check all that apply.

Answered: 153 Skipped: 11



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Building permit fee waiver	58.17% 89
Waiver of residential property tax reassessment	65.36% 100
Free local government technical assistance	52.29% 80
Grant funding	61.44% 94
I rent my home and the landlord has to do this	11.11% 17
Other (please say)	4.58% 7
Total Respondents: 153	

#	OTHER (PLEASE SAY)	DATE
1	No permit if hardening home to natural disasters	8/5/2025 3:29 PM
2	We've never gotten help from any agency preparing our house for a potential wildfire. In fact, we were hampered by a county employee, who has now been promoted. He threatened us with increased taxes if we removed trees on our own property. He said they could "assess us for the value of the wood." Thanks Sierra County	6/14/2025 8:09 AM
3	My house/trailer I just moved into is an unnatural disaster.	1/15/2025 2:42 PM
4	I don't want any agency of county or state telling me I need to retrofit my home	11/8/2024 8:11 AM
5	All of the above would help, but seems as though many home owners don't receive the payout	10/31/2024 9:06 AM


Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hazard Awareness Survey

they've paid for over the years making it hard to justify any improvements. The question below if framed badly.

6	Hey any help we can get is good after a disaster..	10/28/2024 6:06 PM
7	I have done everything possible to upgrade my home for fire safety. It would be nice to have some financial support!	10/28/2024 4:26 PM

Q11 How do you feel about this statement? (Check one) “It is the government’s job to teach people and create programs to help them protect themselves from natural hazards.”


Answered: 163 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly disagree	14.72%	24
Somewhat disagree	18.40%	30
Neutral	25.15%	41
Somewhat agree	28.22%	46
Strongly agree	13.50%	22
TOTAL		163

Q12 How would you like to be told about a hazard or disaster? Check all that apply.

Answered: 163 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Facebook	34.36%	56
Instagram	17.79%	29
Neighbors (talking to people)	35.58%	58
Radio	23.93%	39
Television	28.83%	47
Phone Call (pre-recorded message, mass notification system)	77.91%	127
Text Message	77.30%	126
X (formerly known as Twitter)	6.13%	10
Other (please say)	15.95%	26
Total Respondents: 163		


#	OTHER (PLEASE SAY)	DATE
---	--------------------	------

Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hazard Awareness Survey

1	Flyers posted in town and at the post offices. Door to door notifications by officials or representatives of the county.	6/14/2025 8:09 AM
2	Fire siren. Everbridge was two hours late for an evacuation notice, no reverse 911 noted.	2/10/2025 8:07 AM
3	Any & all methods should be used	1/15/2025 3:12 PM
4	Community radio such as Rick Esposito has established in Sierra City; Sirens that send out announcements and direct traffic.	1/3/2025 10:09 AM
5	Alerts from reputable source (Sheriffs Office/public Health) on Social Media	1/2/2025 12:10 PM
6	Siren	12/9/2024 7:48 PM
7	Local radio watch and alert communications, assuming power and phones are out.	12/9/2024 12:40 PM
8	Town hall meeting	12/9/2024 8:26 AM
9	County Website, Posting at the Post Office	11/25/2024 1:35 PM
10	email	11/15/2024 2:27 PM
11	Internet news browsers plus alert apps. I am hearing impaired.	11/15/2024 2:20 PM
12	Notice on my front door	11/15/2024 1:57 PM
13	email	11/15/2024 1:07 PM
14	Siren	11/15/2024 1:05 PM
15	I do not subscribe to social media	11/12/2024 11:18 AM
16	email	11/6/2024 6:43 AM
17	Mail	11/4/2024 4:24 AM
18	If there is no power, my phone is the only connection	11/3/2024 10:42 AM
19	Town meetings	11/1/2024 1:49 PM
20	Consider area fire siren	10/31/2024 10:26 AM
21	Should run across all platforms and mandatory evacuations should be announced by officials on loudspeakers with air raid horns in communities that have them. Only info I received about a fire near my home was from the Watch Duty App. Neighbors told me about this app during the event..	10/31/2024 9:06 AM
22	Community meeting	10/29/2024 3:13 PM
23	Facebook is used a lot for local community activity as long as there's power of course posting notices on the postoffice door if all else is dark...there's no one way anyway you can impart information is good..	10/28/2024 6:06 PM
24	Email is preferred	10/28/2024 4:30 PM
25	The Sierra City siren is really important!!! Code Red or some other notification also helps.	10/28/2024 4:26 PM
26	radio based neighborhood watch when phones are down	10/28/2024 12:02 PM

Q13 How do you spend your time in Sierra County? Choose all that apply.

Answered: 161 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I live in Sierra County	89.44%	144
I work in Sierra County	38.51%	62
I go to school in Sierra County	0.62%	1
I recreate in Sierra County (camp, hike, ride, etc.)	46.58%	75
Other (please say)	8.07%	13
Total Respondents: 161		


#	OTHER (PLEASE SAY)	DATE
1	Own a second residence in Sierra county	7/31/2025 5:16 AM
2	Manage a remote property in Sierra county.	3/24/2025 8:44 AM
3	On the border we are in Verdi	1/15/2025 3:21 PM
4	Retired volunteer	1/15/2025 2:50 PM
5	Retired. Do nothing.	1/15/2025 2:28 PM
6	I live half time in Sierra County and half time in Nevada Couty.	1/3/2025 10:09 AM
7	I ranch in Sierra County	11/25/2024 9:03 AM
8	I live half in Nevada County and the other half in Sierra County, usually weekends	11/15/2024 2:02 PM
9	I have lived in Sierra County	11/6/2024 1:20 PM
10	volunteer in Sierra County	10/31/2024 5:28 PM
11	Volunteer w/ fire/ems/ and local events	10/28/2024 6:06 PM

Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hazard Awareness Survey

12	3/4 time live in Sierra County	10/28/2024 4:30 PM
13	I live half time in Sierra County... where I have a home and community that I love.	10/28/2024 4:26 PM

Q14 If you live in Sierra County, which part of the County?

Answered: 160 Skipped: 4




Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hazard Awareness Survey

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Loyalton Area	34.38%	55
Sierraville	11.25%	18
Sattley/Calpine	10.63%	17
Sierra City/Bassetts	13.75%	22
Downieville	14.37%	23
Goodyears Bar	3.13%	5
Forest City/Pike	1.88%	3
Alleghany	1.88%	3
Verdi/Long Valley	2.50%	4
I don't live in Sierra County	4.38%	7
Other (please say which community you live in)	1.88%	3
TOTAL		160

#	OTHER (PLEASE SAY WHICH COMMUNITY YOU LIVE IN)	DATE
1	Pike	11/25/2024 11:40 AM
2	Vinton/Chilcoat	11/6/2024 1:06 PM
3	We own residence in both Loyalton and Downieville	11/5/2024 3:18 PM

Q15 What is the main language spoken or written in your home?

Answered: 161 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
English	98.14%	158
Spanish	0.00%	0
Other (please say)	1.86%	3
TOTAL		161

#	OTHER (PLEASE SAY)	DATE
1	English and Spanish	11/15/2024 1:47 PM
2	Faschirmers@gmail.com	11/2/2024 12:54 PM
3	English... also cat and dog speak	10/28/2024 6:06 PM


Q16 If you want to get emails with natural hazard awareness information, please provide your email address here (Optional):

Answered: 79 Skipped: 85

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Name	94.94%	75
Company	0.00%	0
Address	0.00%	0
Address 2	0.00%	0
City/Town	0.00%	0
State/Province	0.00%	0
ZIP/Postal Code	0.00%	0
Country	0.00%	0
Email Address	98.73%	78
Phone Number	0.00%	0


#	NAME	DATE
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57




58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75

1
2
3
4
5
6



- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44



45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	
70	
71	
72	
73	
74	
75	
76	
77	
78	
#	

Q17 Please feel free to add additional comments about natural hazard mitigation here:

Answered: 36 Skipped: 128

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Maybe our frequent phone/internet/power outages are not a natural disaster, but lack of communication by county officials is troubling. When county officials drive through town during an outage and fail to stop and communicate with neighbors or businesses or anybody, that is troubling. The point in living in a small community is that communication should be a priority and easy to do with a bit of effort. Do I sound angry? You bet. After the last outage of our phones and internet I wrote three letters as to why, prior to the predicted outages, the Everbridge Alert system wasn't used instead of a post on Facebook. This was not the first time, not the second time but numerous times that communication with the locals did not happen. I've had elderly neighbors come to my house or contact me via a hand held radio, scared and asking what they should do in an emergency. I'm disappointed in the County's lack of response.	6/14/2025 8:09 AM
2	Flooding and severe winter storms occur here more than large wildland fires, Fires causes the most damage and are unpredictable, where as flooding and winter storms can be forecast days ahead, unlike fires. There is still a lot of Mitigation work to be done for flooding, winter storms and wildland fires.	3/26/2025 12:16 PM
3	The Verdi area continues to have no zip code which confounds computer and notification systems in every context (even on legislators' websites, UPS and FedEx, utilities, insurance companies, etc.) Until we have a Verdi, CA post office or waiver?, there is no USPS assigned zip code. It's meant extraordinary hassles for everyone - a neighbor last week noted her 911 call would show up as "Chilcoot or Loyaltan" on her phone. A very basic address component is lacking in Verdi, CA.	2/10/2025 8:07 AM
4	Would like someone to come to our HOA meeting in the summer and give emergency preparedness advice, or appear at a zoom meeting to discuss emergency preparedness tips.	1/15/2025 3:21 PM
5	Get large property owners to maintain their forest. And all the homes they own.	1/15/2025 3:15 PM
6	Property owners need to clear their property of hazardous vegetation that threatens everyone in our communities.	1/15/2025 3:12 PM
7	Thank you!!!	1/15/2025 3:10 PM
8	Grateful for ongoing collaborative fuel reduction programs such as the Trapper Project.	1/15/2025 2:50 PM
9	NO ONE and no government agency has ever helped when my house gets flooded about once every 10 years.	1/15/2025 2:42 PM
10	More vegetation cleanup would be most helpful to the fire hazard issues.	1/3/2025 10:09 AM
11	educating and helping my honkie ass is not really the govt's job, but thanks nevertheless	1/3/2025 6:11 AM
12	We (The Sierra County Visitors Bureau) have held meeting with Lee Brown. We were told visitors are not a priority and they should just stay out of the area to be safer. Would love to see more effort to create plans for emergency and to include key stakeholders. Some you might consider are: SFMR Sierra County Visitors Bureau Sierra County Chamber of Commerce I am on the board of all three and would be happy to be a liaison. :) Sonya (619) 379.1088 P.S. Great job on the survey. So glad to see movement on this initiative!	11/15/2024 2:27 PM
13	PO Box 259 Sierra City, CA 96125-0259 Risky electric vehicles (EV) entering forestry rural highways/roads can burst huge flames spreading wildfires. Ban all electric vehicles, including commercial electric rigs/trucks in any rural highways/roads! Neighbor's junks yard and piled of duff leaves/pine needles are close utility transformer can explode dropping burning on surrounding duff leaves gets wildfires. Home insurance inspector must accompany with homeowner in explains instead of confusing in their writing form. Please add emergency text	11/15/2024 2:20 PM

Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hazard Awareness Survey

line such as "test 911", I cannot use a phone. Relay service is taken so long from cellphone app. Thank you, Ken November 4, 2024

14	Thank you!	11/15/2024 2:02 PM
15	I have lived here 44 years and feel our county and cities do a good job with our floods, fires, checking on citizens and directing all to safe places.	11/15/2024 1:29 PM
16	Impossible to find insurance!!!!	11/15/2024 1:05 PM
17	As for wildlife interactions, mitigation needs to come from an informed, scientifically researched-based perspective and not a fear-based, traditionally violent one.	11/15/2024 1:03 PM
18	Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback.	11/7/2024 3:11 PM
19	Individuals need to be responsible for themselves and their families. Moving to a rural setting requires more than just enjoying the environment. Being prepared for emergencies, engaged with your community, helping each other, and knowing how to take care of your basic needs in various dynamic conditions is assumed. The government infrastructures in frontier counties are extremely underfunded, and not able to support non-resilient residents. If people require wrap around government programs, they would be better served to live in more urban areas.	11/6/2024 1:20 PM
20	Good questions!	11/6/2024 11:11 AM
21	REPUBLICANS	11/6/2024 6:52 AM
22	I would like more resources and information on managing problems with bears. That is a main concern where I live in Sierraville.	11/4/2024 7:40 AM
23	Please take down all of the dead trees along Highway 89. I fear they will topple over while I'm driving! Perhaps that is Caltrans' responsibility, but it is a great concern	11/3/2024 10:42 AM
24	Feel like community meetings would be the best way to give the information needed.	11/1/2024 1:49 PM
25	Keep snowplows and fire station in Calpine AND Make the !warning siren FUNCTIONAL! In Calpine	11/1/2024 8:13 AM
26	With the recent Bear Fire near Sierra Brooks, it felt like there was no plan and no communication from our local government.	11/1/2024 7:21 AM
27	It's critical for Sierra County to partner with Washoe, Plumas, Nevada, Yuba for hazards that frequently cross county lines (e.g. power shutoffs, evacuations). Ideally, all counties would share the same notification system - but that's a pipe dream! Planning for residents with disabilities/medical conditions, seniors and families with young children is crucial.	10/31/2024 10:26 AM
28	I think it's the government's job to put people first when it comes to mitigating natural disasters —not animals, and not blame everything on people. They need to get out of the way and let us manage our forests and not be afraid of allowing us to put down threatening animal predators. We need less government, not more!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	10/31/2024 7:25 AM
29	The west side of Sierra County has a number of one lane roads and bridges making it difficult to evacuate while emergency vehicles are trying to access the area.	10/31/2024 5:44 AM
30	Sierraville needs to have a full time fire department with housing.	10/29/2024 3:13 PM
31	I feel this is a personal responsibility, natural hazards can be all around us, pay attention, help each other. I do not feel the government needs to be overly involved.	10/29/2024 5:54 AM
32	This is great...will you be publishing a copy of the Survey Results I'd like to read it.	10/28/2024 6:06 PM
33	1. Search and Rescue should be brought back 2. Absolutely and positively fix and maintain our emergency radio communication locations. Hilda repeater is a disaster AND a major emergency communication link to county official/residents. The Buttes is also a hodgepodge of radio communication efforts that goes down during winter when it shouldn't. The County needs to invest in these communication locations. Grants may be available to help with \$\$ 3. Though this is not a natural disaster, I recommend to atart charging mountain bike trail users to help supplement the volunteer fire departments that constantly have to deal with medical emergencies due to bikers. 4. Upgrade county plow equipment for winter use. Too many times the older equipment breaks down and when a violent storm occurs, the residents and emergency facilities are unable to get out. 5. Work with PGE to get a dedicated person who	10/28/2024 4:30 PM

Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hazard Awareness Survey

can start both Downieville and Sierra City PGE generators when the power goes down due to major storm.

34	More property cleanup seems important. The "dead and down" on private property could certainly be cleaned up and that would help with fire mitigation.	10/28/2024 4:26 PM
35	Need to move the cars that just sit for months and years off the roadway.	10/28/2024 12:10 PM
36	When phones and power are out, how do we communicate in an emergency?	10/28/2024 12:02 PM



APPENDIX B. HAZARD SELECTION

Refer to the table below for a complete comparison of hazards included in the 2023 California State Hazard Mitigation Plan, the 2012 Sierra County Hazard Mitigation Plan, and this update.

Table B. Hazard Comparison—State and Sierra County

2023 California State Hazard Mitigation Plan	2012 Sierra County Operational Area Local Hazard Mitigation Plan	2025 Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	Comment
Air Pollution	Not included	Wildfire	Air pollution from wildfire smoke is a concern for the County and is discussed in the Wildfire chapter
Civil Disorder	Not included	Not included	Addressed in other plans
Cyber Threats	Not included	Cybersecurity	This local hazard aligns with the State
Dam Failure	Dam Failure	Dam Failure	This local hazard aligns with the State
Drought	Drought	Drought	This local hazard aligns with the State
Earthquake	Earthquakes	Earthquake	This local hazard aligns with the State
Electromagnetic Pulse Attack	Not included	Not included	Not a concern for the County
Energy Shortage	Not included	Not included	Addressed in other plans
Epidemic/Pandemic/Vector-Borne Disease	Not included	Not included	Addressed in other plans
Extreme Cold or Freeze	Severe Weather – Winter Storms	Winter Storms	Discussed in the Winter Storms chapter
Extreme Heat	Not included	Extreme Heat	This local hazard aligns with the State
Geomagnetic Storm	Not included	Not included	Not a concern for the County
Hazardous Materials Release	Not included	Not included	Addressed in other plans
Invasive and Nuisance Species	Not included	Not included	Addressed in other plans
Landslide, Debris Flow, and other Mass Movements	Not included	Landslide/Mass Movement	This local hazard aligns with the State
Levee Failure	Not included	Not included	No levees in the County
Natural Gas Pipeline Hazards	Not included	Not included	No pipelines in the County
Oil Spills	Not included	Not included	Not a concern for the County
Other Potential Long-Term Electrical Outrages	Not included	Not included	Addressed in other plans
Public Safety Power Shutoff	Not included	Wildfire	Discussed in Wildfire chapter
Radiological Accidents	Not included	Not included	Not a concern for the County



2023 California State Hazard Mitigation Plan	2012 Sierra County Operational Area Local Hazard Mitigation Plan	2025 Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	Comment
Riverine, Stream, and Alluvial Flood	Flood	Flood	This local hazard aligns with the State
Sea-Level Rise, Coastal Flooding and Erosion	Not included	Not included	Inland area; not a concern for the County
Severe Wind, Weather, and Storms	Severe Weather – Winter Storms	Winter Storms	This local hazard aligns with subsections in the State
Snow Avalanche	Avalanche	Avalanche	This local hazard aligns with the State
Subsidence	Not included	Landslide/Mass Movement	Discussed in the Landslide/Mass Movement chapter
E	Not included	Not included	Addressed in other plans
Transportation accidents Resulting in Explosions or Toxic Releases	Not included	Not included	Not a concern for the County
Tree Mortality	Not included	Wildfire	Discussed in the Wildfire chapter
Tsunami and Seiche	Not included	Not included	Inland area; no large bodies of water that could impact people or development
Urban Structural Fire	Not included	Not included	Not a concern for the County
Volcano	Volcano	Volcanic Activity	This local hazard aligns with the State
Well Stimulation and Hydraulic Fracturing	Not included	Not included	Does not occur in the County
Wildfire	Wildland Fires	Wildfire	This local hazard aligns with the State
Not included	Not included	Mass Gatherings	This is a concern for the County due to the large population increase for special events
Not included	Not included	Transportation Hazards	This is a concern for the County due to blocked access on critical roadways
Not included	Not included	Wildlife & Human Interactions	This is a concern for the County due to wolf, bear, cougar, and other wildlife interactions



APPENDIX C. MEETING DOCUMENTATION

Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

Project Kick-Off Meeting

August 6, 2024



Today's Agenda



Introductions



Hazard Mitigation
Planning Overview



Scope & Schedule



Core Planning
Team



Steering
Committee



Risk Assessment



Planning
Partnership



County Department
Responsibilities



Communication
Protocols



Action Items

Introductions



Today's Black & Veatch Representatives



Rob Flaner, CFM
Project Manager



Megan Brotherton
Lead Project Planner



Carol Baumann
GIS/Risk Assessment Lead

- Extensive CA Experience
- Passionate about Resilience
- Holistic Understanding
- Integrated Team
- Familiar & Trusted to Cal OES and FEMA Region 9

Hazard Mitigation Planning Overview



What is Hazard Mitigation?



The use of long-term and short-term strategies to protect people and prevent property damage and service interruptions caused by natural disasters.

What's a LHMP?

A Local Hazard Mitigation Plan is created in compliance with the Disaster Mitigation Act (DMA) of 2000 to assess and mitigate the impacts of natural hazards on a planning area.



Why Develop a Plan?



Sierra County's
previous plan
expired in 2019



Helps reduce
natural hazard
impacts on people,
property,
infrastructure, and
services




Establishes
eligibility for
mitigation
grant funding



Fosters
collaboration
between the County
and its Planning
Partners,
community
members, and
stakeholders

Scope & Schedule



Project Scope

- ✓ Develop an **updated LHMP** for Sierra County and its Planning Partners efficiently while under an expedited timeline
- ✓ Facilitate an **interactive planning process** with the community and County stakeholders
- ✓ Develop a robust **hazard analysis & risk assessment** for natural hazards
- ✓ Develop **collaborative mitigation strategies with Planning Partners** to address vulnerabilities
- ✓ **Integrate** planning requirements to comply with:
 - New FEMA guidance
 - Applicable California Senate Bills (SB) and Assembly Bills (AB)
- ✓ Engage the **whole community** in public outreach activities
- ✓ Agency **approval & adoption** by the County and its Planning Partners

Project Schedule & Milestones

	2024					2025								
	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Phase 1: Organize Resources	★		★											
Phase 2: Assess Risk														
Phase 3: Engage the Public					★				★					
Phase 4: Assess Capability & Identify Mitigation Strategies														
Phase 5: Plan Maintenance Strategy														
Phase 6: Assemble the Plan														
Phase 7: Plan Review and Adoption										★				
Phase 8: Project Management														




August 2024—Project Kick-Off

October 2024—First Steering Committee Meeting

December 2024 & April 2025—Public Meetings

May 2025—Plan Submittal to Cal OES

Core Planning Team



Core Planning Team (CPT)



WHO

- Leads from the Black & Veatch team
- Key County project management staff

WHEN

Meet bi-weekly (virtual)

WHAT

- Monitor plan progress milestones
- Identify input needs for the Steering Committee

Steering Committee



Steering Committee (SC)



WHO

- Citizens
- Stakeholders
- Select County & Planning Partner Personnel
- Community Based Organizations

WHEN

Meet monthly as needed

WHAT

Provide input on key planning milestones

Risk Assessment



Risk? What Risk?

PROBABILITY

X

IMPACT

=

RISK

Natural hazard assessment will align with:

- California State HMP
- FEMA's National Risk Index (NRI)


New FEMA guidance includes:

- Impacts from climate change
- Impacts to Equity Priority Communities

Risk Assessment will use:

- Best available data
- Hazus-MH
- GIS modeling

StoryMap



Online, interactive platform for:

- Stakeholder engagement
- Public outreach & education

Planning Partnership



Planning Partnership

WHO

1. Sierra County
2. City of Loyalton
3. Downieville PUD
4. Alleghany County WD
5. Pliocene Ridge CSD
6. Sierra County FPD #1
7. Sierraville PUD
8. Sierra County Waterworks
Calpine District No. 1
9. Sierra City FPD

....any others?

WHAT

Two-Phase Annex
development process

WHEN

- Phase 1
- November – December
- Phase 2
- December - February


County Department Responsibilities



What's the Role of County Departments?



Communication Protocols



Let's Communicate

EMAIL

Who should be included?

SC &
PPs

Who should set up meetings
& distribute meeting materials?

FILE
SHARING

MS Teams or OneDrive?



Action Items



What's Next

Sierra County

August 9:

- Confirm CPT membership
- Provide County logo & style guide
- Provide GIS contact

August 14 (tentative):

- CPT to determine SC membership
- County to confirm Planning Partnership

Black & Veatch

August 12:

- Set up CPT meetings
- Invite County staff to document sharing site

August 14 (tentative):

- CPT to determine SC membership

Questions





Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

Steering Committee & Planning Partnership
Kick-Off Meeting

October 29, 2024
Sierraville, CA



Today's Agenda



Introductions



Hazard Mitigation
Planning Overview



Role of the Steering
Committee



Meeting Ground
Rules



Planning Partner
Expectations



Confirm Hazards



Public Outreach
Strategy



Next Steps

Introductions



Today's Black & Veatch Representatives



Rob Flaner, CFM
Project Manager



Megan Brotherton
Lead Project Planner

- Extensive CA Experience
- Passionate about Resilience
- Holistic Understanding
- Integrated Team
- Familiar & Trusted to Cal OES and FEMA Region 9

Hazard Mitigation Planning Overview



What is Hazard Mitigation?



Sustained long-term and short-term actions taken to protect people and prevent property damage and service interruptions caused by natural disasters.

What's a LHMP?

A Local Hazard Mitigation Plan is created in compliance with the Disaster Mitigation Act (DMA) of 2000 to assess and mitigate the impacts of natural hazards on a planning area.



Why Develop a Plan?



Sierra County's previous plan expired in 2019



Helps reduce natural hazard impacts on people, property, infrastructure, and services



Establishes eligibility for mitigation grant funding



Fosters collaboration between the County and its Planning Partners, community members, and stakeholders

Mitigation Strategy Examples



Seismic retrofit of
buildings and bridges



Education programs to
be better informed of risks

Increasing capacity in
flood-prone areas



Project Schedule & Milestones

	2024					2025								
	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Phase 1: Organize Resources	●		★											
Phase 2: Assess Risk														
Phase 3: Engage the Public						●			●					
Phase 4: Assess Capability & Identify Mitigation Strategies														
Phase 5: Plan Maintenance Strategy														
Phase 6: Assemble the Plan														
Phase 7: Plan Review and Adoption										●				
Phase 8: Project Management														

● **August 2024**—Project Kick-Off

★ **October 2024**—First Steering Committee/
Planning Partner Meeting

● **January & April 2025**—Public Meetings

● **May 2025**—Plan Submittal to Cal OES

Core Planning Team (CPT)



WHO

- Leads from the Black & Veatch team
- Key County project management staff

WHEN

Meet bi-weekly (virtual)

WHAT

- Monitor plan progress milestones
- Identify input needs for the Steering Committee

Role of the Steering Committee



Steering Committee (SC)



WHO

- Citizens
- Stakeholders
- Select County & Planning Partner Personnel
- Community Based Organizations

WHEN

Meet monthly as needed

WHAT

Provide input on key planning milestones

Meeting Ground Rules



Steering Committee Chair / Vice Chair

CHAIR

- Opens and closes each meeting
- Introduces each agenda topic
- Allows all members to be heard during discussions
- Moderates differing views among members


VICE-CHAIR

Assumes the role of the Chair when the Chair is not able to attend a SC meeting

**Recommendation?
Volunteer?**

What is the minimum attendance needed to make decisions at a SC meeting?

- 50% +1
- Arbitrary number that is achievable




The SC will meet about four more times over the next six months.

Alternates

You can designate an alternate if you cannot attend all the SC meetings. If you choose an alternate, inform LeTina by November 15.

Alternates should:

- *Have the same decision-making authority as the primary member?*
- *Only serve as a proxy member?*



Spokesperson

The Spokesperson will act as a liaison for the planning process with any media requests or interactions.

Recommendation?
Volunteer?



Public Comment Protocol

- All SC meetings will be open to the public and advertised on the County website.
- Establish a protocol for how to receive public comments.

Recommendation:

Members of the public wishing to address the SC must sign in prior to the meeting and identify their name, address and whom they represent. All SC meeting agendas will be posted on the County's website a minimum of 1 week prior to each SC meeting. Public comments will be taken prior to initiation of each meeting. Comments will only be taken on items contained on the agenda for that meeting. Each member of the public wishing to address the SC will be given a single allotment of 3 minutes to address the SC, with a total combined time not to exceed 15 minutes. Speaking time cannot be transferred to another speaker. The number of speakers allowed to address the committee will be at the discretion of the Chair. All public comments received will be reflected in the meeting summaries.

SC Meeting Dates & Times

- SC will meet about **four** more times over the next six months.
- Establish a standard meeting date and time with venues TBD.
- *What days and times work best for you?*

Planning Partner Expectations



Planning Partnership

WHO

1. Sierra County
2. City of Loyalton
3. Alleghany County Water District
4. Downieville Fire Protection District
5. Downieville Public Utilities District
6. Pliocene Ridge Community Services District
7. Sierra City Fire Protection District
8. Sierra County Fire Protection District #1
9. Sierra County Waterworks Calpine District No. 1
10. Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District
11. Sierraville Public Utility District

WHAT

Two-Phase Annex development process

WHEN

Phase 1
• January – February
Phase 2
• February - March

Planning Partner Expectations

- Participate in the planning process
- Support the public outreach strategy
- Attend mandatory meetings
- Support the Steering Committee
- Complete the 2-phase Jurisdictional Annex process
- Develop mitigation strategies for your jurisdiction
- Adopt the plan



Jurisdictional Annex Process

Phase 1

Jurisdictional Profile and Capability
Assessment

Phase 2

Risk Ranking and Action Plan
Development

Includes a mandatory workshop
focusing on action plan development
and prioritization

Both phases are supported by templates and
detailed instructions. The CPT provides technical
support whenever needed.

Confirm Hazards



Risk? What Risk?

PROBABILITY

X

IMPACT

=

RISK

Natural hazard assessment will align with:

- California State HMP
- FEMA's National Risk Index (NRI)

New FEMA guidance includes:

- Impacts from climate change
- Impacts to Equity Priority Communities


Risk Assessment will use:

- Best available data
- Hazus-MH
- GIS modeling

National Risk Index (NRI)

The NRI did not identify any hazards in Sierra County as “High” or “Very High”.

- Flood ranked “Relatively Low”
- Wildfire ranked “Relatively Moderate”
- Earthquake ranked “Relatively Low”



Natural Hazards of Concern




- ⚠️ Avalanche
- ⚠️ Dam Failure
- ⚠️ Drought
- ⚠️ Earthquake
- ⚠️ Extreme Heat
- ⚠️ Flood
- ⚠️ Landslide/Mass Movement
- ⚠️ Volcanic Activity
- ⚠️ Wildfire
- ⚠️ Winter Storms

Other Hazards of Interest



- ▲ Cybersecurity
- ▲ Mass Gatherings
- ▲ Transportation Hazards
- ▲ Wildlife & Human Interactions

Public Outreach Strategy



Website

<https://www.sierracounty.ca.gov/818/Hazard-Assessment-Plans>

Includes hazard mitigation information, the public survey link, and public meeting notifications.

The screenshot shows the Sierra County California website. The header includes the county logo and navigation links: YOUR GOVERNMENT, COMMUNITY, COUNTY DEPARTMENTS, and HOW DO I. The main content area features a large image of a snowy mountain range. A dark green sidebar on the left contains a list of links: About Sandbags, Are you ready?, County Resource Guide, Bear Safety, Defensible Space - Prepare for Wildfire, Drought Task Force, Enroll in Everbridge Emergency Notification System, Fire Safe Sierra County, Emergency Alerts, Emergency Resources, Genasys Protect Maps, Get Involved, Hazard Assessment & Plans, Hot Weather Safety, and Mass Vaccination Exercises. The main content area has a breadcrumb trail: Home > County Departments > Emergency Planning > Hazard Assessment & Plans. The title is "Hazard Assessment & Plans". Under "Current Projects", the first item is "1. Up-date of the Local Hazard Mitigation Plan to include all 9 Special Districts is currently underway." The text explains that Sierra County is working on a Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP) to protect the county from natural disasters like floods, fires, droughts, and earthquakes. It mentions that the HMP is being updated with the help of Black & Veatch. A call to action asks visitors to complete a quick survey and provides a link to "CLICK HERE" to complete the survey or a link to "Printable version of the survey in PDF" to return by U.S. Mail. The contact information for Sierra County OES is provided: ATT: LeTina Vanetti, PO Box 66, Downieville CA 95936. A "Timeline of activities" section lists "January 2022 - On-line application process with Cal-OES completed".

Public Survey

www.surveymonkey.com/r/SierraCounty



Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hazard Awareness Survey

Sierra County and its Planning Partners are working with the community to be better prepared for natural hazards or dangers like wildfire, drought, and storms by developing a Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan. The plan will look at natural hazards and vulnerabilities, figure out what is at risk, and find ways to reduce or eliminate future problems from those hazards.

Next



See how easy it is to [create surveys and forms](#).

Public Meetings

Two in-person public meetings will be held in the County.

Meeting #1 (Winter) will present hazard risk assessment results and get comments from the public on their perception of risk in areas around the County.

Meeting #2 (Spring) will present the draft plan overview and show the public how to participate in the comment process.

Next Steps



What's Next

Sierra County

- **Steering Committee** members should attend all meetings, or designate an alternate if possible
- **Planning Partners** will begin filling out their Annex template when shared by Black & Veatch
- **Everyone** should begin sharing the public survey link and QR code

Black & Veatch

- Schedule Steering Committee meetings
- Finalize Annex templates and share with Planning Partners in November

Questions



Thank you!

Contact:

Rob Flaner

FlanerRB@bv.com

(913) 458-7346 (MST)

Megan Brotherton

BrothertonMR@bv.com

(913) 458-6894 (HST)



MEETING SUMMARY

Steering Committee / Planning Partner Kick-Off (Meeting #1)

October 29, 2024

10:00 – 11:50 a.m.

Attendance

Steering Committee Member

Rae Bell Arbogast

Tom Archer

Danielle Bradfield

Vickie Clark

Magdalene DeBerg

Paul Douville

Billy Epps

Kathy Fischer

Mike Fisher

Victoria Fisher

Steve Folsom

Joe Griffin

Scott Hall

Lee Kiolbasa

Rick Maddalena (Chair)

Jeff McCollum (alt.)

Sue McIlravy

Tina Slowan-Pomeroy

Sean Snider

Bruce Troedson

Janet Drummond (alt.)

LeTina Vanetti (Vice Chair)

Representing

Alleghany County Water District

Pliocene Ridge Community Services District

Sierraville Public Utility District

Sierra County Fire Safe Council

Family Resource Center (FRC)/Food Bank

Inc. Senior Citizens of Sierra County/Meals on Wheels/Food Bank

Downieville Public Utility District

Sierra County

Downieville Day Spa

Sierra County Sherriff's Office

Eastern Plumas Health Care Board

Downieville Fire Protection District

USFS Leadership Tahoe Forest

Sierra City Fire Protection District

Liberty Utilities

Sierra County Fire Protection District #1

Sierra County Fire Protection District #1

City of Loyalton

Sierra County

Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District

Sierra County Waterworks Calpine District No. 1

Sierra County OES

Black & Veatch

Rob Flaner, Project Manager

Megan Brotherton, Lead Planner

Carol Baumann, Risk Assessment Lead

Erin Schanen, Planner

Additional Attendees

Brandon Pangman, Sierra County Building/Planning

Bryan Davey, Sierra County Public Works

Kaylon Hall, Sierra County Public Works

Sarah Murdock, Cal OES Inland Region Response Operations



Agenda Item and Summary

1. Introductions	
2. Hazard Mitigation Planning Overview	<p>Hazard Mitigation Plans (HMPs) protect and help communities become more resilient. Eligibility for grant funding. Large focus by FEMA on community outreach during planning process.</p> <p>A stand-alone public meeting will be held in the Spring. No regular public meetings will be held during the winter months due to dangerous traveling conditions throughout the County.</p> <p>Draft Plan will be submitted to Cal OES in late Spring for review before it will be submitted to FEMA.</p>
3. Role of the Steering Committee	<p>Monthly Steering Committee meetings, as needed, will help provide input on key planning milestones. Meetings are open to the public.</p>
4. Meeting Ground Rules	<p>Decisions will be made by consensus.</p> <p>Rick Maddalena was volunteered by the group to be the Chairperson, which he accepted after the meeting.</p> <p>LeTina Vanetti offered to be the Vice-Chairperson. All present were in agreement.</p> <p>Quorum will be 3 Steering Committee members.</p> <p>Alternate members will be able to have the same decision-making authority.</p> <p>A representative from Sierra County OES will serve as the spokesperson.</p> <p>Members of the public are encouraged to attend these meetings. They may make a comment on agenda items for up to 3 minutes.</p> <p>Meetings will typically be held on the last Tuesday of each month between 10:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m.</p>
5. Planning Partner Expectations	<p>All Planning Partners are expected to complete a 2-phase annex development process with guidance from the consultant team. Phase 1 will be in January-February 2025. Phase 2 will be in February-March.</p> <p>Planning Partners should attend mandatory meetings, participate in public outreach efforts as requested, develop mitigation strategies for their annex, and adopt the approved plan at the end of the process.</p> <p>One additional Planning Partner was added to the original list: Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District.</p> <p>The hospital district was not included due to no facilities in Sierra County.</p>
6. Confirm Hazards	<p>Natural hazards were confirmed by consensus as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Avalanche 2. Dam Failure 3. Drought



	<ol style="list-style-type: none">4. Earthquake5. Extreme Heat6. Flood7. Landslide/Mass Movement8. Volcanic Activity9. Wildfire10. Winter Storms <p>Other hazards of interest were confirmed as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Cybersecurity2. Mass Gatherings3. Transportation Hazards4. Wildlife & Human Interactions
11. Public Outreach Strategy	Public outreach includes information posted on the County website, a public survey, and meetings the public can attend including one stand-alone meeting.
12. Next Steps	Next Steering Committee/Planning Partner meeting will be held on January 28, 2025.

Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

Steering Committee & Planning Partnership
Meeting #2

January 28, 2025
Virtual & Sierraville, CA



Today's Agenda



Welcome



Confirm Plan Vision
Statement



Confirm HMP Goals



Risk Assessment
Overview



Planning Partner Annex
Phase 1



Next Steps

Welcome



Approve October Meeting Summary

Recommended changes or revisions?

- Motion to approve?
- Second?



Sierra County
Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan



MEETING SUMMARY

Steering Committee / Planning Partner Kick-Off (Meeting #1)
October 29, 2024
10:00 – 11:50 a.m.

Attendance

Steering Committee Member	Representing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rae Bell Arbogast	Alleghany County Water District Pliocene Ridge Community Services District
<input type="checkbox"/> Tom Archer	Sierraville Public Utility District
<input type="checkbox"/> Danielle Bradfield	Sierra County Fire Safe Council
<input type="checkbox"/> Vickie Clark	Family Resource Center (FRC)/Food Bank
<input type="checkbox"/> Magdalene DeBerg	Inc. Senior Citizens of Sierra County/Meals on Wheels/Food Bank
<input type="checkbox"/> Paul Douville	Downieville Public Utility District
<input type="checkbox"/> Billy Epps	Sierra County
<input type="checkbox"/> Kathy Fischer	Downieville Day Spa
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mike Fisher	Sierra County Sheriff's Office
<input type="checkbox"/> Victoria Fisher	Eastern Plumas Health Care Board
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steve Folsom	Downieville Fire Protection District
<input type="checkbox"/> Joe Griffin	USFS Leadership Tahoe Forest
<input type="checkbox"/> Scott Hall	Sierra City Fire Protection District
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lee Kiolbasa	Liberty Utilities
<input type="checkbox"/> Rick Maddalena (Chair)	Sierra County Fire Protection District #1
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jeff McCollum (alt.)	Sierra County Fire Protection District #1
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sue McClravy	City of Loyalton
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tina Slowan-Pomeroy	Sierra County
<input type="checkbox"/> Sean Snider	Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bruce Troedson	Sierra County Waterworks Calpine District No. 1
<input type="checkbox"/> Janet Drummond (alt.)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LeTina Vanetti (Vice Chair)	Sierra County OES

Black & Veatch

- Rob Flaner, Project Manager
- Megan Brotherton, Lead Planner
- Carol Baumann, Risk Assessment Lead
- Erin Schanen, Planner

Additional Attendees

- Brandon Pangman, Sierra County Building/Planning
- Bryan Davey, Sierra County Public Works
- Kaylon Hall, Sierra County Public Works
- Sarah Murdock, Cal OES Inland Region Response Operations

Page 1 of 3

Confirm Plan Vision Statement



Vision Statement



Overarching theme or message of the plan



Used in community outreach

CPT Recommends:

“Reduce the vulnerability to natural hazards in order to protect the health, safety, welfare and economy of the Sierra County community.”

Confirm Mitigation Goals



Mitigation Goals

1. Protect lives and reduce hazard-related injuries.
2. Minimize or reduce current and future **climate condition** damage from natural hazards to property, including critical facilities and the environment.
3. Develop and implement long-term, cost-effective mitigation projects that foster resilience for the whole community.
4. Maintain, enhance, and restore the natural environment's capacity to deal with the impacts of natural hazard events.
5. Improve emergency management preparedness, collaboration, and outreach within the planning area.

Risk Assessment Overview




Natural Hazards of Concern



- ⚠️ Avalanche
- ⚠️ Dam Failure
- ⚠️ Drought
- ⚠️ Earthquake
- ⚠️ Extreme Heat
- ⚠️ Flood
- ⚠️ Landslide/Mass Movement
- ⚠️ Volcanic Activity
- ⚠️ Wildfire
- ⚠️ Winter Storms

Sierra County Base Map




Sierra County Hazard Mitigation Planning Area

Hazard Mitigation Planning Area



Sierra County 1%-Annual Flood Zone




Sierra County FEMA Flood Zones

-  1%-Annual-Chance-Flood
-  Hazard Mitigation Planning Area



Sierra County Earthquake – Polaris M6.79 Scenario



Sierra County Potential Earthquake Scenario: Polaris M6.79



Hazard Mitigation
Planning Area 


Mercalli Intensity Scale

- IV (Light/None)
- V (Moderate/Very Light)
- VI (Strong/Light)
- VII (Very Strong/Moderate)
- VIII (Severe/Moderate-Heavy)
- IX (Violent/Heavy)
(Perceived Shaking/Potential Damage)

Source: Esri, HERE, Garmin, USGS, NGA, EPA, USDA, NPS, CA State GeoPortal



Sierra County Fire Hazard Severity Zones



Sierra County Fire Hazard Severity Zones

 Hazard Mitigation Planning Area

Fire Hazard Severity Zones in State Responsibility Areas

-  Moderate
-  High
-  Very High

Source: Esri, HERE, Garmin, USGS, NGA, EPA, USDA, NPS, CALFIRE, CA State GeoPortal

Other Hazards of Interest



- ▲ Cybersecurity
- ▲ Mass Gatherings
- ▲ Transportation Hazards
- ▲ Wildlife & Human Interactions

Planning Partner Annex Phase 1



Planning Partnership

WHO

1. Sierra County
2. City of Loyalton
3. Alleghany County Water District
4. Downieville Fire Protection District
5. Downieville Public Utilities District
6. Pliocene Ridge Community Services District
7. Sierra City Fire Protection District
8. Sierra County Fire Protection District #1
9. Sierra County Waterworks Calpine District No. 1
10. Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District
11. Sierraville Public Utility District

WHAT

Jurisdictional Profile and Capability Assessment

WHEN

Phase 1

- January – February


Next Steps



Continue to Promote the Website

<https://www.sierracounty.ca.gov/818/Hazard-Assessment-Plans>

Includes hazard mitigation information, the public survey link, and public meeting notifications.



The screenshot shows the Sierra County California website. The header includes the county logo and navigation links: YOUR GOVERNMENT, COMMUNITY, COUNTY DEPARTMENTS, and HOW DO I. The main content area features a large image of a snowy mountain range. A dark green sidebar on the left contains a list of links: About Sandbags, Are you ready?, County Resource Guide, Bear Safety, Defensible Space - Prepare for Wildfire, Drought Task Force, Enroll in Everbridge Emergency Notification System, Fire Safe Sierra County, Emergency Alerts, Emergency Resources, Genasys Protect Maps, Get Involved, Hazard Assessment & Plans, Hot Weather Safety, Mass Vaccination Exercises, and Visitor Emergency Information. The main content area has a breadcrumb trail: Home > County Departments > Emergency Planning > Hazard Assessment & Plans. The page title is "Hazard Assessment & Plans". Under "Current Projects", the first item is "1. Up-date of the Local Hazard Mitigation Plan to include all 9 Special Districts is currently underway." The text explains that Sierra County is working on a Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP) to protect the county from natural disasters like floods, fires, droughts, and earthquakes. It mentions that the HMP is being updated with the help of Black & Veatch. A call to action asks visitors to complete a quick survey and provides a link to "CLICK HERE" to complete the survey or a link to "Printable version of the survey in PDF" to return by U.S. Mail. The contact information for Sierra County OES is provided: ATT: LeTina Vanetti, PO Box 66, Downieville CA 95936. A "Timeline of activities" section lists "January 2022 - On-line application process with Cal-OES completed".

Continue to Promote the Public Survey

www.surveymonkey.com/r/SierraCounty



Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hazard Awareness Survey

Sierra County and its Planning Partners are working with the community to be better prepared for natural hazards or dangers like wildfire, drought, and storms by developing a Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan. The plan will look at natural hazards and vulnerabilities, figure out what is at risk, and find ways to reduce or eliminate future problems from those hazards.

Next



See how easy it is to [create surveys and forms](#).

Public Survey Results


148 responses from every part of the County!

69 people signed up to receive hazard awareness emails

33 people offered additional comments about hazard mitigation

If you live in Sierra County, which part of the County?

Answered: 144 Skipped: 4



What's Next

Sierra County

- **Steering Committee** members should attend all meetings, or designate an alternate if possible
- **Planning Partners** will begin filling out their Phase 1 Annex template in February. Phase 2 will be released in early March.
- **Everyone** should continue sharing the public survey via link, QR code, or paper copies

Black & Veatch

- Share Annex templates this week
- Schedule technical assistance call
- Help Planning Partners with annex development
- Refine risk assessment and hazard mapping

Questions



Thank you!

Contact:

Rob Flaner

FlanerRB@bv.com

(913) 458-7346 (MST)

Megan Brotherton

BrothertonMR@bv.com

(913) 458-6894 (HST)



MEETING SUMMARY

Steering Committee / Planning Partner Meeting #2

January 28, 2025

10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

Attendance

Steering Committee Member

Rae Bell Arbogast

Tom Archer

Danielle Bradfield

Vickie Clark

Magdalene DeBerg

Paul Douville

Billy Epps

Kathy Fischer

Mike Fisher

Victoria Fisher

Steve Folsom

Joe Griffin

Scott Hall

Lee Kiolbasa

Rick Maddalena (Chair)

Jeff McCollum (alt.)

Sue McIlravy

Brandon Pangman

Tina Slowan-Pomeroy

Sean Snider

Bruce Troedson

Janet Drummond (alt.)

LeTina Vanetti (Vice Chair)

Representing

Alleghany County Water District

Pliocene Ridge Community Services District

Sierraville Public Utility District

Sierra County Fire Safe Council

Family Resource Center (FRC)/Food Bank

Inc. Senior Citizens of Sierra County/Meals on Wheels/Food Bank

Downieville Public Utility District

Sierra County Public Works

Downieville Day Spa

Sierra County Sheriff's Office

Eastern Plumas Health Care Board

Downieville Fire Protection District

USFS Leadership Tahoe Forest

Sierra City Fire Protection District

Liberty Utilities

Sierra County Fire Protection District #1

City of Loyalton

Sierra County Building/Planning

Sierra County

Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District

Sierra County Waterworks Calpine District No. 1

Sierra County OES

Black & Veatch

Rob Flaner, Project Manager

Megan Brotherton, Lead Planner

Carol Baumann, Risk Assessment Lead

Erin Schanen, Planner

Additional Attendees

Bryan Davey, Sierra County Public Works

Katie Russell, Public Health Emergency Preparedness

Sharon Johnson, Sierra County Environmental Health



Agenda Item and Summary

1. Welcome and Introductions	<p>Motion to approve the October meeting summary: LeTina Vanetti Second: Sue McIlravy No objections</p>
2. Confirm Vision Statement for the Plan	<p>Committee recommended including the italicized words in the suggested vision statement:</p> <p>“Reduce the vulnerability to <i>and protect against</i> natural hazards in order to protect the health, safety, welfare, and economy of the Sierra County community.”</p> <p>Motion to adopt vision statement as revised: Sue McIlravy Second: LeTina Vanetti No objections</p>
3. Confirm Mitigation Goals	<p>Committee recommended removing the term “climate change” from Goal #2 suggested by the CPT and revise as follows:</p> <p>“Minimize or reduce current and future changing conditions that may cause damage from natural hazards to property, including critical facilities and the environment.”</p> <p>All other goals are acceptable.</p> <p>Megan to follow up with Cal OES to verify that the term “climate change” can be eliminated from the goals. Cal OES response on January 28, 2025: It is not incorrect to exclude the term “climate change” from the goals, but the term “future changing conditions” needs to be defined in the text of the plan.</p> <p>Motion to accept goals as revised: Bruce Troedson Second: Brandon Pangman No objections</p>
4. Risk Assessment Overview	<p>Committee recommended changing the dividing line between Eastern and Western Sierra County to include Independence Lake with Eastern Sierra County.</p> <p>Bryan Pangman noted that the current FEMA flood mapping does not include the Sierra Valley, so the 100-yr flood map should be revised.</p> <p>Note: the map shown during the meeting should have been labeled as the California Flood Awareness Maps which is not adopted or enforced.</p>



	<p>The EQ fault line and epicenter maps from the General Plan Safety Element will be included in the HMP.</p> <p>CAL FIRE is updating the State Responsibility Area mapping and will be available later this month. The updated maps will be used in the HMP.</p> <p>Add a narrative in the plan that describes the difference between mapped fire severity in mapped Federal and privately owned land.</p> <p>Watch Duty app shows historic fire perimeters. Integrate the CWPP with the HMP. County to share POCs for the CWPP update.</p>
<p>5. Planning Partner Annex Overview of Phase 1</p>	<p>Annex templates and instructions will be shared with the Planning Partners and all will have until the end of February to complete Phase 1.</p> <p>Black & Veatch will set up a technical assistance call on February 12 to help Planning Partners who want to call in.</p> <p>Fire District response boundaries will be shared with Black & Veatch, but good GIS data is not available for actual district boundaries. Consider adding a mitigation action item to clearly identify district boundaries in a GIS platform.</p>
<p>6. Next Steps</p>	<p>Public Outreach Update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to promote the County hazard mitigation web page and public hazard awareness survey. • 148 responses have been received from the survey with 69 people who have signed up to receive hazard awareness emails. • The survey can be left open for the duration of the planning process. <p>Phase 1 Annex templates will be released soon. Phase 2 will be released in March.</p> <p>Continue to participate in public outreach efforts for the plan.</p> <p>Meeting adjourned 11:27 a.m.</p>



MEETING SUMMARY

Steering Committee / Planning Partner Meeting #3

April 22, 2025

10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

Attendance

Steering Committee Member

Rae Bell Arbogast

Tom Archer

Danielle Bradfield

Vickie Clark

Magdalene DeBerg

Paul Douville

Billy Epps

Kathy Fischer

Mike Fisher

Victoria Fisher

Steve Folsom

Joe Griffin

Scott Hall

Lee Kiolbasa

Rick Maddalena (Chair)

Jeff McCollum (alt.)

Sue McIlravy

Brandon Pangman

Tina Slowan-Pomeroy

Sean Snider

Bruce Troedson

Janet Drummond (alt.)

LeTina Vanetti (Vice Chair)

Representing

Alleghany County Water District

Pliocene Ridge Community Services District

Sierraville Public Utility District

Sierra County Fire Safe Council

Family Resource Center (FRC)/Food Bank

Inc. Senior Citizens of Sierra County/Meals on Wheels/Food Bank

Downieville Public Utility District

Sierra County Public Works

Downieville Day Spa

Sierra County Sheriff's Office

Eastern Plumas Health Care Board

Downieville Fire Protection District

USFS Leadership Tahoe Forest

Sierra City Fire Protection District

Liberty Utilities

Sierra County Fire Protection District #1

City of Loyalton

Sierra County Building/Planning

Sierra County

Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District

Sierra County Waterworks Calpine District No. 1

Sierra County OES

Black & Veatch

Rob Flaner, Project Manager

Megan Brotherton, Lead Planner

Carol Baumann, Risk Assessment Lead

Erin Schanen, Planner

Additional Attendees

Bryan Davey, Sierra County Public Works



Agenda Item and Summary

1. Welcome	
2. Approval January Meeting Summary	<p>The approval for the January Meeting Summary will allow for the Meeting Summary to be posted online and shared with the public.</p> <p>Motion to approve the January Meeting Summary: Bryan Davey Second motion: Janet Drummond</p> <p>No objections were made. The January Meeting Summary was approved by the Steering Committee/Planning Partners.</p>
3. Revise FEMA Planning Requirements	<p>Rob Flaner with Black & Veatch shared an update on the current changes occurring at the federal level for the FEMA programs and planning guidance.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local HMP will continue the planned course in alignment with the State of California HMP and state mandated initiatives. <p>The CalOES plan review tool has not yet been published, but the Black & Veatch team will continue to monitor and adjust as needed.</p>
4. Vulnerable Communities for Mitigation Planning	<p>Vulnerable communities for the Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local HMP are to be defined through the two categories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visiting populations • Isolated populations <p>No objections from the Steering Committee/Planning Partners for definition of vulnerable populations for the Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional Local HMP.</p> <p>Visiting populations will be identified by overnight accommodation locations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Campgrounds • Brandan Pangman will provide a list of permitted short-term vacation rentals. • Environmental Health will have the list of group camps: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Boy/Girl scout camps ○ Religious camps
5. Public Outreach Update	<p>The public survey has 151 respondents. Results to date will be compiled and shared with the Planning Partners/Steering Committee.</p>



	<p>Planning Partners should continue to list any mitigation outreach done in their jurisdiction such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Social media or website posts• Notifications on bulletin boards or in utility bills <p>Black & Veatch will share public outreach content with Sean for the School District to distribute via social media or other appropriate platforms</p>
<p>6. Planning Partner Annex Development</p>	<p>Megan Brotherton with Black & Veatch will plan to share Phase 2 of the Planning Partner Annexes with supporting material.</p> <p>The Planning Partner Annexes include the following sections for development: Natural Hazard Events, Priority Risk Index, and Hazard Mitigation Action plan.</p> <p><u>Natural Hazard Event</u> table contains pre-populated event records in each of the Planning Partner Annexes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Planning Partners will then include a brief narrative how the natural hazard may have impacted or delete the hazard if no impact can be noted for the jurisdiction. <p><u>Priority Risk Index</u> table provides pre-populated data and includes a ranking criterion for each of the natural hazards.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Priority Risk Index should be tailored to the jurisdiction’s responsibility. For example, a Water District should indicate High Risk for Drought and a Fire District should indicate High Risk for Wildfire.• Megan confirmed that the Priority Risk Ranking and the overall Hazard Mitigation Plan does not impact fire insurance rates. <p>As part of discussion of Natural Hazard Events and Priority Risk Index, there is a history of levee/dam failure on private property for non-permitted structures. There is code enforcement and permitting of private dams.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Bryan Davey at Sierra County Public Works may be able to pull forward a history of the damages to County managed roads and/or structures as a result of levee/dam failure on private property. <p><u>Hazard Mitigation Action Plan</u> table includes pre-populated, best practice actions. An additional list of potential mitigation actions will be shared with the Steering Committee/Planning Partners for their consideration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Planning partners may include additional mitigation actions within the table.



	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Integration actions and opportunities can be documented within the table. <p>The Black & Veatch team is available to schedule a technical assistance call as the Steering Committee/Planning Partners move through the Phase 2 Annex.</p> <p>Target date for completion for the Planning Partner Annexes is May 31.</p>
7. Next Steps	<p>The next Steering Committee/Planning Partners meeting is <i>tentatively</i> scheduled for May 29.</p> <p>If Steering Committee/Planning Partners are interested in the DR-4856-HMGP Notice of Funding Opportunity, the HMGP Notice of Interest (NOI) are due to OES through the Engage Cal OES Portal by May 29, 2025.</p> <p>Meeting adjourned at 11:35 AM PT.</p>



MEETING SUMMARY

Steering Committee / Planning Partner Meeting #4

July 31, 2025

8:30 a.m. – 11:30 p.m.

Attendance

Steering Committee Member

Rae Bell Arbogast

Tom Archer

Danielle Bradfield

Vickie Clark

Magdalene DeBerg

Paul Douville

Billy Epps

Kathy Fischer

Mike Fisher

Victoria Fisher

Steve Folsom

Joe Griffin

Scott Hall

Lee Kiolbasa

Rick Maddalena (Chair)

Jeff McCollum (alt.)

Sue McIlravy

Brandon Pangman

Tina Slowan-Pomeroy

Sean Snider

Bruce Troedson

Janet Drummond (alt.)

LeTina Vanetti (Vice Chair)

Representing

Alleghany County Water District

Pliocene Ridge Community Services District

Sierraville Public Utility District

Sierra County Fire Safe Council

Family Resource Center (FRC)/Food Bank

Inc. Senior Citizens of Sierra County/Meals on Wheels/Food Bank

Downieville Public Utility District

Sierra County Public Works

Downieville Day Spa

Sierra County Sheriff's Office

Eastern Plumas Health Care Board

Downieville Fire Protection District

USFS Leadership Tahoe Forest

Sierra City Fire Protection District

Liberty Utilities

Sierra County Fire Protection District #1

City of Loyalton

Sierra County Building/Planning

Sierra County

Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District

Sierra County Waterworks Calpine District No. 1

Sierra County OES

Black & Veatch

Rob Flaner, Project Manager

Megan Brotherton, Lead Planner

Carol Baumann, Risk Assessment Lead

Erin Schanen, Planner

Additional Attendees

Bryan Davey, Sierra County Public Works



Agenda Item and Summary

<p>1. Welcome</p>	
<p>2. Draft plan sections will be available soon on the County website. You'll receive the link via email when it is posted to access the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Volume 1 – the main part of the plan • Individual Planning Partner Annexes 	<p>The purpose of the final Steering Committee/Planning Partner meeting is to review the draft plan and the various sections included.</p> <p>The draft plan and annexes are uploaded to the Sierra County website for public review and comment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An Executive Summary for the Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP. • Sierra County Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP Volume 1 that focuses on Countywide Information. • The Planning Partner Annexes uploaded to the website as individual links to support easier review of the respective jurisdictions. <p>What is the easiest way for comment on the draft plan or the annexes?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Survey Monkey comment tool is linked on the website for the public to provide comments. • For the Planning Partners, be welcomed to send a direct email to Black & Veatch. <p>Black & Veatch provided an overall overview and summary of the risk ranking results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High: Extreme Heat, Flood, Landslide/Mass Movement, Wildfire, and Winter Storm • Medium: Avalanche, Drought, and Earthquake • Low: Dam Failure and Volcanic Activity <p>Once the draft plan has gone through public review and comments have been integrated, the MJHMP will be submitted for CalOES review.</p>
<p>3. Next Steps</p>	<p>The draft plan will be available for review until August 10, 2025. Steering Committee/Planning Partners to review and provide comment for the final MJHMP and respective Annexes.</p> <p>Meeting adjourned at 11:10 AM PT.</p>



APPENDIX D. FEDERAL AND STATE AGENCIES, PROGRAMS, AND REGULATIONS

FEDERAL

Americans with Disabilities Act

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) seeks to prevent discrimination against people with disabilities in employment, transportation, public accommodation, communications, and government activities. Title II of the ADA deals with compliance with the Act in emergency management and disaster-related programs, services, and activities. It applies to state and local governments as well as third parties, including religious entities and private nonprofit organizations.

The ADA has implications for sheltering requirements and public notifications. During an emergency alert, officials must use a combination of warning methods to ensure that all residents have all necessary information. Those with hearing impairments may not hear radio, television, sirens, or other audible alerts, while those with visual impairments may not see flashing lights or other visual alerts. Two technical documents for shelter operators address physical accessibility needs of people with disabilities, as well as medical needs and service animals.

The ADA intersects with disaster preparedness programs in regards to transportation, social services, temporary housing, and rebuilding. Persons with disabilities may require additional assistance in evacuation and transit (e.g., vehicles with wheelchair lifts or paratransit buses). Evacuation and other response plans should address the unique needs of residents. Local governments may be interested in implementing a special-needs registry to identify the home addresses, contact information, and needs for residents who may require more assistance.

FEMA hazard mitigation project grant applications require full compliance with applicable federal acts. Any action identified in this plan that falls within the scope of this act will need to meet its requirements.

Civil Rights Act

The Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex or nation origin and requires equal access to public places and employment. The Act is relevant to emergency management and hazard mitigation in that it prohibits local governments from favoring the needs of one population group over another. Local government and emergency response must ensure the continued safety and well-being of all residents equally, to the extent possible. FEMA hazard mitigation project grant applications require full compliance with applicable federal acts. Any action identified in this plan that falls within the scope of this act will need to meet its requirements.

Clean Water Act

The federal Clean Water Act (CWA) employs regulatory and non-regulatory tools to reduce direct pollutant discharges into waterways, finance municipal wastewater treatment facilities, and manage



polluted runoff. These tools are employed to achieve the broader goal of restoring and maintaining the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the nation’s surface waters so that they can support “the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water.”

Evolution of CWA programs over the last decade has included a shift from a program-by-program, source-by-source, and pollutant-by-pollutant approach to more holistic watershed-based strategies. Under the watershed approach, equal emphasis is placed on protecting healthy waters and restoring impaired ones. Numerous issues are addressed, not just those subject to CWA regulatory authority. Involvement of stakeholder groups in the development and implementation of strategies for achieving and maintaining water quality and other environmental goals is a hallmark of this approach.

The CWA is important to hazard mitigation in several ways. There are often permitting requirements for any construction within 200 feet of water of the United States, which may have implications for mitigation projects identified by a local jurisdiction. Additionally, CWA requirements apply to wetlands, which serve important functions related to preserving and protecting the natural and beneficial functions of floodplains and are linked with a community’s floodplain management program. Finally, the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System is part of the CWA and addresses local stormwater management programs. Stormwater management plays a critical role in hazard mitigation by addressing urban drainage or localized flooding issues within jurisdictions.

FEMA hazard mitigation project grant applications require full compliance with applicable federal acts. Any action identified in this plan that falls within the scope of this act will need to meet its requirements.

Community Development Block Grant Disaster Resilience Program

In response to disasters, Congress may appropriate additional funding for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Community Development Block Grant programs to be distributed as Disaster Recovery grants (CDBG-DR). These grants can be used to rebuild affected areas and provide seed money to start the recovery process. CDBG-DR assistance may fund a broad range of recovery activities, helping communities and neighborhoods that otherwise might not recover due to limited resources. CDBG-DR grants often supplement disaster programs of FEMA, the Small Business Administration, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Housing and Urban Development generally awards noncompetitive, nonrecurring CDBG-DR grants by a formula that considers disaster recovery needs unmet by other federal disaster assistance programs. To be eligible for CDBG-DR funds, projects must meet the following criteria:

- Address a disaster-related impact (direct or indirect) in a presidentially declared county for the covered disaster
- Be a CDBG-eligible activity (according to regulations and waivers)
- Meet a national objective.

Incorporating preparedness and mitigation into these actions is encouraged, as the goal is to rebuild in ways that are safer and stronger. CDBG-DR funding is a potential alternative source of funding for actions identified in this plan.



Community Rating System

The CRS is a voluntary program within the NFIP that encourages floodplain management activities that exceed the minimum NFIP requirements. Flood insurance premiums are discounted to reflect the reduced flood risk resulting from community actions meeting the following three goals of the CRS:

- Reduce flood losses.
- Facilitate accurate insurance rating.
- Promote awareness of flood insurance.

For participating communities, flood insurance premium rates are discounted in increments of 5 percent. For example, a Class 1 community would receive a 45 percent premium discount, and a Class 9 community would receive a 5 percent discount. (Class 10 communities are those that do not participate in the CRS; they receive no discount.) The discount partially depends on location of the property. Properties outside the special flood hazard area receive smaller discounts: a 10-percent discount if the community is at Class 1 to 6 and a 5-percent discount if the community is at Class 7 to 9. The CRS classes for local communities are based on 18 creditable activities in the following categories:

- Public information
- Mapping and regulations
- Flood damage reduction
- Flood preparedness.

CRS activities can help to save lives and reduce property damage. Communities participating in the CRS represent a significant portion of the nation's flood risk; over 66 percent of the NFIP's policy base is located in these communities. Communities receiving premium discounts through the CRS range from small to large and represent a broad mixture of flood risks, including both coastal and riverine flood risks.

Disaster Mitigation Act

The DMA is the current federal legislation addressing hazard mitigation planning. It emphasizes planning for disasters before they occur. It specifically addresses planning at the local level, requiring plans to be in place before Hazard Mitigation Assistance grant funds are available to communities. This plan is designed to meet the requirements of DMA, improving eligibility for future hazard mitigation funds.

Emergency Relief for Federally Owned Roads Program

The U.S. Forest Service's Emergency Relief for Federally Owned Roads Program was established to assist federal agencies with repair or reconstruction of tribal transportation facilities, federal lands transportation facilities, and other federally owned roads that are open to public travel and have suffered serious damage by a natural disaster over a wide area or by a catastrophic failure. The program funds both emergency and permanent repairs. Eligible activities under this program meet some of the goals and objectives for this plan and the program is a possible funding source for actions identified in this plan.



Emergency Watershed Program

The USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) administers the Emergency Watershed Protection (EWP) Program, which responds to emergencies created by natural disasters. Eligibility for assistance is not dependent on a national emergency declaration. The program is designed to help people and conserve natural resources by relieving imminent hazards to life and property caused by floods, fires, windstorms, and other natural occurrences. EWP is an emergency recovery program. Financial and technical assistance are available for the following activities:

- Remove debris from stream channels, road culverts, and bridges
- Reshape and protect eroded banks
- Correct damaged drainage facilities
- Establish cover on critically eroding lands
- Repair levees and structures
- Repair conservation practices.

This federal program could be a possible funding source for actions identified in this plan.

Endangered Species Act

The federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) was enacted in 1973 to conserve species facing depletion or extinction and the ecosystems that support them. The act sets forth a process for determining which species are threatened and endangered and requires the conservation of the critical habitat in which those species live. The ESA provides broad protection for species of fish, wildlife and plants that are listed as threatened or endangered. Provisions are made for listing species, as well as for recovery plans and the designation of critical habitat for listed species. The ESA outlines procedures for federal agencies to follow when taking actions that may jeopardize listed species and contains exceptions and exemptions. It is the enabling legislation for the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora. Criminal and civil penalties are provided for violations of the ESA and the Convention.

Federal agencies must seek to conserve endangered and threatened species and use their authorities in furtherance of the ESA's purposes. The ESA defines three fundamental terms:

- Endangered means that a species of fish, animal or plant is "in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range." (For salmon and other vertebrate species, this may include subspecies and distinct population segments.)
- Threatened means that a species "is likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future." Regulations may be less restrictive for threatened species than for endangered species.
- Critical habitat means "specific geographical areas that are...essential for the conservation and management of a listed species, whether occupied by the species or not."

Five sections of the ESA are of critical importance to understanding it:

- Section 4: Listing of a Species—The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries Service (NOAA Fisheries) is responsible for listing marine species; the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is responsible for listing terrestrial and freshwater aquatic species. The agencies may



initiate reviews for listings, or residents may petition for them. A listing must be made “solely on the basis of the best scientific and commercial data available.” After a listing has been proposed, agencies receive comment and conduct further scientific reviews for 12 to 18 months, after which they must decide if the listing is warranted. Economic impacts cannot be considered in this decision, but it may include an evaluation of the adequacy of local and state protections. Critical habitat for the species may be designated at the time of listing.

- Section 7: Consultation—Federal agencies must ensure that any action they authorize, fund, or carry out is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a listed or proposed species or adversely modify its critical habitat. This includes private and public actions that require a federal permit. Once a final listing is made, non-federal actions are subject to the same review, termed a “consultation.” If the listing agency finds that an action will “take” a species, it must propose mitigations or “reasonable and prudent” alternatives to the action; if the proponent rejects these, the action cannot proceed.
- Section 9: Prohibition of Take—It is unlawful to “take” an endangered species, including killing or injuring it or modifying its habitat in a way that interferes with essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding or sheltering.
- Section 10: Permitted Take—Through voluntary agreements with the federal government that provide protections to an endangered species, a non-federal applicant may commit a take that would otherwise be prohibited as long as it is incidental to an otherwise lawful activity (such as developing land or building a road). These agreements often take the form of a “Habitat Conservation Plan.”
- Section 11: Citizen Lawsuits—Civil actions initiated by any citizen can require the listing agency to enforce the ESA’s prohibition of taking or to meet the requirements of the consultation process.

FEMA hazard mitigation project grant applications require full compliance with applicable federal acts. Any action identified in this plan that falls within the scope of this act will need to meet its requirements.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Dam Safety Program

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) cooperates with a large number of federal and state agencies to ensure and promote dam safety. More than 3,000 dams are part of regulated hydroelectric projects in the FERC program. Two-thirds of these are more than 50 years old. As dams age, concern about their safety and integrity grows, so oversight and regular inspection are important. FERC inspects hydroelectric projects on an unscheduled basis to investigate the following:

- Potential dam safety problems
- Complaints about constructing and operating a project
- Safety concerns related to natural disasters
- Issues concerning compliance with the terms and conditions of a license.

Every five years, an independent engineer approved by the FERC must inspect and evaluate projects with dams higher than 32.8 feet (10 meters), or with a total storage capacity of more than 2,000 acre-feet.

FERC monitors seismic research and applies it in performing structural analyses of hydroelectric projects. FERC also evaluates the effects of potential and actual large floods on the safety of dams.



During and following floods, FERC visits dams and licensed projects, determines the extent of damage, if any, and directs any necessary studies or remedial measures the licensee must undertake. The FERC publication Engineering Guidelines for the Evaluation of Hydropower Projects guides the FERC engineering staff and licensees in evaluating dam safety. The publication is frequently revised to reflect current information and methodologies.

FERC requires licensees to prepare emergency action plans and conducts training sessions on how to develop and test these plans. The plans outline an early warning system if there is an actual or potential sudden release of water from a dam due to failure. The plans include operational procedures that may be used, such as reducing reservoir levels and reducing downstream flows, as well as procedures for notifying affected residents and agencies responsible for emergency management. These plans are frequently updated and tested to ensure that everyone knows what to do in emergency situations.

National Dam Safety Act

Potential for catastrophic flooding due to dam failures led to passage of the National Dam Inspection Act in 1972, creation of the National Dam Safety Program in 1996, and reauthorization of the program through the Dam Safety Act in 2006. National Dam Safety Program, administered by FEMA requires a periodic engineering analysis of the majority of dams in the country; exceptions include the following:

- Dams under jurisdiction of the Bureau of Reclamation, Tennessee Valley Authority, or International Boundary and Water Commission
- Dams constructed pursuant to licenses issued under the Federal Power Act
- Dams that the Secretary of the Army determines do not pose any threat to human life or property.

The goal of this FEMA-monitored effort is to identify and mitigate the risk of dam failure so as to protect lives and property of the public. The National Dam Safety Program is a partnership among the states, federal agencies, and other stakeholders that encourages individual and community responsibility for dam safety. Under FEMA's leadership, state assistance funds have allowed all participating states to improve their programs through increased inspections, emergency action planning, and purchases of needed equipment. FEMA has also expanded existing and initiated new training programs. Grant assistance from FEMA provides support for improvement of dam safety programs that regulate most of the dams in the United States.

National Environmental Policy Act

The National Environmental Policy Act requires federal agencies to consider the environmental impacts of proposed actions and reasonable alternatives to those actions, alongside technical and economic considerations. The National Environmental Policy Act established the Council on Environmental Quality, whose regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508) set standards for compliance. Consideration and decision-making regarding environmental impacts must be documented in an environmental impact statement or environmental assessment. Environmental impact assessment requires the evaluation of reasonable alternatives to a proposed action, solicitation of input from organizations and individuals that could be affected, and an unbiased presentation of direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental impacts. FEMA hazard mitigation project grant applications require full compliance with applicable federal acts. Any action identified in this plan that falls within the scope of this act will need to meet its requirements.



National Flood Insurance Program

The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) makes federally backed flood insurance available to homeowners, renters, and business owners in participating communities that enact floodplain regulations. Participation and good standing under NFIP are prerequisites to grant funding eligibility under the Robert T. Stafford Act.

For most participating communities, FEMA has prepared a detailed Flood Insurance Study. The study presents water surface elevations for floods of various magnitudes, including the 1-percent-annual-chance flood and the 0.2-percent-annual-chance flood. Base flood elevations and the boundaries of the flood hazard areas are shown on Flood Insurance Rate Maps, which are the principle tool for identifying the extent and location of the flood hazard. Flood Insurance Rate Maps are the most detailed and consistent data source available, and for many communities they represent the minimum area of oversight under the local floodplain management program. In recent years, Flood Insurance Rate Maps have been digitized as Digital Flood Insurance Rate Maps, which are more accessible to residents, local governments and stakeholders.

NFIP participants must, at a minimum, regulate development in floodplain areas in accordance with NFIP criteria. Before issuing a permit to build in a floodplain, participating jurisdictions must ensure that three criteria are met:

- New buildings and those undergoing substantial improvements must, at a minimum, be elevated to protect against damage by the 1-percent-annual-chance flood.
- New floodplain development must not aggravate existing flood problems or increase damage to other properties.
- New floodplain development must exercise a reasonable and prudent effort to reduce its adverse impacts on threatened salmonid species.

NFIP participation is limited to local governments that possess permit authority and have the ability to adopt and enforce regulations that govern land use. This does not typically apply to special purpose districts. None of the special purpose district planning partners covered by this plan are eligible to participate in the NFIP, so their action plans do not address NFIP participation.

National Incident Management System

The National Incident Management System (NIMS) is a systematic approach for government, nongovernmental organizations, and the private sector to work together to manage incidents involving hazards. The NIMS provides a flexible but standardized set of incident management practices. Incidents typically begin and end locally, and they are managed at the lowest possible geographical, organizational, and jurisdictional level. In some cases, success depends on the involvement of multiple jurisdictions, levels of government, functional agencies, and emergency responder disciplines. These cases necessitate coordination across a spectrum of organizations. Communities using NIMS follow a comprehensive national approach that improves the effectiveness of emergency management and response personnel across the full spectrum of potential hazards (including natural hazards, technological hazards, and human-caused hazards) regardless of size or complexity.



Although participation is voluntary, federal departments and agencies are required to make adoption of NIMS by local and state jurisdictions a condition to receive federal preparedness grants and awards. The content of this plan is considered to be a viable support tool for any phase of emergency management. The NIMS program is considered as a response function, and information in this hazard mitigation plan can support the implementation and update of all NIMS-compliant plans within the planning area.

National Landslide Preparedness Act

The 2021 National Landslide Preparedness Act authorized a national landslide hazards reduction program and a 3D elevation program within the USGS. This broadened the existing Landslide Hazards Program (under the Natural Hazards Mission Area) and the 3D Elevation Program (under the National Geospatial Program). The act required coordination among federal agencies through an Interagency Coordinating Committee on Landslide Hazards representing USGS and other agencies. The act calls for development of a national strategy for landslide loss reduction and a publicly accessible national landslide database of landslide hazard and risk.

Presidential Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management

Executive Order 11988 requires federal agencies to avoid to the extent possible the long and short-term adverse impacts associated with the occupancy and modification of floodplains and to avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development wherever there is a practicable alternative. It requires federal agencies to provide leadership and take action to reduce the risk of flood loss, minimize the impact of floods on human safety, health, and welfare, and restore and preserve the natural and beneficial values of floodplains. The requirements apply to the following activities:

- Acquiring, managing, and disposing of federal lands and facilities
- Providing federally undertaken, financed, or assisted construction and improvements
- Conducting federal activities and programs affecting land use, including but not limited to water and related land resources planning, regulation, and licensing.

Presidential Executive Order 11990, Protection of Wetlands

Executive Order 11990 requires federal agencies to provide leadership and take action to minimize the destruction, loss or degradation of wetlands, and to preserve and enhance the natural and beneficial values of wetlands. The requirements apply to the following activities:

- Acquiring, managing, and disposing of federal lands and facilities
- Providing federally undertaken, financed, or assisted construction and improvements
- Conducting federal activities and programs affecting land use, including but not limited to water and related land resources planning, regulation, and licensing.

All actions identified in this plan will seek full compliance with all applicable presidential executive orders.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Dam Safety Program

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers operates and maintains approximately 700 dams nationwide. It is also responsible for safety inspections of some federal and non-federal dams in the United States that meet the size and storage limitations specified in the National Dam Safety Act. The Corps has inventoried



dams; surveyed each state and federal agency’s capabilities, practices and regulations regarding design, construction, operation and maintenance of the dams; and developed guidelines for inspection and evaluation of dam safety. The Corps maintains the National Inventory of Dams, which contains information about a dam’s location, size, purpose, type, last inspection and regulatory status.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Flood Hazard Management

The following U.S. Army Corps of Engineers authorities and programs related to flood hazard management:

- The Floodplain Management Services program offers 100-percent federally funded technical services such as development and interpretation of site-specific data related to the extent, duration and frequency of flooding. Special studies may be conducted to help a community understand and respond to flood risk. These may include flood hazard evaluation, flood warning and preparedness, or flood modeling.
- For more extensive studies, the Corps of Engineers offers a cost-shared program called Planning Assistance to States and Tribes. Studies under this program generally range from \$25,000 to \$100,000 with the local jurisdiction providing 50 percent of the cost.
- The Corps of Engineers has several cost-shared programs (typically 65 percent federal and 35 percent non-federal) aimed at developing, evaluating and implementing structural and non-structural capital projects to address flood risks at specific locations or within a specific watershed:
 - The Continuing Authorities Program for smaller-scale projects includes Section 205 for Flood Control, with a \$7 million federal limit and Section 14 for Emergency Streambank Protection with a \$1.5 million federal limit. These can be implemented without specific authorization from Congress.
 - Larger scale studies, referred to as General Investigations, and projects for flood risk management, for ecosystem restoration or to address other water resource issues, can be pursued through a specific authorization from Congress and are cost-shared, typically at 65 percent federal and 35 percent non-federal.
 - Watershed management planning studies can be specifically authorized and are cost-shared at 50 percent federal and 50 percent non-federal.
- The Corps of Engineers provides emergency response assistance during and following natural disasters. Public Law 84-99 enables the Corps to assist state and local authorities in flood fight activities and cost share in the repair of flood protective structures. Assistance is provided in the following categories:
 - Preparedness—The Flood Control and Coastal Emergency Act establishes an emergency fund for preparedness for emergency response to natural disasters; for flood fighting and rescue operations; for rehabilitation of flood control and hurricane protection structures. Funding for Corps of Engineers emergency response under this authority is provided by Congress through the annual Energy and Water Development Appropriation Act. Disaster preparedness activities include coordination, planning, training and conduct of response exercises with local, state and federal agencies.
 - Response Activities—Public Law 84-99 allows the Corps of Engineers to supplement state and local entities in flood fighting urban and other non-agricultural areas under certain conditions (Engineering Regulation 500-1-1 provides specific details). All flood fight efforts



require a project cooperation agreement signed by the public sponsor and the sponsor must remove all flood fight material after the flood has receded. Public Law 84-99 also authorizes emergency water support and drought assistance in certain situations and allows for “advance measures” assistance to prevent or reduce flood damage conditions of imminent threat of unusual flooding.

- Rehabilitation—Under Public Law 84-99, an eligible flood protection system can be rehabilitated if damaged by a flood event. The flood system would be restored to its pre-disaster status at no cost to the federal system owner, and at 20-percent cost to the eligible non-federal system owner. All systems considered eligible for Public Law 84-99 rehabilitation assistance have to be in the Rehabilitation and Inspection Program prior to the flood event. Acceptable operation and maintenance by the public levee sponsor are verified by levee inspections conducted by the Corps on a regular basis. The Corps has the responsibility to coordinate levee repair issues with interested federal, state, and local agencies following natural disaster events where flood control works are damaged.

These authorities and programs are all available to the planning partners to support any related mitigation actions.

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Safety Evaluation of Existing Dams Program

The U.S. Bureau of Reclamation’s Safety Evaluation of Existing Dams Program was officially implemented in 1978 with passage of the Reclamation Safety of Dams Act (Public Law 95-578). This act was amended in 1984 under Public Law 98-404, in 2000 under Public Law 106-377, in 2002 under Public Law 107-117, and in 2004 under Public Law 108-439. Program development and administration of dam safety activities is the responsibility of the Bureau of Reclamation’s Dam Safety Office located in Denver, Colorado.

Dams must be operated and maintained in a safe manner, ensured through inspections for safety deficiencies, analyses utilizing current technologies and designs, and corrective actions if needed based on current engineering practices. In addition, future evaluations should include assessments of benefits foregone with the loss of a dam. For example, a failed dam can no longer provide needed fish and wildlife benefits.

The primary emphasis of the Safety Evaluation of Existing Dams program is to perform site evaluations and to identify potential safety deficiencies on Bureau of Reclamation and other Interior Department dams. The basic objective is to quickly identify dams which pose an increased threat to the public, and to quickly complete the related analyses in order to expedite corrective action decisions and safeguard the public and associated resources. The selected course of action relies on assessments of risks and liabilities with environmental and public involvement input to the decision-making process.

U.S. Fire Administration

There are federal agencies that provide technical support to fire agencies/organizations. For example, the U.S. Fire Administration, which is a part of FEMA, provides leadership, advocacy, coordination, and support for fire agencies and organizations.



STATE

AB 32: The California Global Warming Solutions Act

This bill identifies the following potential adverse impacts of global warming:

“... the exacerbation of air quality problems, a reduction in the quality and supply of water to the state from the Sierra snowpack, a rise in sea levels resulting in the displacement of thousands of coastal businesses and residences, damage to marine ecosystems and the natural environment, and an increase in the incidences of infectious diseases, asthma, and other human health-related problems.”

AB 32 establishes a state goal of reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels by 2020 (a reduction of approximately 25 percent from forecast emission levels), with further reductions to follow. The law requires the state Air Resources Board to do the following:

- Establish a program to track and report greenhouse gas emissions.
- Approve a scoping plan for achieving the maximum technologically feasible and cost-effective reductions from sources of greenhouse gas emissions.
- Adopt early reduction measures to begin moving forward.
- Adopt, implement, and enforce regulations—including market mechanisms such as “cap and trade” programs—to ensure that the required reductions occur.

The Air Resources Board has adopted a statewide greenhouse gas emissions limit and an emissions inventory, along with requirements to measure, track, and report greenhouse gas emissions by the industries it determined to be significant sources of greenhouse gas emissions.

AB 38: Fire safety: Low-Cost Retrofits: Regional Capacity Review: Wildfire Mitigation

Requires the seller of any real property located in a high or very fire hazard severity zone to provide a disclosure notice, as specified, to the buyer with information relating to fire hardening improvements on the property.

Requires the California Natural Resources Agency, in consultation with the State Fire Marshal and the Forest Management Task Force, to review the regional capacity of each county that contains a very high fire hazard severity zone to improve forest health, fire resilience, and safety.

Requires the California Office of Emergency Services to enter into a joint powers agreement with the Department of Forestry and Fire Protection to administer a comprehensive wildfire mitigation and assistance program to encourage cost-effective structure hardening and facilitate vegetation management, contingent upon appropriation by the Legislature.

AB 70: Flood Liability

This bill provides that a city or county may be required to contribute a fair and reasonable share to compensate for property damage caused by a flood to the extent that it has increased the state’s exposure to liability for property damage by unreasonably approving new development in a previously undeveloped area that is protected by a state flood control project, unless the city or county meets specified requirements.



AB 162: Flood Planning

This California State Assembly Bill passed in 2007 requires cities and counties to address flood-related matters in the land use, conservation, and safety and housing elements of their general plans. The land use element must identify and annually review the areas covered by the general plan that are subject to flooding as identified in floodplain mapping by either FEMA or the state Department of Water Resources (DWR). During the next revision of the housing element on or after January 1, 2009, the conservation element of the general plan must identify rivers, creeks, streams, flood corridors, riparian habitat, and land that may accommodate floodwater for the purpose of groundwater recharge and stormwater management. The safety element must identify information regarding flood hazards, including:

- Flood hazard zones
- Maps published by FEMA, DWR, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the Central Valley Flood Protection Board, and the Governor’s Office of Emergency Services (Cal OES)
- Historical data on flooding
- Existing and planned development in flood hazard zones.

The general plan must establish goals, policies and objectives related to flooding risks, including:

- Avoiding or minimizing the risks of flooding new development
- Evaluating whether new development should be located in flood hazard zones
- Identifying construction methods to minimize damage.

AB 162 establishes goals, policies and objectives related to flooding risks. It establishes procedures for the determination of available land suitable for urban development, which may exclude lands where FEMA or DWR has concluded that the flood management infrastructure is not adequate to avoid the risk of flooding.

AB 642: Wildfires

This omnibus fire prevention bill makes changes to support cultural and prescribed fire, including the creation of a Cultural Burning Liaison at the Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, and requires a proposal for creating a prescribed fire training center in California. The Act requires the Director of Forestry and Fire Protection to identify areas in the state as moderate and high fire hazard severity zones and to classify areas into fire hazard severity zones based on additional factors including possible lightning caused ignition. The bill requires a local agency, within 30 days of receiving a transmittal from the director that identifies fire hazard severity zones, to make the information available for public comment.

AB 747: Required Information for General Plan Safety Elements

This bill requires California communities with general plans to address evacuation routes in the safety element of the general plan. Information on the evacuation routes and their capacity, safety and viability under a range of emergency scenarios must be provided. For communities that have not adopted a local hazard mitigation plan, the safety element must be updated with this information by January 1, 2022. For those with a local hazard mitigation plan, the requirement applies upon the next revision of the hazard mitigation plan on or after January 1, 2022. Communities that have adopted a local hazard mitigation



plan, emergency operations plan, or other document that fulfills the goals and objectives of this law may comply with this requirement by summarizing and incorporating by reference the other plan or document in the safety element.

In subsequent revisions to the safety element, communities also will be required to identify new information relating to flood and fire hazards and climate adaptation and resiliency strategies applicable to the city or county that was not available during the previous revision of the safety element. These subsequent updates must occur upon each revision of the general plan housing element or local hazard mitigation plan and not less than once every eight years.

AB 800: Wildfires: Local General Plans: Safety Elements: Fire Hazard Severity Zones

Existing law requires the Director of Forestry and Fire Protection to identify areas of the state as very high fire hazard severity zones, and requires each planning agency to prepare, and the legislative body of each county and city to adopt, a comprehensive, long-term general plan, including a safety element, for the physical development of the county or city. Existing law requires each city or county that contains a very high fire hazard severity zone to submit the draft element of, or draft amendment to the safety element its general plan to the State Board of Forestry and Fire Protection and to every local agency that provides fire protection to territory in the city or county at least 90 days before adoption or amendment.

This requires the director to also identify areas of the state as moderate and high fire hazard severity zones. It requires the draft element of, or draft amendment to, the safety element of a county or city's general plan to be submitted to the state board and to every local agency that provides fire protection to territory in the city or county at least 90 days before the adoption or amendment to the safety element of its general plan for each city or county that contains a moderate or high fire hazard severity zone.

Existing law requires the state board and authorizes a local agency to review the draft or an existing safety element and recommend changes to the planning agency regarding uses of land and policies in state responsibility areas and very high fire hazard severity zones and regarding methods and strategies for wildland fire risk reduction and prevention within state responsibility areas and very high fire hazard severity zones.

This bill also requires the state board and authorizes a local agency to review the draft or an existing safety element and recommend changes to the planning agency regarding uses of land and policies in moderate and high fire hazard severity zones and regarding methods and strategies for wildland fire risk reduction and prevention within moderate and high fire hazard severity zones.

The existing Subdivision Map Act vests the authority to regulate and control the design and improvement of subdivisions in the legislative body of a local agency, and sets forth procedures governing the local agency's processing, approval, conditional approval, or disapproval, and filing of tentative, final, and parcel maps, and the modification thereof. The act generally requires a subdivider to file a tentative map or vesting tentative map with the local agency, and requires the local agency to approve, conditionally approve, or disapprove the map within a specified time period. Before approving a tentative map, or a parcel map for which a tentative map was not required, for an area located in a state responsibility area or a very high fire hazard severity zone, existing law requires a legislative body of a county to make



specified findings. Existing law requires a legislative body of a county to transmit these findings to the State Board of Forestry and Fire Protection.

This requires a legislative body of a county to make specified findings before approving a tentative map, or a parcel map for which a tentative map was not required, for areas located in moderate and high fire hazard severity zones, and requires these findings to be transmitted to the state board.

By requiring new duties on a county, the bill imposes a state-mandated local program. The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement. This bill provides that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to statutory provisions.

AB 2140: General Plans—Safety Element

This bill provides that the state may allow for more than 75 percent of public assistance funding under the California Disaster Assistance Act only if the local agency is in a jurisdiction that has adopted a local hazard mitigation plan as part of the safety element of its general plan. The local hazard mitigation plan needs to include elements specified in this legislation. In addition, this bill requires Cal OES to give preference for federal mitigation funding to cities and counties that have adopted local hazard mitigation plans. The intent of the bill is to encourage cities and counties to create and adopt hazard mitigation plans.

AB 2800: Climate Change—Infrastructure Planning

This California State Assembly bill passed in 2016 and until July 1, 2020, requires state agencies to take into account the current and future impacts of climate change when planning, designing, building, operating, maintaining, and investing in state infrastructure. The bill, by July 1, 2017, and until July 1, 2020, requires an agency to establish a Climate-Safe Infrastructure Working Group to examine how to integrate scientific data concerning projected climate change impacts into state infrastructure engineering.

Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act

The Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act was enacted in 1972 to mitigate the hazard of surface faulting to structures for human occupancy. The Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act's main purpose is to prevent construction of buildings used for human occupancy on the surface trace of active faults. Before a new project is permitted, cities and counties require a geologic investigation to demonstrate that proposed buildings will not be constructed on active faults. The act addresses only the hazard of surface fault rupture and is not directed toward other earthquake hazards, such as liquefaction or seismically induced landslides. The law requires the State of California Geologist to establish regulatory zones around the surface traces of active faults and to issue appropriate maps. The maps are distributed to all affected cities, counties, and state agencies for their use in planning and controlling new or renewed construction. Local agencies must regulate most development projects within the zones. Projects include all land divisions and most structures for human occupancy. All seismic hazard mitigation actions identified in this plan will seek full compliance with the Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act.



California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection

CAL FIRE has responsibility for wildfires in areas of the county that are not under the jurisdiction of the Forest Service or a local fire organization, including lands designated as State Responsibility Areas. CAL FIRE also has fire protection responsibilities by contract and mutual aid agreements. For example, CAL FIRE provides year-round fire protection under Amador Plan agreements with certain local government agencies (Public Resources Code §4144). Through these agreements, CAL FIRE provides local structural and wildfire protection or dispatch services to a community and maintains a staffing level that otherwise would be available only during the fire season. The local entity pays the additional cost of the service.

California Department of Parks and Recreation

State Parks manages portions of the California coastline including coastal wetlands, estuaries, beaches, and dune systems. The State Parks Resources Management Division has limited wildfire protection resources available to suppress fires on State Park lands.

California Department of Water Resources

In California, the DWR is the coordinating agency for floodplain management. The DWR works with FEMA and local governments by providing grants and technical assistance, evaluating community floodplain management programs, reviewing local floodplain ordinances, participating in statewide flood hazard mitigation planning, and facilitating annual statewide workshops. Compliance is monitored by FEMA regional staff and by the DWR.

California Division of Safety of Dams

California's Division of Safety of Dams (a division of the DWR) monitors the dam safety program at the state level and maintains a working list of dams in the state. When a new dam is proposed, Division engineers and geologists inspect the site and the subsurface. Upon submittal of an application, the Division reviews the plans and specifications prepared by the owner to ensure that the dam is designed to meet minimum requirements and that the design is appropriate for the known geologic conditions. After approval of the application, the Division inspects all aspects of the construction to ensure that the work is done in accordance with the approved plans and specifications. After construction, the Division inspects each dam to ensure that it is performing as intended and is not developing problems. The Division periodically reviews the stability of dams and their major appurtenances in light of improved design approaches and requirements, as well as new findings regarding earthquake hazards and hydrologic estimates in California. Over 1,200 dams are inspected by Division engineers on a yearly schedule to ensure performance and maintenance of dams.

California Environmental Quality Act

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) was passed in 1970, shortly after the federal government enacted the National Environmental Policy Act, to institute a statewide policy of environmental protection. CEQA requires state and local agencies in California to follow a protocol of analysis and public disclosure of the potential environmental impacts of development projects. CEQA makes environmental protection a mandatory part of every California state and local agency's decision-making process.



CEQA establishes a statewide environmental policy and mandates actions all state and local agencies must take to advance the policy. Jurisdictions conduct analysis of the project to determine if there are potentially significant environmental impacts, identify mitigation measures, and possible project alternatives by preparing environmental reports for projects that requires CEQA review. This environmental review is required before an agency takes action on any policy, program, or project. Any project action identified in this plan will seek full CEQA compliance upon implementation.

California Fire Safe Council

In 1993, the statewide Fire Safe Council, consisting of private and public membership, was formed to educate and encourage Californians to plan and prepare for wildfires by reducing the risk of fire to property, communities, and natural/structural resources. In 2002, this group created a nonprofit organization and board of directors, called the California Fire Safe Council. The Council works with the California Fire Alliance to facilitate the distribution of National Fire Plan grants for wildfire risk reduction and education (www.grants.firesafecouncil.org). The Council also provides assistance to local Fire Safe Councils through its website (www.firesafecouncil.org), the distribution of educational materials, and technical assistance, primarily through regional representatives. More than 130 local Fire Safe Councils have formed in California to plan, coordinate, and implement fire prevention activities.

California Fire Service and Rescue Emergency Mutual Aid Plan

The Governor's Office of Emergency Services Fire and Rescue Branch administers the California Fire Service and Rescue Emergency Mutual Aid Plan. The agency provides guidance and procedures for agencies developing emergency operations plans, as well as training and technical support, primarily to overall emergency service organizations and urban search and rescue teams.

California General Planning Law

California state law requires that every county and city prepare and adopt a comprehensive long-range plan to serve as a guide for community development. The general plan expresses the community's goals, visions, and policies relative to future land uses, both public and private. The general plan is mandated and prescribed by state law (Cal. Gov. Code §65300 et seq.), and forms the basis for most local government land use decision-making.

The plan must consist of an integrated, internally consistent set of goals, policies, and implementation measures. In addition, the plan must focus on issues of the greatest concern to the community and be written in a clear and concise manner. City and county actions, such as those relating to land use allocations, annexations, zoning, subdivision and design review, redevelopment, and capital improvements, must be consistent with the plan.

California Hazard Mitigation Plan

Under the DMA, California must adopt a federally approved state multi-hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain disaster assistance and mitigation funding. The intent of the State of California Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan is to reduce or prevent injury and damage from hazards in the state through the following:

- Documenting statewide hazard mitigation planning in California



- Describing strategies and priorities for future mitigation activities
- Facilitating the integration of local and tribal hazard mitigation planning activities into statewide efforts
- Meeting state and federal statutory and regulatory requirements.

The plan is an annex to the State Emergency Plan, and it identifies past and present mitigation activities, current policies and programs, and mitigation strategies for the future. It also establishes hazard mitigation goals and objectives. The plan will be reviewed and updated annually to reflect changing conditions and new information, especially information on local planning activities.

Under 44 CFR Section 201.6, local hazard mitigation plans must be consistent with their state’s hazard mitigation plan. In updating this plan, the Steering Committee reviewed the California State Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify key relevant state plan elements (see Section 3.7).

California Residential Mitigation Program

The California Residential Mitigation Program was established in 2011 to help Californians strengthen their homes against damage from earthquakes. The program is a joint powers authority created by Cal OES and the California Earthquake Authority, which is a not-for-profit, publicly managed, privately funded provider of home earthquake insurance to California homeowners and renters.

Earthquake Brace + Bolt was developed to help homeowners lessen the potential for damage to their houses during an earthquake. A residential seismic retrofit strengthens an existing older house, making it more resistant to earthquake activity such as ground shaking and soil failure. The seismic retrofitting involves bolting the house to its foundation and adding bracing around the perimeter of the crawl space. Most homeowners hire a contractor to do the retrofit work, and owners of houses in ZIP Codes with house characteristics suitable for this type of retrofit are eligible for up to \$3,000 toward the cost. A typical retrofit by a contractor may cost between \$3,000 and \$7,000, depending on the location and size of the house, contractor fees, and the amount of materials and work involved. If the homeowner is an experienced do-it-yourselfer, a retrofit can cost less than \$3,000.

California State Building Code

California Code of Regulations Title 24 (CCR Title 24), also known as the California Building Standards Code, is a compilation of building standards from three sources:

- Building standards that have been adopted by state agencies without change from building standards contained in national model codes
- Building standards that have been adopted and adapted from the national model code standards to meet California conditions
- Building standards authorized by the California legislature that constitute extensive additions not covered by the model codes adopted to address particular California concerns.

The state Building Standards Commission is authorized by California Building Standards Law (Health and Safety Code Sections 18901 through 18949.6) to administer the processes related to the adoption, approval, publication, and implementation of California’s building codes. These building codes serve as the basis for the design and construction of buildings in California. The national model code standards



adopted into Title 24 apply to all occupancies in California, except for modifications adopted by state agencies and local governing bodies. Since 1989, the Building Standards Commission has published new editions of Title 24 every three years.

On January 1, 2014, California Building Code Accessibility Standards found in Chapter 11B incorporated the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Standards as the model accessibility code for California. The purpose was to ensure consistency with federal guidelines. As a result of this incorporation, the California standards will fully implement and include 2010 ADA Standards within the California Building Code while maintaining enhanced levels of accessibility already provided by existing California accessibility regulations.

Disadvantaged and Low-income Communities Investments

Senate Bill (SB) 535 directs state and local agencies to make investments that benefit California's disadvantaged communities. It also directs the California Environmental Protection Agency to identify disadvantaged communities for the purposes of these investments based on geographic, socio-economic, public health, and environmental hazard criteria. Assembly Bill (AB) 1550 increased the percent of funds for projects located in disadvantaged communities from 10 to 25 percent and added a focus on investments in low-income communities and households. This program is a potential alternative source of funding for actions identified in this plan.

Division of the State Architect's AB 300 List of Seismically At-Risk Schools

In 2002, California's Division of the State Architect completed an inventory of public school buildings built before 1978 that identifies buildings with characteristics that might make them unsafe in future earthquakes. This inventory provides a list of potentially at-risk schools known as the AB 300 list (the inventory was authorized by Assembly Bill 300 in 1999). Using available information on school buildings' dates of construction, seismic retrofits, and structural systems (wood-frame, concrete shear wall, or steel moment frame, etc.), the inventory categorized California public school buildings into one of two categories: those expected to perform well in future earthquakes; and those that are not expected to perform well and require more detailed seismic evaluation.

The Division of the State Architect recommends that public schools on this list undergo detailed seismic evaluations to determine if they pose life safety risks, but the state has neither required nor funded school districts to do this.

Governor's Executive Order S-13-08

Governor's Executive Order S-13-08 enhances the state's management of climate impacts from sea-level rise, increased temperatures, shifting precipitation and extreme weather events. There are four key actions in the executive order:

- Initiate California's first statewide climate change adaptation strategy to assess expected climate change impacts, identify where California is most vulnerable, and recommend adaptation policies. This effort will improve coordination within state government so that better planning can more effectively address climate impacts on human health, the environment, the state's water supply and the economy.



- Request that the National Academy of Science establish an expert panel to report on sea-level rise impacts in California, to inform state planning and development efforts.
- Issue interim guidance to state agencies for how to plan for sea-level rise in designated coastal and floodplain areas for new projects.
- Initiate a report on critical infrastructure projects vulnerable to sea-level rise.

Office of the State Fire Marshal

The Office of the State Fire Marshal is a division of CAL FIRE that has a wide variety of fire safety and training responsibilities and provides technical support to fire agencies/organizations.

Senate Bill 12: Local Government: Planning and Zoning: Wildfires

This bill imposes new planning requirements on local governments, as follows:

- Defines “very high fire risk areas” to be the VHFHSZ in both the SRA and the Local Responsibility Area.
- Requires each city or county, upon the next revision of the housing element or local hazard mitigation plan on or after July 1, 2024, whichever occurs first, to review and update its safety element to include a comprehensive retrofit strategy that includes specified contents.
- Requires a city or county with VHFHSZ within its jurisdiction to amend the land use element of its general plan upon the next revision of the housing element on or after July 1, 2024. This amendment of the land use element must include the locations of all VHFHSZ within the city or county, the data and analysis described in the Office of Planning and Research’s publication *Fire Hazard Planning—General Plan Technical Advice Series*, and other specified goals, objectives, and implementation measures.
- Requires, after the initial amendment to the land use element, that a city or county review upon each revision of the housing element the implementation of the wildfire risk reduction standards within the jurisdiction and the designation of VHFHSZ.
- Provides for review and comment on draft findings by the Board and local fire agencies on whether the city or county has implemented the standards or made adequate progress, as defined.
- Requires, on or before January 1, 2023, to develop and post on its web site a clearinghouse of local ordinances, policies, and best practices relating to land use planning in VHFHSZ, wildfire risk reduction, and wildfire preparedness. The Office of Planning and Research must also regularly update the clearinghouse.

Senate Bill 99: Evacuation Route Planning

Senate Bill 99, enacted in 2019, requires that cities’ and counties’ general plans address evacuation routes from any hazard area identified in the safety element. Under this law, the safety element must include information to identify residential developments in hazard areas that do not have at least two emergency evacuation routes. Each city or county must update its safety element with the new information upon the next revision of its housing element on or after January 1, 2020.



Senate Bill 182 Local Government: Planning and Zoning: Wildfires

California Senate Bill 182 made a number of changes to state law regarding planning for and permitting development in areas designated as very high fire risk areas. The bill requires a local jurisdiction to do the following:

- Include a comprehensive retrofit strategy in its safety element to reduce the risk of property loss and damage during wildfires.
- Amend its land use element to identify all very high fire risk areas and to establish measures to protect lives and property from unreasonable risk of wildfire.
- Adopt a very high fire risk overlay zone for its zoning ordinance.
- Allocate a lower portion of projected future housing to very high fire hazard severity zones

This bill prohibits local governments from entering into a development agreement for property in a very high fire risk area, approving a permit for a project in a very high fire risk area, or approving a tentative map for a subdivision in a very high fire risk area, unless the jurisdiction makes specified findings based on substantial evidence.

Senate Bill 379: General Plans: Safety Element—Climate Adaptation

Senate Bill 379 builds upon the flood planning inclusions into the safety and housing elements and the hazard mitigation planning safety element inclusions in general plans outlined in AB 162 and AB 2140, respectively. SB 379 focuses on a new requirement that cities and counties include climate adaptation and resiliency strategies in the safety element of their general plans beginning January 1, 2017. In addition, this bill requires general plans to include a set of goals, policies and objectives, and specified implementation measures based on the conclusions drawn from climate adaptation research and recommendations.

Senate Bill 1000: General Plan Amendments—Safety and Environmental Justice Elements

In 2016, Senate Bill 1000 amended California’s Planning and Zoning Law in two ways:

- The original law established requirements for initial revisions of general plan safety elements to address flooding, fire, and climate adaptation and resilience. It also required subsequent review and revision as necessary based on new information. Senate Bill 1000 specifies that the subsequent reviews and revision based on new information are required to address only flooding and fires (not climate adaptation and resilience).
- Senate Bill 1000 adds a requirement that, upon adoption or revision of any two other general plan elements on or after January 1, 2018, an environmental justice element be adopted for the general plan or environmental justice goals, policies and objectives be incorporated into other elements of the plan.

Senate Bill 1035: Fire, Flood, and Adaptation Safety Element Updates

Senate Bill 1035 clarifies that revisions to a community’s General Plan Safety Element—to address fire hazards, flood hazards, and climate adaptation and resilience strategies—must occur upon each revision to a Housing Element or Local Hazard Mitigation Program.



Senate Bill 1241: General Plans: Safety Element—Fire Hazard Impacts

In 2012, Senate Bill 1241 passed requiring that the safety elements of all future general plans address fire risk in state responsibility areas and very high fire hazard severity zones. The bill requires cities and counties to make findings regarding available fire protection and suppression services before approving a tentative map or parcel map.

Standardized Emergency Management System

CCR Title 19 establishes the Standardized Emergency Management System (SEMS) to standardize the response to emergencies involving multiple jurisdictions. SEMS is intended to be flexible and adaptable to the needs of all emergency responders in California. It requires emergency response agencies to use basic principles and components of emergency management. Local governments must use SEMS by December 1, 1996, to be eligible for state funding of response-related personnel costs under CCR Title 19 (Sections 2920, 2925 and 2930). The roles and responsibilities of Individual agencies contained in existing laws or the state emergency plan are not superseded by these regulations. This hazard mitigation plan is considered to be a support document for all phases of emergency management, including those associated with SEMS.



APPENDIX E. PLAN MAINTENANCE AGENDAS



MEETING AGENDA

Annual Plan Maintenance

Month Day, 2026

X:00 – X:00 a.m.

Attendance

- LeTina Vanetti, OES Coordinator
- Billy Epps, PW Engineering Technician II

Agenda Item

1. Review 2025 Sierra County MJHMP goals and revise if needed
2. Document hazard occurrences that occurred during the prior year and their impact on the County
3. Review mitigation actions for each planning partner
 - a. Document implementation status
 - b. Evaluate the mitigation actions that have been successful
 - c. Discuss why mitigation actions were not completed
 - d. Review the action plan if new timelines need to be established (e.g., changing a long-term project to a short-term project due to funding availability)
 - e. Consider recommendations for new mitigation projects
4. Review new funding options, including grant opportunities, and determine if contract grant-writing support is needed to pursue the opportunities
5. Document potential losses avoided due to the implementation of specific mitigation measures or other planning programs, if feasible
6. Identify any new or additional vulnerabilities that may be faced by the County and may need to be addressed in a future update of this plan



MEETING AGENDA

Annual Plan Maintenance

Month Day, 2027

X:00 – X:00 a.m.

Attendance

- LeTina Vanetti, OES Coordinator
- Billy Epps, PW Engineering Technician II

Agenda Item

1. Review 2025 Sierra County MJHMP goals and revise if needed
2. Document hazard occurrences that occurred during the prior year and their impact on the County
3. Review mitigation actions for each planning partner
 - a. Document implementation status
 - b. Evaluate the mitigation actions that have been successful
 - c. Discuss why mitigation actions were not completed
 - d. Review the action plan if new timelines need to be established (e.g., changing a long-term project to a short-term project due to funding availability)
 - e. Consider recommendations for new mitigation projects
4. Review new funding options, including grant opportunities, and determine if contract grant-writing support is needed to pursue the opportunities
5. Document potential losses avoided due to the implementation of specific mitigation measures or other planning programs, if feasible
6. Identify any new or additional vulnerabilities that may be faced by the County and may need to be addressed in a future update of this plan



MEETING AGENDA

Annual Plan Maintenance

Month Day, 2028

X:00 – X:00 a.m.

Attendance

- LeTina Vanetti, OES Coordinator
- Billy Epps, PW Engineering Technician II

Agenda Item

1. Review 2025 Sierra County MJHMP goals and revise if needed
2. Document hazard occurrences that occurred during the prior year and their impact on the County
3. Review mitigation actions for each planning partner
 - a. Document implementation status
 - b. Evaluate the mitigation actions that have been successful
 - c. Discuss why mitigation actions were not completed
 - d. Review the action plan if new timelines need to be established (e.g., changing a long-term project to a short-term project due to funding availability)
 - e. Consider recommendations for new mitigation projects
4. Review new funding options, including grant opportunities, and determine if contract grant-writing support is needed to pursue the opportunities
5. Document potential losses avoided due to the implementation of specific mitigation measures or other planning programs, if feasible
6. Identify any new or additional vulnerabilities that may be faced by the County and may need to be addressed in a future update of this plan
7. Coordinate with Planning Partners and work to identify grant funding opportunities to update the MJHMP.



MEETING AGENDA

Annual Plan Maintenance

Month Day, 2029

X:00 – X:00 a.m.

Attendance

- LeTina Vanetti, OES Coordinator
- Billy Epps, PW Engineering Technician II

Agenda Item

1. Review 2025 Sierra County MJHMP goals and revise if needed
2. Document hazard occurrences that occurred during the prior year and their impact on the County
3. Review mitigation actions for each planning partner
 - a. Document implementation status
 - b. Evaluate the mitigation actions that have been successful
 - c. Discuss why mitigation actions were not completed
 - d. Review the action plan if new timelines need to be established (e.g., changing a long-term project to a short-term project due to funding availability)
 - e. Consider recommendations for new mitigation projects
4. Review new funding options, including grant opportunities, and determine if contract grant-writing support is needed to pursue the opportunities
5. Document potential losses avoided due to the implementation of specific mitigation measures or other planning programs, if feasible
6. Identify any new or additional vulnerabilities that may be faced by the County and may need to be addressed in a future update of this plan
7. Obtain grant funding to update the MJHMP.



MEETING AGENDA

Annual Plan Maintenance

Month Day, 2030

X:00 – X:00 a.m.

Attendance

- LeTina Vanetti, OES Coordinator
- Billy Epps, PW Engineering Technician II

Agenda Item

1. Review 2025 Sierra County MJHMP goals and revise if needed
2. Document hazard occurrences that occurred during the prior year and their impact on the County
3. Review mitigation actions for each planning partner
 - a. Document implementation status
 - b. Evaluate the mitigation actions that have been successful
 - c. Discuss why mitigation actions were not completed
 - d. Review the action plan if new timelines need to be established (e.g., changing a long-term project to a short-term project due to funding availability)
 - e. Consider recommendations for new mitigation projects
4. Review new funding options, including grant opportunities, and determine if contract grant-writing support is needed to pursue the opportunities
5. Document potential losses avoided due to the implementation of specific mitigation measures or other planning programs, if feasible
6. Identify any new or additional vulnerabilities that may be faced by the County and may need to be addressed in a future update of this plan



APPENDIX F. FEMA APPROVAL AND PLANNING PARTNER ADOPTION